## LETTERS

ON THE

STUDY and USE

-

## HISTORY.

By the late RIGHT HONORABLE

HENRY ST. JOHN,

LORD VISCOUNT BOLINGBROKE



# BASIL:

PRINTED BY J. T. TOURNEISEN.
M.DCCXCI.



### CONTENTS.

#### LETTER I.

Of the study of bistory.

Page. 1

LETTER II.

Concerning the true use and advantages of it.

. 1

An objection against the utility of history removed.
 The false and true aims of those who shudy it.
 Of the history of the first ages, with restections on the state of ancient history, profuse and facred.

LETTER IV.

 That there is in hiftery fufficient authenticity to render it ufeful, notwithflanding all objections to the contrary.
 Of the method and due refiritions to be observed in the fludy of it.

LETTER V.

3. The great use of bislory, properly so called as dissinguished from the writings of mere annalish and antiquarier.
9. Greek and Roman historians.
3. Some blets of a complete history.
4. Further cautions to be observed in this study, and the regulation of it according to the different professions, and sinustenss of men above all, the use to be made of it (1) by diviner, and (2) by those who are called to the fervice of their country.

LETTER VI.

From what period modern history is peculiarly useful to the service of our country, viz. From the end of the fifteenth century to the present. The division of

#### CONTENTS.

this into three particular periods; in order to a fketch of the history and state of Europe from that time. Page. 123

LETTER VII.

A fketch of the flate and history of Europe from the Pyrenean treaty, in one thousand fix hundred and fifty nine, to the year one thousand fix hundred and eightyeights. 152

LETTER VIII.

The fame fubject continued from the year one thousand fix hundred and eighty-eight.

195

LETTER IX.

A plan for a general history of Europe. 382

## STUDY of HISTORY.

#### LETTER I.

Chantelou in Touraine, Nov. 6, 1735.

MY LORD,

I HAVE considered formerly, with a good deal of attention, the fubject on which you command me to communicate my thoughts to you: and I practifed in those days, as much as business and pleasure allowed me time to do, the rules that feemed to me necessary to be observed in the study of history. They were very different from those which writers on the same subject have recommended, and which are commonly practifed. But I confess to your lordship, that this neither gave me then, nor has given me fince, any distrust of them, I do not affect fingularity. On the contrary, I think that a due deference is to be paid to received opinions, and that a due compliance with received customs is to be held; though both the one and the other should be, what they often are, abfurd or ridiculous, But this fervitude is outward only, and abridges in no fort the liberty of private judgment. The obligations of fubmitting to it likewife, even, outwardly,

extend no further, than to those opinions and customs which cannot be opposed; or from which we cannot deviate without doing hurt, or giving offence, to society. In all these cases, our speculations ought to be free: in all other cases, our practice may be so. Without any regard therefore to the opinion and practice even of the learned world, I am very willing to tell you mine. But, as it is hard to recover a thread of thought long ago laid aside, and impossible to prove some things, and explain others, without the assistance of many books which I have not here; your lordship must be content with such an imperfect, sketch, as I am able to send you at present in this letter.

THE motives that carry men to the study of history are different. Some intend, if such as they may be said to study, nothing more than amusement, and read the life of Aristides or Phocion, of EPAMINONDAS or Scipio, Alexander or Caesar, just as they play a game at cards, or as they would read the story of the seven champions.

OTHERS there are, whose motive to this study is nothing better, and who have the further disadvantage of becoming a nuisance very often to society, in proportion to the progress they make. The former do not improve their reading to any good purpose: the latter pervert it to a very bad one, and grow in impertinence as they increase in learning. I think I have known most of the first kind in England, and most of the last in France. The persons I mean are those who read to talk, to shine in conversation, and to impose in company: who

having few ideas to vend of their own growth, Nore their minds with crude unruminated facts and fentences; and hope to fupply, by bare memory, the want of imagination and judgment.

BUT these are in the two lowest forms. The next I shall mention are in one a little higher: in the form of those who grow neither wifer nor better by fludy themselves, but who enable others to fludy with greater eafe, and to purpofes more useful; who make fair copies of foul manuscripts. give the fignification of hard words, and take a great deal of other grammatical pains. The obligation to these men would be great indeed, if they were in general able to do any thing better. and fubmitted to this drudgery for the fake of the public; as some of them, it must be owned with gratitude, have done, but not later, I think, than about the time of the refurrection of letters. When works of importance are preffing, generals themselves may take up the pick-axe and the fpade; but in the ordinary course of things, when that preffing necessity is over , such tools are left in the hands destined to use them, the hands of common foldiers and peafants. I approve therefore very much the devotion of a studious man at Christ-Church, who was over-heard in his oratory entering into a detail with God, as devout persons are apt to do, and amongst other particular thanksgivings, acknowledging the divine goodness in furnishing the world with makers of Dictionaries! These men court same as well as their betters, by fuch means as GoD has given them to acquire it: and LITTLETON exerted all the genius he had, when he made a dictionary, though SIEPHENS did not. They deferve encouragement, however, whilst they continue to compile, and neither affect wit, nor prefume to reason.

THERE is a fourth class, of much less use than these, but of much greater name, Men of the first rank in learning, and to whom the whole tribe of fcholars bow with reverence. A man must be as indifferent as I am to common censure or approbation, to avow a thorough contempt for the whole business of these learned lives; for all the refearches into antiquity, for all the fystems of chronology and history, that we owe to the immenfe labors of a SCALIGER, a BOCHART, a PETAVIUS, an USHER, and even a MARSHAM. The fame materials are common to them all; but these materials are few, and there is a moral imposfibility that they should ever have more. They have combined these into every form that can be given to them: they have supposed, they have gueffed, they have joined disjointed paffages of different authors, and broken traditions of uncertain originals, of various people, and of centuries remote from one another as well as from ours. In fhort, that they might leave no liberty untaken. even a wild, fantaftical fimilitude of founds has ferved to prop up a system. As the materials they have are few, fo are the very best, and fuch as pass for authentic, extremely precarious; as some of these learned persons themselves confess.

JULIUS AFRICANUS, EUSEBIUS, and GEORGE the monk opened the principal fources of all this fcience; but they corrupted the waters. Their point of view was to make profane history and chronology agree with facred; though the latter chronology is very far from being established with the clearness and certainty necessary to make it a rule. For this purpole, the ancient monuments, that these writers conveyed to posterity, were digested by them according to the fystem they were to maintain: and none of these monuments were delivered down in their original form, and genuine purity. The Dynasties of Manetho, for instance, are broken to pieces by EUSEBIUS; and fuch fragments of them as fuited his delign, are ftruck into his work. We have, we know, no more of them. The Codex Alexandrinus we owe to GEORGE the monk. We have no other authority for it: and one cannot fee without amazement fuch a man as Sir John MARSHAM undervaluing this authority in one page. and building his fystem upon it in the next. He feems even by the lightness of his expressions, if I remember well, for it is long fince I looked into his canon, not to be much concerned what foundation his fystem had, though he showed his skill in forming one, and in reducing the immense antiquity of the Egyptians within the limits of the Hebraic calculation. In fhort, my lord, all these fuftems are fo many enchanted caftles; they appear to be fomething, they are nothing but appearances: like them too, dissolve the charm, and they vanish from the fight. To diffolve the charm, we must

begin at the beginning of them: the expression may be odd, but it is fignificant. We must examine ferupulously and indifferently the foundations on which they lean : and when we find these either faintly probable, or grossly improbable, it would be foolish to expect any thing better in the superftructure This founce is one of those that are " a limine falutanda." To do thus much may be necessary, that grave authority may not impose on our ignorance: to do more, would be to affift this very authority in imposing false science upon us. I had rather take the DARIUS whom ALEXANDER conquered, for the fon of HYSTASPES, and make as many anachronisms as a Jewish chronologer. than facrifice half my life to collect all the learned lumber that fills the head of an antionary.

#### OFTHE

#### STUDY of HISTORY.

#### LETTER IL

Concerning the true use and advantages of it.

LET me say something of history in general, before I descend into the consideration of particular parts of it, or of the various methods of sludy, or of the different views of those, that apply themselves to it, as I had begun to do in my former letter.

THE love of history feems inseparable from human nature, because it seems inseparable from felf-love. The fame principle in this inflance carries us forward and backward, to future and to paft ages. We imagine that the things, which affect us, must affect posterity : this sentiment runs through mankind, from CAESAR down to the parish clerk in POPE's miscellany. We are fond of preferving, as far as it is in our frail power, the memory of our own adventures, of those of our own time, and of those that preceded it. Rude heaps of flones have been raifed, and ruder hymns have been composed, for this purpose, by nations who had not yet the use of arts and letters. To go no farther back, the triumphs of ODIN were celebrated in runic fongs, and the feats of our British ancestors were recorded in those of their bards. The favages of America have the fame custom at this day: and long historical ballads of their huntings and their wars are fung at all their festivals. There is no need of saying how this passion grows, among civilized nations, in proportion to the means of gratifying it; but let us obferve that the same principle of nature directs us as firongly, and more generally as well as more early, to indulge our own curiofity, instead of preparing to gratify that of others. The child hearkens with delight to the tales of his nurse; he learns to read, and he devours with eagerness fabrilous legends and novels: in riper years he applies himself to history, or to that which he takes for history, to authorized romance: and, even in age, the defire of knowing what has happened to other men, yields to the defire alone of relating what has happened to ourselves. Thus history, true or falle, speaks to our passions always. What pity is it, my lord, that even the best should speak to our understandings so seldom? That it does fo, we have none to blame but ourfelves. Nature has done her part. She has opened this fludy to every man who can read and think: and what she has made the most agreeable. reason can make the most useful, application of our minds. But if we confult our reason, we shall be far from following the examples of our fellowcreatures, in this as in most other cases, who are fo proud of being rational. We shall neither read to footh our indolence, nor to gratify our vanity;

The second secon

as little shall we content ourselves to drudge like grammarians and critics, that others may be able to fludy with greater ease and profit, like philofophers and flatefmen: as little fliall we affect the flender merit of becoming great scholars at the expense of groping all our lives in the dark mazes of antiquity. All thefe miffake the true drift of fludy, and the true use of history. Nature gave us curiofity to excite the industry of our minds; but the never intended it should be made the principal, much less the sole, object of their application. The true and proper object of this application is a conflant improvement in private and in public virtue. An application to any fludy, that tends neither directly nor indirectly to make us better men and better citizens, is at best but a specious and ingenious fort of idleness, to use an expression of Tillotson: and the knowledge we acquire by it is a creditable kind of ignorance, nothing more. This creditable kind of ignorance is, in my opinion, the whole benefit which the generality of men, even of the most learned, reap from the fludy of history; and yet the fludy of history seems to me, of all other, the most proper to train us up to private and public virtue,

Your lordhip may very well be ready by this time, and after 60 much bold censure on my part, to ask me, what then is the true use of history in what respects it may ferve to make us better and wifer? and what method is to be pursued in the shady of it, for attaining these great ends? I will answer you by quoting what I have read

fomewhere or other, in Dronysius Halicann. I think, that history is philosophy teaching by examples. We need but to cast our eyes on the world, and we shall see the daily force of example : we need but to turn them inward, and we shall foon discover why example has this force. " Pauci " prudentia," fays TACITUS, " honesta ab " deterioribus, utilia ab noxiis discernunt: plures " aliorum eventis docentur." Such is the imperfection of human understanding, such the frail temper of our minds, that abstract or general propositions, though ever so true, appear obscure or doubtful to us very often, till they are explained by examples: and that the wifeft leffons in favor of virtue go but a little way to convince the judgment, and determine the will, unless they are enforced by the same means; and we are obliged to apply to ourselves what we see happen to other men. Instructions by precept have the further difadvantage of coming on the authority of others and frequently require a long deduction of reasoning. " Homines amplius oculis, quam auribus, " credunt: longum iter est per præcepta, breve " et essicax per exempla." The reason of this judgment, which I quote from one of SENECA'S epiftles in confirmation of my own opinion. refts, I think, on this; that when examples are pointed out to us, there is a kind of appeal, with which we are flattered, made to our fenfes, as well as our understandings. The instruction comes then upon our own authority: we frame the precept after our own experience, and yield to fact when we relist speculation. But this is not

the only advantage of inftruction by example; for example appeals not to our understanding alone, but to our passions likewise. Fxample affunges thefe; or animates them; fets passion on the side of judgment, and makes the whole man of a piece; which is more than the strongest reasoning and the clearest demonstration can do: and thus forming habits by repetition, example fecures the observance of those precepts which example infinuated, Is it not PLINY, my lord, who fays, that the gentleft, he should have added the most est-caual, way of commanding, is by example? " Mitius " jubetur exemplo." The harshest orders are softened by example, and tyranny itself becomes persuafive. What pity is it that so sew princes have learned this way of commanding? But again: the force of examples is not confined to those alone, that pass immediately under our fight: the examples, that memory fuggefts, have the fame effect in their degree, and a habit of recalling them will foon produce the habit of imitating them. In the same epistle, from whence I cited a passage just now, SENECA says that CLEANTHES had never become so perfect a copy of ZENO, if he had not passed his life with him; that PLATO. ARISTOTLE, and the other philosophers of that school, profited more by the example, than by the discourse of SOCRATES. (But here, by the way. SENECA miflook; for SOCRATES died two years, according to some, and four years, according to others, before the birth of ARISTOTLE: and his mistake might come from the inaccuracy of thole who collected for him; as ERASMUS observes, after QUINTILIAN, in his judgment on SENECA) But be this, which was fcarce worth a parenthelis, as it will, he adds that METRODORUS, HERMACHUS and POLYAENUS, men of great note were formed by living under the same roof with EPICURUS, not by frequenting his school. These are instances of the force of immediate example. But your lordship knows that the citizens of Rome placed the images of their ancestors in the vestibules of their houses; fo that, whenever they went in or out, thefe venerable buftoes met their eyes, and recalled the glorious actions of the dead, to fire the living, to excite them to imitate, and even to emulate their great forefathers. The fuccess answered the design. The virtue of one generation was transfused, by the magic of example, into feveral; and a spirit of heroism was maintained through many ages of that common-wealth. Now these are so many instances of the force of remote example; and from all these instances we may conclude, that examples of both kinds are necessary.

THE fchool of example, my lord, is the world and the mafters of this fchool are hiftory and experience. I am far from contending that the former is preferable to the latter. I think upon the whole otherwife: but this I fay, that the former is abfolutely necellary to prepare us for the latter, and to accompany us whilf we are under the difcipline of the latter, that is, through the whole courfe of our lives. No doubt fome lew men may be quoted, to whom nature gave



what art and industry can give to no man-But fuch examples will prove nothing against me, because I admit that the study of history, without experience, is infufficient; but affert, that experience itself is fo without genius. Genius is preferable to the other two; but I would wish to find the three together: for how great foever a genius may be, and how much foever he may acquire new light and heat, as he proceeds in his rapid course, certain it is that he will never shine with the full luftre, nor shed the full influence he is capable of, unless to his own experience he adds the experience of other men and other ages. Genius, without the improvement, at leaft, of experience, is what comets once were thought to be, a blazing meteor, irregular in his courfe, and dangerous in his approach; of no use to any fystem, and able to destroy any. Mere fons of earth, if they have experience without any knowledge of the history of the world, are but half scholars in the science of mankind. And if they are converfant in history without experience, they are worse than ignorant; they are pedants, always incapable, fometimes meddling and prefuming. The man, who has all three, is an honor to his country, and a public blefling: and fuch, I truft, your lordship will be in this century, as your great-grand - father " was in the laft.

I have infifted a little the longer on this head, and have made these distinctions the rather, because though I attribute a great deal more, than many will

\* Eul of CLARENDON.

be ready to allow, to the fludy of history: vet I would not willingly even feem to fall into the ridicule of afcribing to it fuch extravagant effects, as feveral have done, from TULLY down to CA-SAUBON, LA MOIHELE VAYER, and other modern pedants. When TULLY informs us, in the fecond book of his Tufculan disputations, that the first Scipio Africanus had always in his hands the works of XENOPHON, he advances nothing but what is probable and reasonable. To say nothing of the retreat of the ten thousand, nor of other parts of XENDPHON'S writings; the images of virtue represented in that admirable picture the Cyropædia, were proper to entertain a foul that was fraught with virtue, and CYRUS was worthy to be imitated by SCIPIO. So SELIM emulated CAESAR, whose Commentaries were translated for his use against the cufloms of the Turks: fo CAESAR emulated ALEXANDER; and ALEXANDER, ACHILLES. There is nothing ridiculous here, except the use that is made of this passage by those who quote it. But what the fame TULLY fays, in the fourth book of his academical disputations, concerning LUCULLUS, feems to me very extraordinary. " In Afiam factus " imperator venit; cum effet Roma profectus rei " militaris rudis;" (one would be ready to afcribe so fudden a change, and so vast an improvement, to nothing lefs than knowledge infufed by infpiration, if we were not affured in the same place that they were effected by very natural means, by fuch as it is in every man's power to employ) " partim percontando a peritis, partim in rebus gestis legendis." he

s.

A-

11

-ft

е

. e

130

ch

LUCULLUS, according to this account, verified the reproach on the Roman nobility, which SALLUST puts into the mouth of MARIUS. But as I discover the passion of MARIUS, and his prejudices to the patricians, in one case; so I discover, methinks, the cunning of TULLY, and his partiality to himself, in the other, Lucullus, after he had been chosen conful, obtained by intrigue the government of Cilicia, and fo put himfelf into a fituation of commanding the Roman army against MITHRIDATES: TULLY had the fame government afterwards, and though he had no MITHRIDATES, nor any other enemy of confequence, opposed to him; though all his military feats confifted in furprifing and pillaging a parcel of highlanders and wild Cilicians; yet he affumed the airs of a conqueror. and described his actions in so pompous a style, that the account becomes burlefone. He langlis, indeed, in one of his letters to ATTICUS, at his generalship: but if we turn to those he writ to COELIUS RUFUS, and to CATO, upon this occasion, or to those wherein he expresses to ATTICUS his refentment against CATO, for not propofing in his favor the honors ufually decreed to conquerors, we may fee how vanity turned his head, and how impudently heinfifled on obtaining a triumph. Is it any strain now to suppose, that he meant to infinuate, in the passage I have quoted about LUCULLUS, that the difference between him and the former governor of CILICIA, even in military merit, arose from the different conjuncture alone; and that Lucullus could not have done in Cilicia, atthat time, more than he himfelf did?

CICERO had read and questioned at least as much as Lucullus, and would therefore have appeared as great a captain, if he had had as great a prince as MITHRIDATES to encounter. But the truth is, that LUCULLUS was made a great captain by theory, or the fludy of hiflory, alone, no more than FERDINAND of Spain and Alphonsus of Naples were cured of desperate distempers by reading LIVY and OUINTUS CURTIUS: a filly tale, which BODIN, AMYOI, and others have picked up and propagated. LUCULLUS had ferved in his youth against the Marfi, probably in other wars, and SYLLA took early notice of him; he went into the east with this general, and had a great flure in his confidence. He commanded in feveral expeditions, It was he who reftored the Colophonians to their liberty, and who punished the revolt of the people of Mytclene. Thus we fee that LUCULLUS was formed by experience, as well as fludy, and by an experience gained in those very countries, where he gathered fo many laurels afterwards in fighting against the same enemy. The late duke of MARLBOROUGH never read XENOPHON, most certainly, nor the relation perhaps of any modern wars : but he ferved in his youth under monfieur de TURENNE, and I have heard that he was taken notice of in those early days, by that great man. He afterwards commanded in an expedition to Ireland, ferved a campaign or two, if I miftake not, under king WILLIAM in Flanders : and, besides these occasions, had none of gaining experience in war, till he came to the head of our armies in one thouland

thousand seven hundred and two, and triumphed, not over Afiatic troops, but over the veteran armies of France, The Roman had on his fide genins and experience cultivated by fludy; the Briton had genius improved by experience, and no more. The first therefore is not an example of what fludy can do alone; but the latter is an example of what genius and experience can do without fludy. They can do much , to be fure, when the first is given in a superior degree. But fuch examples are very rare: and when they happen, it will be flill true, that they would have had fewer blemishes, and would have come nearer to the perfection of private and public virtue, in all the arts of peace and achievements of war, if the views of fuch men had been enlarged, and their fentiments ennobled, by acquiring that caff of thought, and that temper of mind, which will grow up and become habitual in every man who applies himfelf early to the fludy of history, as well as to the fludy of philosophy, with the intention of being wifer and better, without the affectation of being more learned.

The temper of the mind is formed, and a certain turn given to our ways of thinking; in a word, the feeds of that moral charafter which cannot wholly after the natural charafter, but may correct the evil and improve the good that is in it, or do the very contrary, are fown betimes, and much toomer than is commonly fuppoid. It is equally certain, that we find gather or not gather experience, be the better or the worfe for this experience, when we

come into the world and mingle among mankind, according to the temper of mind, and the turn of thought, that we have acquired beforehand, and bring along with us. They will tinclure all our future acquifitions; fo that the very fame experience, which fecures the judgment of one man, or excites him to virtue, shall lead another into error, or plunge him into vice. From hence it follows, that the fludy of history has in this respect a double advantage. If experience alone can make us perfect in our parts, experience cannot begin to teach them till we are actually on the flage: whereas, by a previous application to this study, we con them over at leaft, before we appear there; we are not quite unprepared, we learn our parts fooner, and we learn them better.

Let me explain what L mean by an example. There is fcarce any folly or vice more epidemical among the fons of men, than that ridiculous and hurtful vanity, by which the people of each country are apt to prefer themselves to those of every other; and to make their own customs, and manners, and opinions, the flandards of right and wrong, of true and false. The Chinese mandaring were ftrangely furprifed, and almost incredulous. when the Jesuits showed them how small a figure their empire made in the general map of the world. The Samojedes wondered much at the Czar of Mulcovy for not living among them; and the Hottentot, who returned from Europe, ftripped himfelf naked as foon as he came home, put on his bracelets of guts and garbage, and grew flinking and loufy as fast as he could. Now nothing can contribute more to prevent us from being tainted with this vanity, than to accustom ourselves early to contemplate the different nations of the earth. in that vast map which history spreads before us, in their rife and their fall, in their barbarous and civilized flates, in the likeness and unlikeness of them all to one another, and of each to itself. By frequently renewing this prospect to the mind, the Mexican with his cap and coat of feathers. facrificing a human victim to his god, will not appear more favage to our eyes, than the Spaniard with a hat on his head, and a gonilla round his neck, facrificing whole nations to his ambition, his avarice, and even the wantonness of his cruelty. I might show, by a multitude of other examples, how history prepares us for experience, and guides us in it: and many of these would be both curious and important. I might likewise bring feveral other inflances, wherein hiftory ferves to purge the mind of those national partialities and prejudices that we are apt to contract in our education, and that experience for the most part rather confirms than removes: because it is for the most part confined, like our education. But I apprehend growing too prolix, and shall therefore conclude this head by observing, that though an early and proper application, to the fludy of hiftory will contribute extremely to keep our minds free from a ridiculous partiality in favor of our own country, and a vicious prejudice against others; yet the fame fludy will create in us a preference

ŧ

ì

d

of affection to our own country. There is a flory told of ABGARUS. He brought feveral beafts taken in different places to Rome, they fay, and let them loofe before Augustus: every beaft ran immediately to that part of the Circus, where a parcel of earth taken from his native foil had been " Credat Judæns Apella." This tale might pass on Josephus; for in him, I believe, I read it: but furely the love of our country is a lesson of reason, not an institution of nature. Education and habit, obligation and interest, attach us to it, not instinct. It is however so necessary to be cultivated. and the prosperity of all societies, as well as the grandeur of some, depends upon it so much, that orators by their eloquence, and poets by their enthusiasm, have endeavoured to work up this precept of morality into a principle of passion. But the examples which we find in history, improved by the lively discriptions, and the just applauses or censures of historians, will have a much better and more permanent effect, than declamation, or fong, or the dry ethics of mere philosophy. In fine, to converse with historians is to keep good company: many of them were excellent men, and those who were not such, have taken care however to appear fuch in their writings. It must be therefore of great use to prepare ourselves by this conversation for that of the world; and to receive our first impressions, and to acquire our first habits, in a fcene where images of virtue and vice are continually reprefented to us in the colors that belong properly to them, before we enter on

another fcene, where virtue and vice are too often confounded, and what belongs to one is afcribed to the other.

Befides the advantage of beginning our acquaintance with mankind fooner, and of bringing with us into the world, and the business of it, such a cast of thought and such a temper of mind, as will enable us to make a better use of our experience; there is this further advantage in the fludy of history, that the improvement we make by it extends to more objects, and is made at the expense of other men: whereas that improvement, which is the effect of our own experience, is confined to fewer objects, and is made at our own expense. To flate the account fairly therefore between these two improvements; though the latter . be the more valuable, yet allowance being made on one fide for the much greater number of examples that history presents to us, and deduction being made on the other of the price we often pay for our experience, the value of the former will rife in proportion. a I have recorded thefe " things," fays POLYBIUS, after giving an account of the defeat of REGULUS, " that they who read " these commentaries may be rendered better by " them; for all men have two ways of improve-" ment, one arising from their own experience, " and one from the experience of others. Evien dentior quidem illa eft, que per propria ducit " infortunia; at tutior illa, quæ per aliena." I ufe CASAUBON'S translation. POLYBIUS goes on; and concludes, " that fince the full of thele ways

" exposes us to great labor and peril, whilft " the fecond works the same good effect, and is attended by no evil circumstance, every one

" ought to take for granted, that the fludy of

a hiftory is the best school where he can learn " how to conduct himfelf in all the fituations of " life." REGULUS had feen at Rome many examples of magnanimity, of frugality, of the contempt of riches and of other virtues; and these virtues he practifed. But he had not learned, nor had opportunity of learning another lesion, which the examples recorded in history inculcate frequently, the lesson of moderation, 'An infatiable thirst of military fame, an unconfined ambition of extending their empire, an extravagant confidence in their own courage and force, an infolent contempt of their enemies, and an impetuous overbearing spirit with which they purfued all their enterprifes, composed in his days the distinguishing character of a Roman. Whatever the fenate and people refolved, to the members of that commonwealth appeared both practicable and just. Neither difficulties nor dangers could check them, and their fages had not yet discovered, that virtues in excess degenerate into vices. Notwithstanding the beautiful rant which HORACE puts into his mouth, I make no doubt that REGULUS learned at Carthage those lesions of moderation which he had not learned at Rome; but he learned them by experience, and the fruits of this experience came too late, and coff too dear; for they coff the total defeat of the Roman army, the prolongation of a ealamitous war which might have been finished by a glorious peace, the loss of liberty to thousands of Roman citzens, and to REQUIUS himself the loss of life in the midst of torments, if we are entirely to credit what is perhaps exaggeration in the Roman authors.

There is another advantage, worthy our observation, that belongs to the fludy of history; and that I shall mention here, not only because of the importance of it, but because it leads me immediately to fpeak of the nature of the improvement we ought to have in our view, and of the method in which it feems to me that this improvement ought to be purfued: two particulars from which your lordship may think perhaps that I digress too long. The advantage I mean confifts in this, that the examples which history prefents to us, both of men and of events; are generally complete: the whole example is before us, and confequently the whole leffon, or fometimes the various leffons, which philosophy proposes to teach, us by this example. For first, as to men: we see them at their whole length in history, and we fee them generally there through a medium less partial at leaft than that of experience: for I imagine, that a whig or a tory, whilst those parties sublisted, would have condemned in SATURNINUS the fpirit of faction which he applauded in his own Tribunes, and would have applauded in Dausus the ipiritof moderation which he despised in those of the contrary party, and which he suspected and hated in those of his own party. The villain who has

imposed on mankind by his power or cunning, and whom experience could not unmask for a time, is unmasked at length; and the honest man, who has been mifunderflood or defamed, is inflified before his flory ends. Or if this does not happen, if the villain dies with his mask on, in the midst of applaufe, and honor, and wealth, and power, and if the honest man dies under the same load of calumny and difgrace under which he lived, driven perhaps into exile, and exposed to want; yet we fee historical justice executed, the name of one branded with infamy, and that of the other celebrated with panegyric to fucceeding ages, " Præ-" cipuum munus annalium reor, ne virtutes fileana tur: ntque pravis dictis factifque ex posteritate " et infamia metus fit." Thus, according to TA-CITUS, and according to truth, from which his judgments feldom deviate, the principal duty of history is to erect a tribunal, like that among the Egyptians, mentioned by Diodorus Siculus, where men and princes themselves were tried, and condemned or acquitted, after their deaths: where those who had not been punished for their crimes, and those who had not been honored for their virtues, received a just retribution. The fentence is pronounced in one case, as it was in the other. too late to correct or recompense; but it is pronounced in time to render these examples of general instruction to mankind. Thus CICERO, that I may quote one influere out of thousands, and that I may do justice to the general character of that great man, whose particular failing I have confused for

freely: Ciceno, I fay, was abandoned by Octa-VIUS, and mailfacred by ANTONY. But let any man read this fragment of ARELIUS FUSCUS, and chule which he would wish to have been, the orator, or the triomwir? "Quosal humsnm genus incolume manferit, quamdiu ulis literis, honor fimmme eloquentia pretium erit, quamdiu terum "natura aut fortuna sleterit, aut memoris duraverit, admirabile posteris vigebis ingenium." uno proferiptus seculo, proferibes Antonium

" omnibus." Thus again, as to events that fland recorded in history we see them all, we see them as they followed one another, or as they produced one another, canbe or effects, immediate or remote. We are caft back, as it were, into former ages: we live with the men who a ved before us, and we inhabit countries that we there is aw. Place is enlarged, and time prolonged, in ties manner; fo that the man who applies himfelf early to the findy of history, may acquire in a tew years and before he fets his foot abroad in the world, not only a more extended knowledge of mankind, but the experience of more centuries than any of the patriarchs faw. The events we are witnesses of, in the course of the longest life, appear to us very often original, unprepared, fingle, and un-relative, if I may use such an expression for want of a better in English; in French I would fav Ifolés: they appear such very often, are called accidents, and looked on as the effects of chance; a word, by the way, which is in conffant ufand has frequently no determinate meaning. We

get over the prefent difficulty, we improve the momentary advantage, as well as we can, and we look no farther. Experience can carry us no farther; for experience can go a very little way back in discovering causes; and effects are not the objects of experience till they happen. From hence many errors in judgment, and by confequence in conduct, necessarily arise. And here too lies the difference we are speaking of between history and experience. The advantage on the fide of the former is double. In ancient history as we have faid already, the examples are complete, which are incomplete in the course of experience. The beginning, the progression, and the end appear, not of particular reigns, much less of particular enterprises, or fystems of policy alone, but of governments of nations, of empires, and of all the various systems that have fucceeded one another in the course of their duration. In modern history, the examples may be, and fometimes are, incomplete; but they have this advantage when they are fo, that they ferve to render complete the examples of our own time. Experience is doubly defective; we are born too late to fee the beginning, and we die too foon to fee the end of many things. History supplies both these desects. Modern history shows the caufes, when experience prefents the effects alone: and ancient hiftory enables us to guess at the effects, when experience prefents the causes alone. Let me explain my meaning by two examples of thefe kinds; one past, the other actually prefent.

When the revolution of one thousand fix hundred and eighty - eight happened, few men then alive, I suppose, went farther in their search after the causes of it, than the extravagant attempt of king JAMES against the religion and liberty of his people. His former conduct, and the passages of king CHARLES the second's reign might rankle still at the hearts of some men, but could not be fet to account among the causes of his deposition; since he had succeeded, notwithstanding them, peaceably to the throne: and the nation in general, even many of those who would have excluded him from it, were defirous, or at leaft, willing, that he should continue in it. Now this example, thus stated, affords, no doubt, much good inflruction to the kings, and people of Britain. But this inflruction is not entire, because the example thus stated, and confined to the experience of that age, is imperfect. King JAMES' maladministration rendered a revolution necessary and practicable; but his mal-administration, as well as all his preceding conduct, was caused by his bigotattachment to popery, and to the principles of arbitrary government, from which no warning could divert him. His bigot-attachment to thele was caused, by the exile of the royal family, this exile was caused by the usurpation of CROMWEL: and CROMWEL'S usurpation was the effect of a former rebellion, begun not without reason on account of liberty, but without any valid pretence on account is of religion. During this exile, our princes caught the taint of popery and foreign

politics. We made them unfit to govern us, and after that were forced to recal the m that they might refcue us out of anarchy. It was necessary therefore, your lordship fees, at the revolution, and it is more fo now, to go back in history, at least as far as I have mentioned, and perhaps farther, even to the beginning of king JAMES the first's reign, to render this event a complete example, and to develope all the wife, honest, and falutary precepts, with which it is pregnant, both to king and subject.

The other example shall be taken from what has fucceeded the revolution. Few men at that time looked forward enough, to foresee the neceffary confequences of the new constitution of the revenue, that was foon afterwards formed; nor of the method of funding that immediately took place: which, abfurd as they are, have continued ever fince, till it is become scarce possible to alter them. Few people, I fay, forefaw how the creation of funds, and the multiplication of taxes, would increase yearly the power of the crown, and bring our liberties, by a natural and necessary progression, into more real, though less apparent danger, than they were in before the revolution. The excellive ill hulbandry practifed from the very beginning of king WILLIAM's reign, and which laid the foundations of all we feel and all we fear, was not the effect of ignorance, mistake, or what we call chance, but of defign and scheme in those who had the fivey at that time. I am not fo uncharitable,

however, as to believe that they intended to bring upon their country all the mischiefs that we, who came after them, experience, and apprehend. No, they faw the measures they took fingly, and unrelatively, or relatively alone to fome immediate object. The notion of attaching men to the new government, by tempting them to embark their fortunes on the same bottom, was a reason of flate to some: the notion of creating a new, that is, a moneyed interest, in opposition to the landed interest, or as a balance to it, and of acquiring a superior influence in the city of London at least by the establishment of great corporations, was a reason of party to others: and I make no doubt that the opportunity of amassing immense estates by the management of funds, by trafficking in paper; and by all the arts of jobbing, was a reason of private interest to those who supported and improved this scheme of iniquity, if not to those who devised it. They looked no farther. Nay, we who came after them, and have long tafted the bitter fruits of the corruption they planted, were far from taking fuch an alarm at our distress, and our danger, as they deferved: till the most remote and fatal effect of causes, laid by the last generation, was very near becoming an object of experience in this. Your lordship. I am sure, sees at once how much a due reflection on the passages of former times, as they fland recorded in the history of our own, and of other countries, would have deterred a free people from trufting the fole management of fo great a revenue, and the fole nomination of those legions of officers employed in it, to their chief magistrate, There remained indeed no pretence for doing fo, when once a falary was fettled on the prince, and the public revenue was no longer in any fense his revenue, nor the public expense his expense. Give me leave to add, that it would have been, and would be still, more decent with regard to the prince, and less repugnant if not more conformable to the principles and practice too of our government, to take this power and influence from the prince, or to fhare it with him; than to exclude men from the privilege of representing their fellowfubjects who would chnfe them in parliament, purely because they are employed and trusted by the prince.

Your lordship fees not only, how much a due reflection upon the experience of other ages and countries would have pointed out national corruption, as the natural and necessary consequence of investing the crown with the management of fo great a revenue; but also the loss of liberty, as the natural and necessary consequence of national corruption.

These two examples explain sufficiently what they are intended to explain. It only remains therefore upon this head, to observe the difference between the two manners in which hiftory tupplies the defects of our own experience. It shows us causes as in fact they were laid, with their immediate effects: and it enables us to guess at future

evens. It can do no more, in the nature of things, My lord Bacox, in his fecond book of the Advancement of Learning, having in his mind, I foppofe, what PHILO and JOSEPHUS afferted of MOSES, affirms divine hildry to have this percegative, that the narration may be before the fact as well as after. But fince the ages of prophecy, as well as miracles, are paft, we muft content, ourfelves to guest at what will be, by what has been: we have no other means in our power, and hiltory furnishes us with thefe. How we are to improve, and apply these means, as well as how we are to acquire them, shall be deduced more particularly in another letter.

.

e Fig 100 te

## STUDY of HISTORY

## LETTER III.

1. An objection against the utility of history removed. 2. The fulse and true aims of those who study it. 3. Of the history of the sirst ages, with restections on the state of ancient history, profane and sacred.

WERE these letters to fall into the hands of fome ingenious persons who adorn the age we live in, your lordship's correspondent would be joked upon for his project of improving men in virtue and wisdom by the study of history. The general characters of men it would be faid, are determined by their natural conflitutions, as their particular actions are by immediate objects. Many very conversant in history would be cited, who have proved ill men, or bad politicians; and a long roll would be produced of others, who have arrived at a great pitch of private, and public virtue, without any alliflance of this kind. Something has been faid already to anticipate this objection; but, fince I have heard feveral persons affirm fuch propositions with great confidence, a loud laugh, or a filent fneer at the pedants who prefumed to think otherwife; I will fpend a few paragraphs, with your lordship's leave, to show that fuch affirmations, for to affirm amongst these

fine men is to reason, either prove too much, or prove nothing.

If our general characters were determined absolutely, as they are certainly influenced, by our constitutions, and if our particular actions were so by immediate objects; all inftruction by precept. as well as example, and all endeavours to form the moral character by education, would be unneceffary. Even the little care that is taken, and furely it is impossible to take less, in the training up our youth, would be too much. But the truth is widely different from this representation of it; for, what is vice, and what is virtue? I speak of them in a large and philosophical sense. The former, is, I think, no more than the excess, abuse, and misapplication of appetites, defires, and passions, natural and innocent, nay useful and necessary. The latter consists in the moderation and government, in the use and application of these appetites, defires, and passions, according to the rules of reason, and therefore, often in opposition. to their own blind impulse.

What now is education? that part, that principal and moft negleded part of it, I mean, which tends to form the moral character? It is, I think, an inflitution defined to lead men from their tender years, by precept and example, by argument and authority, to the practice, and to the habit of praciling thefe rules. The flronger our appetites, defires, and paffions are, the harder indeed is the tafk of education: but when the efforts of education are proportioned to this

ftrength, although our keeneft appetites and defires. and our ruling passions cannot be reduced to a quiet and uniform fubmiffion, yet, are not their excelles alluaged? are not their abuses and misapplications, in forme degree, diverted or checked? Though the pilot cannot lay the florm, cannot he carry the flip, by his art, better through it, and often prevent the wreck that would always happen. without him? If ALEXANDER, who loved wine. and was naturally choleric, had been bred under the feverity of Roman discipline, it is probable he would neither have made a bonfire of Persepolis for his whore, nor have killed his friend. If Scipio. who was naturally given to women, for which anecdote we have, if I mistake not, the authority of POLYBIUS, as well as fome verses of NAEVIUS preferred by A. GELLIUS, had been educated by OLYMPIAS at the court of PHILIP, it is improbable that he would have reflored the beautiful Spaniard. In flort, if the renowned Socrates had not corrected nature by art, this first apostle of the gentiles had been a very profligate fellow, by his own confession; for he was inclined to all the vices ZOPYRUS imputed to him, as they fay, on the observation of his physiognomy.

With him therefore, who denies the effects of education, it would be in vain to difpute; and with him who admits them, there can be no difpute, concerning that flare which I aferibe to the fludy of hildry: in forming our moral characters, and making us better men. The very perfors who pretend that inclinations cannot be refirsined, nor habits corrected, againft our natural bents

would be the first perhaps to prove, in certain cases, the contrary. A fortune at court, or the favor of a lady, have prevailed on many to conceal, and they could not conceal without restraining, which is one slep towards correcting, the vices they were by nature addicted to the moft. Shall we imagine now, that the beauty of virtue and the deformity of vice, the charms of a bright and lafting reputation, the terror of being delivered over as criminals to all posterity, the real benefit arifing from a conscientious discharge of the duty we owe to others, which benefit fortune can neither hinder nor take away, and the reasonableness of conforming ourselves to the defigns of God manifelled in the constitution of the human nature: shall we imagine, I say, that all thefe are not able to acquire the fame power over those who are continually called upon to a contemplation of them, and they who apply themselves to the study of history are so called upon, as other motives, mean and fordid in comparison of these, can usurp on other men?

2. That the fludy of hilfory, far from making is wifer, and more ufeful citizens, as well as better men, may be of no advantage whatfoever; that it may ferve to render us mere antiquaries and fellolars; or that it may help to make us forward coxombs, and prating pedants, I have already allowed. But this is not the fault of hiftery; and to convince us that it is not, we need only contraft the true ule of hiftery with the ufe that is made of it by fich men as hele. We

ought always to keep in mind, that hiftory is philosophy teaching by examples how to conduct ourselves in all the situations of private and public life; that therefore we must apply ourselves to it in a philosophical spirit and manner; that we must rife from particular to general knowledge, and that we must fit ourselves for the society and business of mankind by accustoming our minds to reflect and meditate on the characters we find described, and the course of events we find related there. Particular examples may be of use sometimes in particular cases; but the application of them is dangerous. It must be done with the utmost circumspection, or it will be seldom done with success. And yet one would think that this was the principal use of the study of history, by what has been written on the fubject. I know not whether MACHIAVEL himself is quite free from defect on this account: he feems to carry the use and application of particular examples fometimes too far. MARIUS and CATULUS paffed the Alps, met, and defeated the Cimbri beyond the frontiers of Italy. Is it fafe to conclude from hence, that whenever one people is invaded by another, the invaded ought to meet and fight the invaders at a diffance from their frontiers? MACHIAVEL'S countryman. GUICCIARDIN, was aware of the danger that might arise from such an application of examples. PETER of Medicis had involved himfelf in great difficulties, when those wars and calamities began which LEWIS SFORZA first drew and entailed on Italy, by flattering the ambition of CHARLES the eighth in order to gratify

his own, and calling the French into that country. Peter owed his diffress to his folly in departing from the general tenor of conduct his father LAURENCE had held, and hoped to relieve himfelf by imitating his father's example in one particular instance. At a time when the wars with the pope and king of Naples had reduced LAURENCE to circumstances of great danger, he took the resolution of going to FERDINAND, and of treating in person with that prince. resolution appears in history imprudent and almost desperate: were we informed of the secret reasons on which this great man acted, it would appear very possibly a wife and safe measure. It succeeded, and LAURENCE brought back with him public peace, and private fecurity. As foon as the French troops entered the dominions of Florence, PETER was struck with a panic terror, went to CHARLES the eighth, put the port of Leghorn, the fortresses of Pifa, and all the keys of the country, into this prince's hand; whereby he difarmed the Florentine commonwealth, and ruined himself. deprived of his authority, and driven out of the city, by the just indignation of the magistrates and people: and in the treaty which they made afterwards with the king of France, it was slipulated, that PETER should not remain within a hundred miles of the state, nor his brothers within the same distance of the city of Florence. On this occasion Guicciardin observes how dangerous it is to govern ourselves by particular examples; fince, to have the same success, we must have the fame prudence, and the fame fortune; and fince the example must not only answer the case before us in general, but in every minute circumftance. This is the fenfe of that admirable historian, and thefe are his words - " é fenza dubbio molto " pericolofo il governarfi con gl' elempi, fe non " concorrono, non folo in generale, ma in tutti li " particolari, le medefime ragioni; fe le cofe non " fono regolate colla medefima prudenza, e fe " oltre a tutti gli altri fondamenti, non, v'ha la " parte fua la medefima fortuna." An observation that BOILEAU makes, and a rule he lays down in speaking of translations, will properly find their place here, and ferve to explain full better what I would eflablifh, & To translate fervilely into mo-" dern langnage an ancient author phrafe by phrafe, " and word by word, is prepofterous: nothing can " be more unlike the original than fuch a copy. " It is not to flow, it is to difguife the author, and he who has known him only in this drefs, " would not know him in his own. A good writer, " inflead of taking this inglorious and unprofitable " talk upon him, will joufter contre l'original, " rather imitate than translate, and rather emulate " than imitate; he will transfuse the fense and " (pirit of the original into his own work, and " will endeavour to write as the ancient author " would have wrote, had he writ in the fame " language." Now, to improve by examples is to improve by imitation. We must catch the spirit, if we can, and conform ourfelves to the reason of them; but we must not affect to translate fervilely juto our conduct, if your lordship will allow me

the expression, the particular conduct of those good and great men, whose images history fets before us. Codrus and the Decii devoted themselves to death: one, because an oracle had foretold that the army whose general was killed would be victorious, the others in compliance, with a superfiition, that bore great analogy to a ceremony practifed in the Egyptian church, and added afterwards, as many others of the fame origin were, to the ritual of the Ifraelites. These are examples of great magnanimity, to be fure, and of magnanimity employed in the most worthy cause. In the early days of the Athenian and Roman government, when the credit of oracles and all kinds of fuperfittion prevailed, when heaven was pioufly thought to delight in blood and even human blood was thed under wild notions of atchement, propitiation, purgation, expiation, and intisfaction; they who fet fuch examples as thefe, acted a heroical and a rational part too. But if a general should act the same part now, and, in order to fecure his victory, get killed as fait as he could, he might pass for a hero, but, I am fure, he would pass for a madman. Even these examples, however, are of use; they excite us at least to venture our lives freely in the fervice of our country, by proposing to our imitation men who devoted themselves to certain death in the service of theirs, They show us what a turn of imagination can operate, and how the greatest trifle, nav the greate eft abfurdity, dreffed up in the folema airs of acligion, can carry order and confidence, or

the contrary fentiments, into the breafts of thousands.

There are certain general principles, and rules of life and conduct, which always must be true, because they are conformable to the invariable nature of things. He who studies history as he would fludy philosophy, will foon diflinguish and collect them, and by doing so will soon form to himself a general fystem of ethics and politics on the furest foundations, on the trial of these principles and rules in all ages, and on the confirmation of them by univerfal experience. I faid he will diftinguish them ; for once more I must say , that as to particular modes of actions, and measures of conduct. which the cuftoms of different countries, the manners of different ages, and the circumstances of different conjunctures, have appropriated, as it were; it is always ridiculous, or imprudent and dangerous to employ them. But this is not all. By contemplating the vast variety of particular characters and events; by examining the strange combinations of causes, different, remote and seemingly opposite, that often concur in producing one effect and the surprising fertility of one single and uniform cause in the producing of a multitude of effects as different, as remote, and feemingly as opposite; by tracing carefully, as carefully as if the fubject he confiders were of personal and immediate concern to him, all the minute and fometimes (carce perceivable circumflances, either in the characters of actors, or in the course of actions, that history enables him to trace, and according to which the success of affairs, even the greatell, is mostly determined; by these, and such methods as these, for I might descend into a much greater detail, a man of parts may improve the study of history to its proper and principal use; he may sharpen the penetration, fix the attention of his mind, and strengthen his judgment; he may acquire the taculty and the habit of discerning quicker and looking farther; and of exerting that flexibility, and steading which are necessary to joined in the conduct of all affairs that depend on the concurrence or opposition of other men.

ules

rue, na-

uld

ect elf

eft

đ

12

t

ingly

l and e and Mr. LOCER, I think, recommends the fludy of geometry even to those who have no design of being geometricians: and he gives a reason for it, that may be applied to the prefent case. Such persons may forget every problem that has been proposed, and every solution that they or other have given: but the habit of pursuing long trains of ideas will remain with them, and they will appear through the mazes of sophism, and discover a latent truth, where persons who have not this habit will never find it.

In this manner, the study of history will prepare us for adion and observation. History is manient author: experience is the modern language. We form our taste on the first; we translate the sense as the sense as

Tribbothy Car

experience is converfant about the prefent, and the prefent enables us to guess at the future; so history is conversant about the past, and by knowing the things that have been, we become better

able to judge of the things that are.

This use, my lord, which I make the proper and principal use of the study of history, is not infifted on by those who have writ concerning the method to be followed in this fludy: and fince we propole different ends, we must of course take different ways. Few of their treatifes have fallen into my hands; one, the method of BODIN, a man famous in his time, I remember to have read. I took it up with much expectation many years ago; I went through it, and remained extremely difappointed. He might have given almost any other title to his book as properly as that which flands before it. There are not many pages in it that relate any more to his subject than a tedious fifth chapter, wherein he accounts for the characters of nations according to their positions on the globe, and according to the influence of the flars; and affures his reader that nothing can be more necessary than fuch a disquisition, "ad universam historiarum cogni-" tionem, et incorruptum earum judicium." In his method, we are to take first a general view of univerfal history, and chronology, in short abstracts, and then to fludy all particular histories and fystems. SENECA speaks of men who spend their whole lives in learning how to act in life, " dum vitæ " instrumenta conquirunt." I doubt that this method of Bodin would conduct us in the fame, or as bad a way; would leave us no time for action, or would make us unit for it. A huge commonplacebook, wherein all the remarkable fayings and facts that we find in history are to be regiltered, may enable a man to talk or write like Bootts, but will never make him a better man, nor enable limit to promote, like an ufelul citizen, the fecurity, the peace, the welfare, or the grandeur of the community to which he belongs. I fall proceed therefore to fpeak of a method that leads to fuch purpoles as thefe directly and certainly, without any regard to the methods that have been preferibled by others.

I think then we must be on our guard against this very affectation of learning, and this very wantonnels of curiolity, which the examples and precepts we commonly meet with are calculated to flatter and indulge. We must neither dwell too long in the dark, nor wander about till we lofe our way in the light. We are too apt to carry systems of philosophy beyond all our ideas, and fyftems of hiftory beyond all our memorials. The philosopher begins with reason, and ends with imagination. The hiftorian inverts this order: he begins without memorials and he fornetimes ends with them. This filly cuftom is fo prevalent among men of letters who apply themselves to the fludy of history, and has so much prejudice and fo much authority on the fide of it, that your lordflip must give me leave to speak a little more particularly and plainly than I have done, in fa-

vor of common fense, against an absundity which

3.5

of

£3,

## REFLECTIONS

On the flate of ancient HISTOEY.

THE nature of man, and the conflant course of human affairs, render it impossible that the first ages of any new nation which forms itfelf, should afford authentic materials for history. We have none fuch concerning the originals of any of those nations that actually fubfift. Shall we expect to find them concerning the originals of nations difperfed, or extinguished, two or three thousand years ago? If a thread of dark and uncertain traditions, therefore, is made, as it commonly is, the introduction to history, we should touch it lightly, and run swiftly over it, far from infisting on it, either as authors or readers. Such introductions are at best no more than fanciful preludes, that try the inftruments, and precede the concert. He must be void of judgment, and taste, one would think, who can take the first for true history, or the last for true harmony. And yet so it has been, and so it is, not in Germany and Holland alone, but in Italy, in France, and in England, where genius has abounded, and tafte has been long refined. Our great scholars have dealt and deal in fables at leaft as much as our poets, with this difference to the difadvantage of the former, to whom I may apply the remark as justly as SENECA applied it to the dialecticians - " triftius " inepti funt. Illi ex professo lasciviunt; hi agere " feipfos aliquid existimant." Learned men, in learned and inquifitive ages, who possessed many

advantages that we have not, and among others that of being placed fo many centuries nearer the original truths that are the objects of fo much laborious fearch, despaired of finding them, and gave fair warning to posterity, if posterity would have taken it. The ancient geographers, as PLU-. TARCH fays in the life of THESEUS, when they laid down in their maps the little extent of fea and land that was known to them, left great spaces void. In some of these spaces they wrote, Here are fandy defarts, in others, Here are impassable marshes, Here is a chain of inhospitable mountains, or Here is a frozen ocean. Just so, both he and other historians, when they related fabulous originals, were not wanting to fet out the bounds beyond which there was neither history nor chronology. CENSORINUS has preferved the diftinction of three eras established by VARRO. This learned Roman antiquary did not determine whether the first period had any beginning, but fixed the end of it at the first, that is, according to him, the Ogygian deluge; which he placed, I think, some centuries backwarder than JULIUS AFRICANUS thought fit to place it afterwards. To this era of absolute darkness he supposed that a kind of twilight fucceeded, from the Ogygian deluge to the Olympic era, and this he called the fabulous age. From this vulgar era when CORAEBUS was crowned victor, and long after the true era when these games were instituted by IPHITUS, the Greeks pretend to be able to digest their history with some order, clearness,

and certainly: Vaxno therefore looked on it as the break of day, or the beginning of the hiftorical age. He might do fo the rather, perhaps, because he included by it the date he likewise lixed, or, upon recollection, that the elder Cavo had fixed, of the foundation of Rome within the period from which he supposed that historical truth was to be found. But yet most certain it is, that the history and chromology of the ages that follow are as constited and uncertain, as the history and chromology of those which immediately precede this era.

## 1. The flate of ancient profane history.

The Greeks did not begin to write in profe till PHERECIDES of SYROS introduced the cuflom: and CADMUS MILESIUS was their first historian. Now these men slourished long after the true, or even the vulgar Olympic era; for JOSEPHUS affirms, and in this he has great probability on his fide, that CADMUS MILESIUS, and ACUSILAUS ARGIVUS, in a word the oldest historians in Greece. were very little more aucient than the expedition of the Persians against the Greeks. As several centuries paffed between the Olympic era and these first historians, there passed likewise several more between these and the first Greek chronologers. TIMAEUS about the time of Prolomy PHILADELPHUS, and ERATOSTHENES about that of PIOLOMY EVERGETES, feem first to have digefled the events recorded by them, according to the olympiads. Precedent writers mentioned Cometimes the olympiads; but this rule of reckoning was not brought into eflabilified the fooner. The rule could not ferve to reinder history more clear and certain till it was followed: it was not followed till about five hundred years after the Olympic era. There remains therefore no pretence to place the beginning of the historical age for high as VARRO placed it, by five hundred years.

60

еċ

OΕ

Ъ

2

HELLANICUS indeed and others pretended to give the originals of cities and governments, and to deduce their narrations from great antiquity, Their works are loft, but we can judge how inconfiderable the lofs is, by the writings of that age which remain, and by the report of those who had feen the others. For inftance, HERO-DOTUS was contemporary with HELLANICUS. HERODOTUS was inquisitive enough in all confcience, and proposed to publish all he could learn of the antiquities of the Ionians, Lydians, Phrygians, Egyptians, Babylonians, Medes, and Perfians; that is, of almost all the nations who were known in his time to exist. If he wrote Affyriacs, we have them not; but we are fure that this word was used proverbially to fignify fabulous legends, foon after his time, and when the mode of publishing such relations and histories prevailed among the Greeks.

In the nine books we have, he goes back indeed almost to the Olympic era, without taking notice of it however; but he goes back only to sell an old woman's tale, of a king who lost his

crown for showing his wife naked to his favorite; and from CANDAULES and GYGES he hastens, or rather he takes a great leap, down to CYRUS.

Something like a thread of history of the Medes and then of the Persians; to the slight of XERXES, which happened in his own time, is carried on. The events of his own time are related with an air of history. But all accounts of the Greeks as well as the Perfians, which precede thefe, and all the accounts which he gives occafionally of other nations, were drawn up most manifestly on broken, perplexed, and doubtful fcraps of tradition. He had neither original records, nor any authentic memorials to guide him, and yet these are the sole foundations of true history. HERODOTUS flourished. I think, little more than half a century, and XENOPHON little more than a whole century after the death of CYRUS: and yet how various and repugnant are the relations made by these two historians, of the birth, life, and death of this prince? If most histories had come down from these ages to ours, the uncertainty and inutility of them all would be but the more manifest. We should find that Acusilaus rejected the traditions of HESIOD, that HELLANICUS contradicted Acusilaus, that Ephonus accused HELLANICUS, that TIMEUS accused EPHORUS, and all posterior writers TIMEUS. This is the report of JOSEPHUS. But, in order to show the ignorance and falshood of all those writers through whom the traditions of profane antiquity came to the Greeks, Lavill quote to your lordship a much

better

better authority than that of JOSEPHUS; the authority of one who had no prejudice to bias him, no particular cause to defend, nor fyshem of ancient history to establish, and all the helps, as well as talents, necessary to make him a competent judge. The man I mean is STRANO.

Speaking of the Massagetae in his eleventh book, he writes to this effect: that no author had given a true account of them, though feveral had writ of the war that CYRUS waged against them; and that historians had found as little credit in what they had related concerning the affairs of the Perfians, Medes, and Syrians: that this was due to their folly; for observing that those who wrote fables professedly were held in esteem, these men imagined they should render their writings more agreeable, if, under the appearance and pretence of true history, they related what they had neither feen nor heard from perfous able to give them. true information; and that accordingly their only aim had been to dress up pleasing and marvellous relations: that one may better give credit to HESIOD and HOMER, when they talk of their heroes, nay even to dramatic poets, than to CTESIAS, HERODOTUS, HELLANICUS, and their followers: that it is not fafe to give credit even to the greatest part of the historians who writ concerning ALEXANDER; fince they too, encouraged by the greater reputation of this conqueror, by the diffance to which he carried his arms, and by the difficulty of dilproving what they faid of actions performed in regions fo remote, were apt of NABONASSER. MANETHO began his history. God knows when, from the progress of Isis, or fome other as well ascertained period. He followed the Egyptian traditions of dynasties of Gods and Demi - Gods; and derived his anecdotes from the first MERCURY, who had inscribed them in sacred characters, on antediluvian pillars, antediluvian at least according to our received chronology, from which the fecond MERCURY, had transcribed them, and inferted them into his works. We have not these antiquities; for the monk of VITERBO was foon detected: and if we had them, they would either add to our uncertainty, and increase the chaos of learning, or to tell us nothing worth our knowledge. For thus I reason. Had they given particular and historical accounts conformable to the scriptures of the Jews, JOSEPHUS, JULIUS AFRICANUS, and EUSEBIUS would have made quite other extracts from their writings and would have altered and contradicted them lefs. accounts they gave, therefore, were repugnant to facred writ, or they were defective: they would have established pyrrhonism, or have baulked our curiofity.

## a. Of facred history.

What memorials therefore remain to give us light into the originals of ancient nations, and the hiftory of those ages, we commonly call the first ages? The Bible, it will be faid; that is, the

historical part of it in the Old testament. my lord, even these divine books must be reputed infufficient to the purpole, by every candid and importial man who confiders either their authority as hittories, or the matter they contain. For what are they? and how came they to us? At the time when ALEXANDER carried his arms into Afia, a people of Syria, till then unknown, became known to the Greeks: this people had been flaves to the Egyptians, Affyrians, Medes, and Perfians, as these several empires prevailed: ten parts in twelve of them had been transplanted by ancient conquerors, and melted down and loft in the eaft. feveral ages before the eftablishment of the empire that ALEXANDER destroyed: the other two parts had been carried captive to Babylon a little before the fame era. This captivity was not indeed. perpetual, like the other: but it lasted so long, and fuch circumflances, whatever they were accompanied it, that the captives forgot their country. and even their language, the Hebrew dialect at least and character: and a few of them only could be wrought upon, by the zeal of fome particular men, to return home, when the indulgence of the Perfian monarchs gave them leave to rebuild their city and to repeople their ancient patrimony. Even this remnant of the nation did not continue long entire. Another great transmigration followed; and the Jews, that fettled under the protection of the PTOLEMYS, forgot their language in Egypt, as the foretathers of these Jews had torgot theirs in Chaldea. More attached however to their religion

in Egypt, for reasons easy to be deduced from the new inflitutions that prevailed after the captivity among them, than their ancestors had been in Chaldea, a version of their facred writings was made into Greek at Alexandria, not long after the canon of these scriptures had been finished at Jerufalem; for many years could not intervene between the death of SIMON the just, by whom this canon was finished, if he died during the reign of P10-LEMY SOTER, and the beginning of this famous translation under PTOLEMY PHILADELPHUS. The Hellenist Jews reported as many marvellous things to authorize, and even to fanclify this translation, as the other Jews had reported about ESDRAS who began, and SIMON the just who finished, the canon of their fcriptures. These holy romances slid into tradition, and tradition became history: the fathers of our Christian church did not disdain to employ them. St. JEROME, for inflance, laughed at the flory of the feventy-two elders, whole translations were found to be, upon comparison, word for word the fame, though made separately, and by men who had no communication with one another. But the same St. JEROME, in the same place, quotes ARISTEAS, one of the guard of Prolemy Phila-DELPHUS, as a real personage.

The account pretended to be writ by this ARISTEAS, of all that patied relating to the unif-lation, was enough for his purpole. This he retained, and he rejected only the note improbable circumfances, which had been added to the tale, and which laid it open to most sufficient. In this

he showed great prudence, and better judgment, than that zealous, but weak apologist JUSTIN, who believed the whole flory himfelf, and endeavoured to impose it on mankind,

Thus you fee, my lord, that when we confider these books barely as histories, delivered to us on the faith of a superstitious people among whom the custom and art of pious lying prevailed remarkably. we may be allowed to doubt whether greater credit is to be given to what they tell us concerning the original, compiled in their own country and as it were out of the fight of the reft of the world; than we know, with fuch a certainty as no feholar prefumes to deny, that we ought to give to what they tell us concerning the copy?

The Hellenist Jews were extremely pleased, no doubt, to have their fcriptures in a language they understood, and that might spread the same of their antiquity, and do honor to their nation, among their mafters the Greeks. But yet we do not find that the authority of these books prevailed, or that even they were much known among the Pagan world. The reason of this cannot be. that the Greeks admired nothing that was not of their own growth, " fua tantum mirantur:" for, on the contrary, they were inquifitive and credulous in the highest degree, and they collected and published at least as many idle traditions of other nations, as they propagated of their own. JOSEPHUS pretended that THEOPOMPUS, a disciple of ISOCRATES, being about to infert in his history fome things he had taken out of holy writ, the

poor man became troubled in mind for feveral days: and that having prayed to GoD during an intermission of his illness, to reveal to him the cause of it, he learned in his fleep that this attempt was the cause; upon which he quitted the design and was cured. If JOSEPHUS had been a little more confiftent than he is very often, such a story as this would not have been told by one, who was fond, as Jews and Christians in general have been to create an opinion that the Gentiles took not their hiftory alone, but their philosophy and all their valuable knowledge, from the Jews. Notwithstanding this flory therefore, which is told in the fifteenth book of the Jewish Antiquities, and means nothing, or means to flow that the divine Providence would not fuffer anecdotes of facred to be mingled with profane history; the practice of JOSEPHUS himfelf, and of all those who have had the fame design in view, has been to confirm the former by the latter, and at any rate to suppole an appearance at least of conformity between them. We are told HECATAEUS ABDERITA, for there were two of that name, writ a history favorable to the Jews: and, not to multiply inflances, though I might eafily do it, even ALEXANDER POLYHISTOR is called in. He is quoted by Jo-SEPHUS, and praifed by EUSEBIUS as a man of parts and great variety of learning. His tellimony, about the deluge and tower of Babel, is produced by St. CYRIL in his first book against JULIAN; and Justin the apologist and martyr, in his exhortation to the Greeks, makes use of the fame

authority, among those that mention Moses as a leader and prince of the Jews Though this POLYHIS-TOR, if I remember right what I think I have met with in SUIDAS, Spoke only of a woman he called Moso, " cujus scriptum est lex hebraeo-" rum "." Had the Greek historians been conformable to the facred, I cannot fee that their anthority, which was not cotemporary, would have been of any weight. They might have copied Moses, and fo they did CIESIAS. But even this was not the cafe: whatever use a particular writer here and there might make occasionally of the scriptures, and certain it is that the Jews continued to be as much despised, and their history to be as generally neglected, nay almost as generally unknown, for a long time at leaft after the version was made at Alexandria, as they had been before. APION, an Egyptian, a man of much erudition, appeared in the world fome centuries afterwards He wrote, among other antiquities, those of his own country:, and as he was obliged to speak very often of the Jews, he spoke of them in a manner neither much to their honor, nor to that of their hiftories. He wrote purpolely against them: and Josephus attempted afterwards, but Apion was then dead, to refute him. APION paffed, I

Murch, york 'Espain' de the objectuum of mus' Espaint muster of Mangrey of Heinergap. Sai. Len. tom. II.
 even 'Ade, 1967 of Mangrey of Heinergap. Sai. Len. tom. II.
 even.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;And dig ... I Hondgus ... confyacts better dishad upitho.

state Theory Characters in reduce night, dispose yours Edga.

North of the supposement was Edgaing super. Id. tom. 1. p. 101,

But. Cantab. 1725.

know, for a vain and noify pedant; but he passed likewie for a curious, a laborious, and a learned antiquary. If he was cabalistical or singerstitions, JOSEPHUS was at least as much so as he: and if he flattered CALICULA, JOSEPHUS introduced himfelf to the court of NEAD and the lawor of PDE-RAEA, by no very honorable means, under the protection of ALITURUS, a player, and a Jew; to fay nothing of his applying to VESPASIAN the prophecies concerning the Mellinh, nor of his accompanying Truts to, the seep of Jeruslatem.

In thort, my lord, the Jewish history never chtained any credit in the world, till christianity was established. The foundations of this system being laid partly in these histories, and in the prophecies joined to them or inferted in them, chriftianity has reflected back upon them an authority which they had not before, and this authority has prevailed wherever christianity has spread. Both Jews and Christians hold the same books in great veneration, whilft each condemns the other for not understanding, or for abusing them, But I apprehend that the zeal of both has done much hurt, by endeavouring to extend their authority much farther than is necessary for the support perhaps of Judaism, but to be sure of christianity. I explain myfelf that I may offend no pious ear

Smon, in the preface to his Critical history of the Old testament, cites a divine of the faculty of Paris, who held that the infoirations of the authors of those books, which the church receives as the word of God, should be extended no farther than to matters purely of doctrine, or to fuch as have a near and necessary relation to these; and that whenever these authors writ on other subjects, fuch as Egyptian, Affyrian, or other hiftory, they had no more of the divine affiftance than any other perfons of piety. This notion of inspirations that came occasionally, that illuminated the minds and guided the hands of the facred penmen while they were writing one page, and restrained their influence while the fame authors were writing another, may be cavilled against: and what is there that may not? But furely it deferves to be treated with respect, since it tends to establish a distinction between the legal, doctrinal, or prophetical parts of the Bible, and the historical; without which diffinction it is impossible to establish the first, as evidently and as folidly as the interests of religion require: at least it appears impossible to me, after having examined and confidered, as well as I am able, all the trials of this kind that have been made by fubtile as well as learned men. The Old is faid to be the foundation of the New, and fo it is in one fenfe: the fystem of religiou contained in the latter refers to the lyftem of religion contained in the former, and supposes the truth of it. But the authority on which we receive the books of the New testament, is so far from being founded on the authority of the Old teftament, that it is quite independent on it; the New being proved, gives authority to the Old but borrows none from it; and gives this authority to the particular parts only. CHRIST came to

fulfill the prophecies; but not to confecrate all the written, any more than the oral, traditions of the Jews. We must believe these traditions as far as they relate to christianity, as far as christianity refers to them, or supposes them necessary; but we can be under no obligation to believe them any farther, fince without christianity we should be under no obligation to believe them at all.

It has been faid by ABBADIE, and others, "That the accidents which have happened to " alter the texts of the Bible, and to disfigure, " if I may fay fo, the scriptures in many respects, " could not have been prevented without a per-" petual flanding miracle, and that a perpetual " flanding miracle is not in the order of provi-" dence," Now I can by no means subscribe to this opinion. It feems evident to my reason that the very contrary must be true, if we suppose that GOD acts towards men according to the moral fitness of things: and if we suppose that he acts arbitrarily, we can form no opinion at all. I think that these accidents would not have happened, or that the scriptures would have been preserved entirely in their genuine purity notwithflanding these accidents, if they had been entirely dictated by the HOLY GHOST; and the proof of this probable proposition, according to our clearest and most distinct ideas of wisdom and moral fitness, is obvious and easy. But these scriptures are not so come down to us: they are come down broken and confused, full of additions, interpolations, and transpositions, made we neither know when, nor by whom; and fuch, in fhort, as never appeared on the face of any other book, on whose author-

ity men have agreed to rely.

This being fo, my lord, what hypothelis flall we follow? Shall we adhere to fome fuch diffinction as I have mentioned? shall we fay, for inflance, that the feriptures were written originally by the authors to whom they are vulgarly afcribed, but that thefe authors writ nothing by inspiration, except the legal, the doctrinal, and the prophetical parts, and that in every other respect their authority is purely human, and therefore fallible? Or shall we say that these histories are nothing more than compilations of old traditions, and abridgements of old records, made in later times, as they appear to every one who reads them without prepossession, and with attention? Shall we add, that whichever of these probabilities he true, we may believe, confiftently with either, notwithstanding the decision of any divines, who know no more than you or I, or any other man, of the order of providence, that all those parts and passiges of the Old testament, which contain prophecies, or matters of law or doctrine, and which were from the first of such importance in the deligns of providence to all future generations, and even to the whole race of mankind, have been from the fuft the peculiar care of providence? Shall we infift that fuch particular parts and paffages, which are plainly marked out and fufficiently confirmed by the fyttem of the Christian revelation, and by the completion of the prophecies have been preferved

from corruption by ways impenetrable to us, amidft all the changes and chances to which the books wherein they are recorded have been expofed; and that neither original writers, nor later compilers, have been suffered to make any effential alterations fuch as would have falfified the law of GoD and the principles of the Jewish and Christian religions. in any of these divine fundamental truths? Upon fuch hypothefes, we may affert without fcruple, that the genealogies and histories of the Old testament are in no respect sufficient foundations for a chronology from the beginning of time, nor for univerfal history. But then the fame hypotheles will fecure the infallibility of fcripture-authority as far as religion is concerned. Faith and reason may be reconciled a little better than they commonly are: I may deny that the Old testament is transmitted to us under all the conditions of an authentic history, and yet be at liberty to maintain that the passages in it which establish original sin, which feem favorable to the doctrine of the Trinity, which foretel the coming of the Meslish, and all others of fimilar kind, are come down to us as they were originally dictated by the HOLY GHOST.

In attributing the whole credibility of the Old tefament to the authority of the New, and in limiting the authenticity of the Lewith feriptures to thole parts alone that concern law, doctrine, and prophecy, by which their chronology and the lar greatest part of their hillory are excluded, I will venture to affure your lordibility that I do not assume so much, as is assumed in every hypothesis that assumes the divine seal of inspiration to the whole canon; that refls the whole proof on Jewish veracity; and that pretends to account particularly and positively for the descent of these ancient writings in their present state.

Another reason, for which I have insisted the rather on the diffinction fo often mentioned, is this. I think we may find very good foundation for it even in the Bible: and though this be a point very little attended to, and much difguifed, it would not be hard to show, upon great inducements of probability, that the law and the history were far from being blended together as they now fland in the Pentateuch, even from the time of Moses down to that of Esdras. But the principal and decifive reason for separating in such manner the legal, doctrinal, and prophetical parts, from the historical, is the necessity of having some rule to go by: and, I proteft, I know of none that is yet agreed upon. I content myself therefore to fix my opinion concerning the authority of the Old testament in this manner, and carry it thus far only. We must do so, or we must enter into that labyrinth of difpute and contradiction, wherein even the most orthodox Jews and Christians have wandered to many ages, and flill wander. It is ftrange, but it is true; not only the Jews differ from the Christians, but Jews and Christians both differ among themselves, concerning almost every point that is necessary to be certainly known and agreed upon, in order to establish the authority of boots which both have received already as authentic and facred. So that whoever takes the pains to read what learned men have writ on this fubjed, will find that they leave the matter as doubtful as they took it up. Who were the authors of thefe foriptures, when they were published, how they were composed and preferved, or renewed, to tide a remarkable expression of the famous HUET in his Demonstration; in fine, how they were lost during the captivity, and how they were retrieved after it, are all matters of controverfy to this day.

It would be easy for me to descend into a greater detail, and to convince your lordship of what I have been faying in general by an induction of particulars, even without any other help than that of a few notes which I took when I applied myfelf to this examination, and which now lie before me. But fuch a digreffion would carry me too far: and I fear that you will think I have faid already more than enough upon this part of my fubject, I go on therefore to observe to your lordship, that if the history of the Old testament was as exact and authentic, as the ignorance and impudence of fome Rabbies have made them affert that it is: if we could believe with them that MOSES wrote every fyllable in the Pentateuch as it now flands. or that all the plalms were written by DAVID: nay: if we could believe, with PHILO and JOSE-PHUS, that Moses wrote the account of his own death and fepulture, and made a fort of a funeral panegyric on himfelf, as we find them in the laft chapter of Deuteronomy; yet still would I venture to affert, that he who expects to find a fystem of

chronology, or a thread of history, or fufficient materials for either, in the books of the Old testament, expects to find what the authors of these books, whoever they were, never intended. They are extracts of genealogies, not genealogies; extracts of histories, not histories. The Jews themselves allow their genealogies to be very imperfect, and produce examples of omissions and errors in them, which denote fufficiently that thefe genealogies are extracts, wherein every generation in the course of descent is not mentioned I have read fomewhere, perhaps in the works of St. JEROME. that this father justifies the opinion of those who think it impossible to fix any certain chronology on that of the Bible: and this opinion will be justified still better, to the understanding of every man that confiders how grofsly the Jews plunder whenever they meddle with chronology; for this plain reason, because their scriptures are imperfect in this respect, and because they rely on their oral, to rectify and fupply their written, traditions: that is, they rely on traditions compiled long after the canon of their scriptures, but deemed by them of equal antiquity and authority. Thus, for inflance, DANIEL and SIMON the just, according to them, were members at the same time of the great fynagogue which began and finished the canon of the Old testament, under the presidence of ESDRAS. This ESDRAS was the prophet MALACHI DARIUS the ion of HYSTASPES was ARTAXERXES LONGIMANUS: he was AHASUERUS, and he was the fame DARIUS whom ALEXANDER conquered. This

í

d

×

d

2

d

0

This may ferve as a fample of Jewish chronology, formed on their fcriptures which afford infufficient lights, and on their traditions which afford false lights. We are indeed more correct, and come nearer to the truth in these influnces, perhaps in fome others, because we make use of profane chronology to help us. But profane chronology is ittelf to modern, to precarious, that this help does not reach to the greatest part of that time to which facred chronology extends; that when it begins to help, it begins to perplex us too; and finally, that even with this help we should not have had so much as the appearance of a complete chronological tystem, and the same may be said of univerfal hiftory, if learned men had not proceeded very wifely, on one uniform maxim, from the first ages of Christianity, when a custom of fanctifying profane learning, as well as profane rites, which the Jews had imprudently laid afide, was taken up by the Christians. The maxim I mean is this, that profane authority be admitted without feruple or doubt, whenever it favs, or whenever it can be made to fay, if not " totidem verbis," yet " totidem fyllabis," or " totidem literis" at least, or whenever it can be made by any interpretation to mean, what confirms, or supplies in a confistent manner, the holy writ; and that the fame authority be rejected, when nothing of this kind can be done, but the contradiction or inconfillency remains irreconcileable. Such a liberty as this would not be allowed in any other cale. because it supposes the very thing that is to be

proved. But we see it taken, very properly to be fure, in favor of facred and infallible writings, when they are compared with others.

In order to perceive with the utmost evidence, that the scope and design of the author or authors of the Pentateuch, and of the other books of the Old testiment, answer as little the purpose of antiquaries, in history, as in chronology, it will be fufficient briefly to call to mind the fum of what they relate, from the creation of the world to the eftablishment of the Persian empire. If the antedi-Invian world continued one thousand fix hundred and fifty-fix years, and if the vocation of ABRAHAM is to be placed four hundred and twenty-fix years below the delage, these twenty centuries make almost two thirds of the period mentioned: and the whole hiftory of them is comprized in eleven fliort chapters of Genefic; which is certainly the most compendious extract that ever was made. If we examine the contents of their chapters, do we find any thing like an univerfal history, or fo much as an abridgment of it? ADAM and EVE were created, they broke the commandment of Gop, they were driven out of the garden of Eden, one of their fons killed his brother, but their race foon multiplied and peopled the earth. What geography now have we, what history of this antedduvian world? Why, none. The fons of God, it is faid, lay with the daughters of men, and begot giants, and God drowned all the inhabitants of the earth, except one family. After this we read that the earth was repeopled; but their children of one family were divided into feveral languages, even whilft they lived together, fpoke the fame language, and were employed in the fame work, Out of one of the countries into which they difperfed themselves, Chaldea, GOD called ABRAHAM fome time afterwards, with magnificent promifes, and conducted him to a country called Chanaan. Did this author, my lord, intend an universal history? Certainly not. The tenth chapter of Genefis names indeed fome of the generations descending from the sons of NOAH, some of the cities founded, and fome of the countries planted by them. But what are bare names, naked of circumstances, without descriptions of countries, or relations of events? They furnish matter only for guess and dispute; and even the similitude of them, which is often used as a clue to lead us to the discovery of historical truth, has notoriously contributed to propagate error, and to increase the perplexity of ancient tradition. These imperfect and dark accounts have not furnished matter for guess and dispute alone; but a much worse use has been made of them by Jewish rabbies, Christian fathers, and Mahometan doctors, in their profane extensions of this part of the Mosaic history. The creation of the first man is described by some, as if, Preadamites, they had affifted at it. They talk, of his beauty as if they had feen him, of his gigantic fize as if they had measured him, and of his prodigious knowledge as if they had converfed with him. They point out the very fpot where Eve laid her head the first time he enjoyed her.

They have minutes of the whole convertation between this mother of mankind, who damned ber children before ihr bore them, and the ferpent. Some are positive that CAIN quarelled with ABEL about a point of doctrine, and others affirm that the difinite arose about a girl. A great deal of fuch fluff may be easily collected about Exocu, about NOAH, and about the ions of NOAH; but I wave any farther mention of such impertinencies as BONZES or TALAPOINS, would almost blush to relate. Upon the whole matter, if we may guess at the defign of an author, by the contents of his book, the defign of Moses, or of the author of the hiftory afcribed to him, in this part of it, was to inform the people of Ifrael of their defcent from NOAH by SEM, and NOAH'S from ADAM by SETH: to illustrate their original: to establish their claim to the land of Chanaan, and to justify all the cruelties committed by Joshua in the conquell of the Chananites, in whom favs BOCHART, " the prophecy of NOAH was completed, " when they were fundued by liraelites, who " had been to long flaves to the Egyptians.

Allow use to mike, as I go along, a floor reflection or two on this prophecy, and the completion of it, as they fland recorded in the Pentateuch, out of many that might be made. The terms of the prophecy then are not very clear: and the curse pronounced in it contradicts all our motions of order and of juffice. One is tempted to think, that the patriarch was full drunk; and that no man in his fense could hold

fuch language, or pass such a sentence. Certain it is, that no writer but a Jew could impute to the accommy of divine providence the accomplishment of such a prediction, nor make the Supreme Being the executor of such a curse.

ed

nt.

at

1

25

f

ort

the

HAM alone offended, CHANAAN was innocent; for the Hebrew and other doctors who would make the fon an accomplice with his father, affirm not only without, but againft, the express authority of the text. CHANAAN was however alone curfed: and he became, according to his grandfather's prophecy, " a fervant of fervants," that is, the vileft and worst of flaves (for I take these words in a fenfe, if not the most natural, the most favorable to the prophecy, and the least abfurd) to SEM, though not to JAPHET, when the Hraelites conquered Paleftine; to one of his nucles, not to his brethren. Will it be faid - it has been faid - that where we read CHANAAN, we are to understand HAM, whose brethren SEM and JAPHET were. At this rate, we shall never know what we read: as these critics never care what they fay. Will it be faid --- this has been faid too -- that HAM was punished in his posterity, when CHANAAN was curied, and his delcendants were exterminated. But who does not fee that the curse, and the punishment, in this case, fell on CHANAAN and his posterity, exclusively of the rest of the posterity of HAM; and were therefore the curfe and punishment of the ion, not of the father, property? The descendants of MESZAIM another of his fons, were the Egyptians: and they

were to far from being fervants of fervants to their coufins the Senites, that these were servants of servants to them, during more than sourscore years. Why the posterity of Chianan was to be deemed an accurled race, it is easly to account; and I have mentioned it just now. But it is not season to account, why the posterity of the righteous SEM, that great example of shial reverence, became slaves to another branch of the family of Ham.

It would not be worth while to lengthen this tedious letter, by fetting down any more of the contents of the hiftory of the bible, Your lordship may pleafe to call the substance of it to your mind; and your native candor and love of truth will oblige you then to confess, that these facred books do not aim, in any part of them, at any thing like univerfal chronology and history. They contain a very imperfect account of the Ifraelites themselves: of their settlement in the land of promile, of which, by the way, they never had entire, and fearce ever peaceable possession; of their divilions, apollalies, repentances, relapies, triumphs, and defeats under the occasional government of their judges, and under that of their kings; of the Galilean and Samaritan captivities, into which they were carried by the kings of Affyria, and of that which was brought on the remnant of this people when the kingdom of Judah was deftroyed by those princes who governed the empire founded on the union of Niniveh and Babylon. These things are all related, your lordship

knows, in a very fummary and confused manner: and we learn fo little of other nations by these accounts, that if we did not borrow fome light from the traditions of other nations, we should fcarce understand them. One particular observation, and but one, I will make, to flow what knowledge in the history of mankind, and in the computation of time, may be expected from thefe The Affyrians were their neighbours, powerful neighbours, with whom they had much and long to do. Of this empire, therefore, if of any thing, we might hope to find fome fatisfactory account. What do we find? The scripture takes no notice of any Affyrian kingdom, till just before the time when profane history makes that empire to end. Then we hear of PHUL, of TEGLATH-PHALASSER, who was perhaps the fame person, and of SALMANASSER, who took Samaria in the twelfth of the æra of NABONASSER, that is, twelve years after the Affyrian empire was no more. SENACHERIB fucceeds to him, and ASSER-HADDON to SENACHERIB. What shall we say to this apparent contrariety? If the filence of the bible creates a strong presumption against the first, may not the filence of profane authority create fome against the second Assyrian Monarchs? The pains that are taken to perfuade, that there is room enough between SARDANAPALUS and CYRUS for the fecond, will not refolve the difficulty, Something much more plaulible may be faid, but even this will be hypothetical, and liable to great contradiction. So that upon the whole matter,

٠,

n-

cit

lts,

of

the

ish

the feriptures are for firm giving us light into general bifory, that they increafe the oblivarity even of those parts to which they have the nearch relation. We have therefore neither in produce nor in facred authors such authentic, clear, diffuel, and full accounts of the originals of ancient nations, and of the great events of those ages that are commonly called the first ages, as deserve to go by the name of hillory, or as allord fulficient materials for chronology and hiltory.

I might now proceed to observe to your lordship how this has happened not only by the necellary confequences of human nature, and the ordinary courie of human affairs. but by the policy, artifice, corruption, and folly of mankind. But this would be to heap digression upon digression, and to prefume too much on your patience. I shall therefore content myfell to apply these reflections on the state of ancient history to the study of history, and to the method to be observed in it; as soon as your lordship has resled yourself a little alter reading, and I after writing so long a letter.

## STUDY of HISTORY.

## LETTER IV.

 That there is in history sufficient authenticity to render it useful, notwithstanding all objections to the contrary.

77-

 Of the method and due refirictions to be observed in the fludy of it.

WHETHER the letter I now begin to write will be long, or fhort, I know not f but Lfind my memory is refreshed, my imagination warmed, and matter flows in 6 fast upon me, that I have not time to press it close. Since therefore you have provided me to write, you must be content to take what follows.

I have observed already that we are apt naturally to apply to ourselves what has happened to other men, and that examples take their force from hence; as well those which history, as those which experience, offers to our ressection. What we do not believe to have happened therefore, we finall not thus apply: and for want of the same application, such examples will not have the same effect. Ancient history, such ancient history as I have described, is quite unfit therefore in this raspect to answer resonable man should propose to himself in this sludy; man should propose to himself in this sludy;

because such ancient history will never gain sufficient credit with any reasonable man. A tale well told, or a comedy or a tragedy well wrought up, may have a momentary effect upon the mind, by heating the imagination, furprifing the judgment, and affecting strongly the passions. The Athenians are faid to have been transported into a kind of martial phrenzy by the representation of a tragedy of ÆSCHYLUS, and to have marched under this influence from the theatre to the plains of MA-RATHON. These momentary impressions might be managed, for aught I know, in fuch a manner as to contribute a little, by frequent repetitions of them, towards maintaining a kind of habitual contempt of folly, deteftation of vice, and admiration of virtue in well-policed common-wealths. But then these impressions cannot be made, nor this little effect be wrought, unless the fables bear an appearance of truth. When they bear this appearance, reason connives at the innocent fraud of imagination; reason dispenses, in favor of probability, with those strict rules of criticism that the has established to try the truth of fact: but, after all, she receives these fables as fables; and as fuch only the permits imagination to make the most of them. If they pretended to be history, they would be foon subjected to another and more fevere examination. What may have happened, is the matter of an ingenious fable: what has happened, is that of an authentic history: the impressions which one or the other makes are in proportion. When imagination grows lawless and

wild, rambles out of the precincts of nature, and tells of heroes and giants, fairies and enchanters, of events and of phenomena repugnant to universal experience, to our clearest and most distinct ideas, and to all the known laws of nature, reason does not connive a moment: but, far from receiving fuch narrations as historical, she rejects them as unworthy to be placed even among the fabulous. Such narrations therefore cannot make the flightest momentary impressions on a mind fraught with knowledge, and void of superstition. Imposed by authority, and affisted by artifice, the delufion hardly prevails over common fense; blind ignorance almost sees, and rash superstition hesitates; nothing less than enthusiasm and phrenzy can give credit to fuch histories, or apply fuch examples. Don QUIXOTE believed; but even SANCHO doubted.

What I have faid will not be much controverted by any man who has read AMADIS of Gaul, or has examined our ancient traditions without prepoffession. The truth is, the principal difference between them seems to be this. In AMADIS of Gaul, we have a thread of absurdities that are invented without any regard to probability, and that lay no claim to belief: ancient traditions are a heap of fables, under which some particular traths, inscrutable, and therefore useless to mankind, may lie concealed; which have a just pretence to nothing more, and yet impose themselves upon us and become, under the venerable name of ancient history, the soundations of modern sables,

the materials with which so many systems of fancy have been erected.

But now, as men are apt to carry their judgments into extremes, there are fome that will be ready to infift that all history is febulous, and that the very hell is nothing better than a probable tale, artfully contrived, and plaufibly told, wherein truth and falfhood are indiffinguifhably blended together All the inflances, and all the common-place arguments, that BAYLE and others have employed to establish this fort of Pyrrhonism. will be quoted; and from thence it will be concluded, that if the pretended histories of the first ages, and of the originals of nations, be too improbable and too ill-vouched to procure any degree of belief, those histories that have been writ later, that carry a greater air of probability, and that boaft even contemporary authority, are at least insufficient to gain that degree of firm belief, which is necessary to render the findy of them useful to mankind. But here that happens which often happens: the premifes are true, and the conclusion is falle; because a general axiom is eftablished precariously on a certain number of partial observations. This matter is of confequence: for it tends to afcertain the degrees of allent that we may give to history.

I agree then that hillory has been purpointy and lyftematically lafitled in all ages, and that partiality and prejudice have occasioned both voluntary and involuntary errors even in the best. Let me fay without offence, my lord, fince I

may fay it with truth and am able to prove it, that ecclefiaftical authority has led the way to this corruption in all ages, and all religions. How monstrous were the absurdities that the priesthood imposed on the ignorance and superstition of mankind in the Pagan world, concerning the originals of religious and governments, their inflitutions and rites, their laws and customs? What opportunities had they for fuch impolitions, whilst the keeping the records and collecting the traditions was in fo many nations the peculiar office of this order of men? A custom highly extolled by JOSEPHUS, but plainly liable to the groffest frauds. and even a temptation to them. If the foundations of Judaism and Christianity have been laid in truth. yet what numberless fables have been invented to raife, to embellish, and to support these structures, according to the interest and taste of the several architects? That the Jews have been guilty of this will be allowed: and, to the shame of Christians, if not of Christianity, the fathers of one church have no right to throw the first stone at the fathers of the other. Deliberate systematical lying has been practifed and encouraged from age to age; and among all the pious frauds that have been employed to maintain a reverence and zeal for their religion in the minds of men, this abuse of history has been one of the principal and most successful: an evident and experimental proof, by the way, of wat I have infifted upon fo much, the aptitude and natural tendency of history to form our opimons, and to fettle our habits. This righteous

expedient was in fo much use and repute in the Greek church, that one METAPHRASTUS wrote a treatife on the art of compoling holy romances: the fact, if I remember right, is cited by BAILLET in his book of the lives of the faints. He and other learned men of the Roman church have thought it of fervice to their cause, fince the refurredion of letters, to detect fome impostures, and to depofe, or to unniche, according to the French expression, now and then a reputed faint; but they feem in doing this to mean no more than a fort of composition: they give up some fables that they may defend others with greater advantage, and they make truth ferve as a flalking - horse to error. The same spirit, that prevailed in the Eastern church, prevailed in the Western, and prevails still. A strong proof of it appeared lately in the country where I am. A fudden fury of devotion feized the people of Paris for a little prieft\*, undiftinguished during his life, and dubbed a faint by the Jansenists after his death. Had the first minister been a Jansenist, the faint had been a faint still. All France had kept his festival: and, fince there are thousands of eye-witnesses ready to attest the truth of all the miracles supposed to have been wrought at his tomb, notwithstanding the discouragement which these zealots have met with from the government; we may affure ourselves, that these filly impostures would have been transmitted in all the solemn

<sup>\*</sup> The abbé Plris.

pomp of history, from the knaves of this age to the fools of the next.

This lying spirit has gone forth from the ecclesiaftical to other historians: and I might fill many pages with inflances of extravagant fables that have been invented in feveral natious, to celebrate their antiquity, to ennoble their originals, and to make them appear illustrious in the arts of peace and the triumphs of war. When the brain is well heated, and devotion or vanity, the femblance of virtue or real vice, and, above all disputes and contests, have inspired that complication of passion we term zeal, the effects are much the fame, and history becomes very often a lying panegyric or aelying fatire; for different nations, or different parties in the fame nation, belie one another without any respect for truth, as they murder one another without any regard to right or fenfe of humanity. Religious zeal may boalt this horrid advantage over civil zeal, that the effects of it have been more fanguinary, and the malice more unrelenting. In another respect they are more alike, and keep a nearer proportion: different religious have not been quite to barbarous to one another as feels of the same religion; and, in like manner, nation has better quarter from nation, than party from party. But, in all thefe controversies, men have pushed their rage beyond their own and their advertaries lives : they have endeavoured to interest posterity in their quarrels, and by rendering history subservient to this wicked purpole, they have done their utmost to

perpetuate fendal, and to immortalize their animofity. The Heathen taxed the Jewe even with idolatry; the Jews joined with the Heathen to render Chriffianity odious: but the church who beat them at their own weapons during thefe contells, has had this further triumph over them, as well as over the feveral feels that have arifen within her own pale: the works of those who have writ against her have been destroyed; and whatever she advanced, to jullify herielf and to defame her adversaries, is preserved in her annals, and the writings of her doclors.

The charge of corrupting history, in the cause of religion, has been always committed to the most famous champions, and greatest faints of each church; and, if I was not more afraid of tiring, than of feandalizing your lordship, I could quote to you examples of modern churchmen who have endeavoured to justify foul language by the New testament, and cruelty by the Old: nay, what is execrable beyond imagination, and what ftrikes horror into every mind that entertains due fentiments of the fupreme Being, God himfelf has been cited for rallying and infulting ADAM after his fall. In other cases, this charge belongs to the pedants of every nation, and the tools of every party. What accufations of idolatry and fuperflition have not been brought, and aggravated against the Mahometans? Thole wretched Chaiftians who returned from those wars, so inproperly called the holy wars, rumored thefe flories about the West: and you may find, in forne

fome of the old chroniclers and romance-writers, as well as poets the Saracens called Paynims; though furely they were much further off from any fufpicion of Polytheifm than those who called them by that name. When MAHOMET the fecond took Conflantinople in the fifteenth century, the Mahometans began to be a little better, and but a little better known, than they had been before, to thefe parts of the world. But their religion, as well as their customs and manners, was strangely missepresented by the Greek resugres that sled from the Turks; and the terror and hatred which this people had inspired by the rapidity of their conquefts, and by their ferocity, made all thefe mifreprefentations univerfally pals for truths, Many fuch inflances may be collected from MARACCIO'S refutation of the koran; and RELANDUS has published a very valuable treatise on purpose to refute these calumnies, and to justify the Mahometans. Does not this example incline your lordship to think, that the Heathens, and the Arians, and other heretics, would not appear quite fo abfurd in their opinions, nor fo abominable in their practice, as the orthodox Christians have represented them; if fome RELANDUS could arife, with the materials necessary to their justification in his hands? He who reflects on the circumstances that attended letters, from the time when CONSTANTINE, inflead of uniting the characters of emperor and fovereign pontiff in himfelf, when he became Chriftian, as they were united in him and all the other emperors in the Pagan fystem of government, gave

fo much independent wealth and power to the clergy, and the means of acquiring so much more: he who carries these reflections on through all the latter empire, and through those ages of ignorance and inperfition, wherein it was hard to fav which was greatest, the tyranny of the clergy, or the fervility of the laity: he who confiders the extreme feverity, for inflance, of the laws made by Theodosius in order to stifle every writing that the orthodox clergy, that is, the clergy then in fashion, disliked; or the character and influence of fuch a prieft as GREGORY called the great, who proclaimed war to all heathen learning in order to promote Christian verity; and flattered BRUNE-HAULT, and abetted PHOCAS: he who confiders all these things, I say, will not be at a loss to find the reasons, why history, both that which was writ before and a great part, of that which has been writ fince the Christian æra, is come to us so imperfect and so corrupt,

When the imperfection is due to a total want of memorials, either because none were originally written, or because they have been lost by devaltations of countries, extirpations of people, and other accidents in a long course of time; or because zeal, malice, and policy have joined their endeavours to destroy them purposley; we must be content to remain in our ignorance, and there is no great harm in that. Secure from being deceived, I can submit to be uninformed. But when there is not a total want of memorials, when some have been lost or destroyed, and others lave been

preferred and propagated, then we are in danger of being deceived; and therefore he must be very implicit indeed who receives for true the history of any religion or nation, and much more that of any fect or porty, without liaving the means of confronting it with fome other hiftory. A reafonable man will not be thus implicit. He will not establish the truth of history on fingle, but on concurrent tellumony. If there he none fuch, he will doubt absolutely; if there be a little such he will proportion his affent or diffent accordingly. A finall gleam of light, borrowed from foreign anecdo'es, forces often to discover a whole system of falfaood: and even they who corrupt history frequently betray themselves by their ignorance or inadvertency. Examples whereof I could eafily produce. Upon the whole matter, in all these cases we cannot be deceived effentially, unless we please: and therefore there is no reason to establish Pyrrhonifm, that we may avoid the ridicule of credulity

In all other cafes, there is lefs reason fitill to do
fo; for when hiltories and hiltorical memorials
abound, even those that are false ferve to the
didensery of the truth. Inspired by different passions,
and contrived for opposite purposes, they contradicly,
and, contradiciting, they convict one another. Criticism separates the ore from the droß, and extracis
from various authors a series of true history, which
could not have been sound entire in any one of
them, and will command our affent, when it is
formed with judgment, and represented with candor.

If this may be done, as it has been done sometimes,

with the help of authors who writ on purpose to deceive; how much more eafily, and more effectually may it be done, with the help of those who paid a greater regard to truth? In a multitude of writers there will be always fome, either incapable of gross prevarication from the fear of being difcovered, and of acquiring infamy whilft they feek for fame; or elfe attached to truth upon a nobler and furer principle. It is certain that these, even the last of them, are fallible. Bribed by some passion or other, the former may venture now and then to propagate a falshood, or to disguise a truth; like the painter that drew in profile, as LUCIAN fays, the picture of a prince that had but one eye. MONTAGNE objects to the memorials of Du Bellay, that though the gross of the facts be truly related, yet thefe authors turned every thing they mentioned to the advantage of their mafter. and mentioned nothing which could not be fo turned. The old fellow's words are worth quoting. :- " De contourner le jugement des événe-" mens fouvent contre raison à notre avantage, et d'obmettre tout ce qu'il y a de chatouilleux " en la vie de leur maistre, ils en font mestier." These, and such as these, deviate occasionally and voluntarily from truth; but even they who are attached to it the most religiously may slide sometimes into involuntary error. In matters of history we prefer very juftly cotemporary authority; and yet cotemporary authors are the most liable to be warped from the strait rule of truth, in writing on subjects which have affected them strongly,

" et quorum pars magna fuerunt, " I am fo perfuaded of this from what I have felt in myfelf, and observed in others, that if life and health enough fall to my fhare, and I am able to finish what I meditate, a kind of history, from the late Queen's accession to the throne, to the peace of Utrecht, there will be no materials that I shall examine more fcrupuloufly, and feverely, than those of the time when the events to be spoken of were in transaction. But though the writers of these two forts, both of whom pay as much regard to truth as the various infirmities of our nature admit, are fallible, yet this fallibility will not be fufficient to give color to Pyrrhonism. Where their fincerity as to fact is doubtful, we firike out truth by the confrontation of different accounts: as we strike out foarks of fire by the collision of flints and Where their judgments are infpicions of partiality, we may judge for ourselves; or adopt their judgments, after weighing them with certain grains of allowance. A little natural fagacity will proportion these grains according to the particular circumstances of the authors, or their general characters; for even these influence. Thus MONTAGNE pretends, but he exaggerates a little that GUIC-CIARDIN no where afcribes any one action to a virtuous, but every one to a vicious principle, Something like this has been reproached to TACI-TUS; and, notwithstanding all the sprightly, loose observations of MONTAGNE in one of his essays, where he labors to prove the contrary, read PLUTARCH'S comparisons in what language you pleafe. I am of Bodn's mind you will perceive that they were made by a Greek. In fhort, my lord, the favorable opportunities of corrupting history have been often interrupted and are now over in fo many countries, that truth penetrates even into those where lying continues fill to be part of the policy ecclessifical and civil; or where, to say the best we can fay, truth is never suffered to appear, till she has passed through hands, out of which the feldom returns entire and undefited.

But it is time I should conclude this head. under which I have touched forme of those reasons that show the folly of endeavouring to establish univerfal Pyrrhonism in matters of history, because there are few histories without some lies, and none without fome mistakes; and that prove the body of history which we possess, since ancient, memorials have been fo critically examined, and modern memorials have been fo multiplied, to contain in it fuch a probable feries of events, eafily diffinguished from the improbable, as force the affent of every man who is in his fenies, and are therefore sufficient to answer all the purposes of the fludy of history. I might have appealed perhaps, without entering into the argument at all, to any man of candor, whether his doubts concerning the truth of history have hindered him from applying the examples he has met with in it, and from judging of the prefent, and fometimes of the future, by the paft? whether he has not been touched with reverence and admiration, at the virtue and wildom of fome men, and of fome

ager: and whether he has not felt indignation and contempt for others? whether EPAMISONAS, or PHOCIOS, for inflance, the DECII, or the SCIPIOS, have not raifed in his mind a flame of public fight, and private virtue? and whether he has not fluddered with hortor at the proferiptions of MARIUS and SYLLA, at the treachery of THEODOTUS and ACHILLAS, and at the confummate-cruelty of an infant king? "Quis non contra Marii "arma, & contra Sylle proferiptionem concitatur? "Quis non THEODOTO, & ACHILLA, "& ipfi muero, non puerile audo Leainus, infelties eft?" If all this be a digrellion therefore, your lordihip will be fo good as to excuse it.

II. What has been faid concerning the multiplicity of histories, and of historical memorials wherewith our libraries abound fince the refurrection of letters happened, and the art of printing began, puts me in mind of another general rule, that ought to be observed by every man who intends to make a real improvement, and to become wifer as well as better, by the fludy of history. I hinted at this rule in a former letter, where I faid that we should neither grope in the dark, nor wander in the light. History must have a certain degree of probability, and authenticity, or the examples we find in it will not carry a force fufficient to make due impressions on our minds, nor to illustrate nor to strengthen the precepts of philosophy and the rules of good policy. But besides, when histories have this, necessary authenticity and probability, there is much discernment to be employed in the choice and the tife we make of them. Some are to be read, fome are to be fludied; and fome may be neglected entirely, not only without detriment, but with advantage. Some are the proper objects of one man's curiofity, fome of another's, and fome of all men's, but all history is not an object of curiofity for any man. He who improperly, wantonly, and abfurdly makes it fo, indulges a fort of eanine appenite: the curiofity of one, like the hunger of the other, devours ravenously and without diffinction whatever falls in it's way, but neither of them digefts. They heap crudity upon crudity, and nourish and improve nothing but their diftemper. Some such characters I have known, though it is not the most common extreme into which men are apt to fall. One of them I knew in this country. He joined, to a more than athletic strength of body, a prodigious memory; and to both a prodigious industry. He had read almost constantly twelve or fourteen hours a day, for five-and-twenty or thirty years; and had heaped together as much learning as could be crowded into a head. In the course of my acquaintance with him, I confulted him once or twice, not oftener: for I found this mass of learning of as little use to me as to the owner. The man was communicative enough; but nothing was diffinct in his mind. How could it be otherwise? he had never spared time to think, all was employed in reading. His reason had not the merit of common mechanism. When you press a watch

المستخدمة

or pull a clock, they answer your question with . precision; for they repeat exactly the hour of the day, and tell you neither more nor less than your defire to know. But when you asked this man a question, he overwhelmed you by pouring forthall that the feveral terms or words of your question recalled to his memory: and if he omitted any thing, it was that very thing to which the fenfe of the whole question should have led him and confined him. To ask him a question, was to wind up a fpring in his memory, that rattled on with vast rapidity, and confused noise, till the force of it was fpent; and you went away with all the noise in your ears, funned and un-informed. I never left him that I was not ready to fay to him, " Dieu vous fasse la grâce de devenir moins sa-" vant! " a wish that LA MOTHE LE VAYER mentions upon fome occasion or other, and that he would have done well to have applied to himself upon many.

He who reads with diferrment and choice, will acquire lefs learning, but more knowledge: and as this knowledge is collected with defign, and cultivated with art and method, it will be at all times of immediate and ready use to himself and others,

> Thus useful arms in magazines we place, All rang'd in orders and dispos'd with grace: Nor thus alone the curious eye to please; But to be found, when need requires, with safe:

You remember the verses, my lord, in our friend's Essay on Criticism, which was the work of his childhood almost; but is such a monument of good sense and poetry as no other, that I know, has raised in his riper years.

He who reads without this difcernment and choice, and, like Bodin's pupil, refolves to read all, will not have time, no, nor capacity neither. to do any thing elfe. He will not be able to think, without which it is impertinent to read; nor to act, without which it is impertinent to think. He will affemble materials with much pains, and purchase them at much expense, and have neither leifure nor skill to frame them into proper scanslings, or to prepare them for use. To what purpose should he husband his time, or learn architecture? he has no defign to build. But then to what purpose all these quarries of stone, all these mountains of fand and lime, all these forests of oak and deal? "Magno impendio temporum, magna " alienarum aurium moleftia, laudatio hæc conflat, " O hominem literatum! Simus hoc titulo rufticiore "contenti, O virum bonum! " We may add, and SENECA might have added in his own ftyle. and according to the manners and characters of his own age, another title as ruftic, and as little in fashion, "O virum fapientia fua fimplicem, & " fimplicitate fua fapientem! O virum utileni fibi. " fuis, reipublicæ, & humano generi! " I have faid perhaps already, but no matter, it cannot be repeated too often, that the drift of all philosophy, and of all political speculations, ought to be

the making us better men, and better citizens. Those fludies, which have no intention towards improving our moral characlers, have no pretence to be flyled philosophical "Quis eftenim, "fays Tull'x in his Offices," (api nullis offici preceptis "tradendis, philosophium se audeat dicere?" Whatever political speculations, instead of preparing to be buffell to fociety and to promote the happiness of mankind, are only systems for gratifying private ambitions, and promoting private interess at the public expense; all such, I say, deserve to be burnt, and the authors of them to starve, like MACHIANTE, in a jail.

## LETTER V.

- I. The great use of history, properly so called, as distinguished from the writings of mere annalists and antiquaries.
- II Greek and Roman historians.
- III. Some idea of a complete hiftory.
- IV. Eurther cautions to be observed in this study, and the resulation of it according to the different professions, and situations of men: above all, the use to be made of it (1) by divines, and 1:) by those who are called to the service of their country.

I RFMEMBER my laft letter ended abruptly, and a long interval has fince passed to that the thread I had then spoun has slips from me. I will try to recover it, and to pursue the task your lordship has obliged me to continue. Besides the pleasure of obeying your orders, it is likewise of some advantage to myself, to recolled my thoughts, and resume a study in which I was conversant formerly. For nothing can be more true than that sying of SoLoo reported by PLATO, though censured by him, impertinently enough in one of his wild books of laws... "Allidne addistens, ad Senium Syenio." The truth is, the most knowing man

in the course of the longest life, will have always much to learn, and the wisest and best much to improve. This rule will hold in the knowledge and improvement to be acquired by the study of history: and therefore even he who has gone to this school in his youth, should not neglect it in his age. "I read in LLIVY, " sizy MONIAGNE, " what another man does not, and Plutarch are and there what I do not. "Just for the same man may read at fitty what he did not read in the same book at sive-and-twenty: at least I have found it fo, by my own experience, on many occasions.

By comparing, in this fludy, the experience of other men and other ages with our own, we improve both: we analyse, as it were, philosophy. We reduce all the abstract speculations of ethics, and all the general rules of human policy, to their first principles. With these advantages every man may, though few men do, advance daily towards those ideas, those increated essences, a Platonist would fay; which no human creature can reach in practice, but in the nearest approaches to which the perfection of our nature confifts; because every approach of this kind renders a man better. and wifer for himfelf, for his family, for the little community of his own country, and for the great community of the world. Be not furprifed, my lord, at the order in which I place these objects. Whatever order divines and moralifts, who contemplate the duties belonging to these objects, may place them in, this is the order they hold in nature: and I have always thought that we might lead ourselves and others to private virtue, more effectually by a due observation of this order, than by any of those sublime refinements that pervert it.

Selflove but ferves the virtuous mind to wake; As the finall pebble flirs the peaceful lake. The centre mov'd, a circle strait succeeds; Another still, and still another spreads: Friend, parent, neighbour, sins it will embrace; His country next, and next all human race.

So fings our friend POPE, my lord, and so I believe. So I shall prove too, if I mislake not, in an episse I am about to write to him, in order to complete a set that were writ some years

ago.

A man of my age, who returns to the fludy of history, has no time to lose, because he has little to live: a man of your lordship's age has no time to lofe, because he has much to do. For different reasons therefore the same rules will suit us. Neither of us must grope in the dark, neithet of us must wander in the light. I have done the first formerly a good deal; "ne verba mihi daren-"tur; ne aliquid effe, in hac recondita antiquitatis " scientia, magni ac secreti boni judicaremus." If you take my word, you will throw none of your time away in the fame manner: and I shall have the less regret for that which I have mispent, if I perfuade you to haften down from the broken traditions of antiquity, to the more entire as well as more authentic histories of ages more modern. In the fludy of these we shall find many a

complete feries of events, preceded by a deduction of their immediate and remote causes, related in their full extent, and accompanied with fuch a detail of circumstances, and characters, as may transport the attentive reader back to the very time, make him a party to the councils, and an actor in the whole scene of affairs. Such draughts as thefe, either found in history or extracted by our own application from it, and fuch alone, are truly ufeful. Thus hiftory becomes what she ought to be, and what she has been sometimes called, "magistra vitæ", the mistress, like philosophy, of human life. If the is not this, the is at best " nuntia vetuftatis," the gazette of antiquity, or a dry register of useless anecdotes. Suetonius fays that TIBERIUS used to inquire of the grammarians, "quæ mater Hecubæ? Quod Achillis " nomen inter virgines fuiffet? Quid Syrenes " cantare fint folitæ?" SENECA mentions certain Greek authors, who examined very accurately, whether ANACREON loved wine or women best, whether SAPPHO was a common whore, with other points of equal importance; and I make no doubt but that a man, better acquainted than I have the honor to be with the learned persons of, our own country, might find fome who have discovered several anecdotes concerning the giant ALBION, concerning SAMOTHES the fon of BRITO, the grand-fon of JAPHET, and concerning BRUTUS who led a colony into our island after the fiege of Troy, as the others re-peopled it after the deluge. But the millions of fuch anecdotes as thefe, though

they were true; and complete anthentic volumes of Egyptim or Chaldean, of Greek or Latin, of Gallie or British, or French or Saxon records, would be of no value in my fenfe, because of no use towards our improvement in wildom and virtue; if they contained nothing more than dynafties and genealogies, and a bare mention of remarkable events in the order of time, like journals, chronological tables; or dry and meagre annals.

I fay the fame of all those modern compositions in which we find rather the heads of history. than any thing that deferves to be called hiftory. Their authors are either abridgers or compilers. The first do neither honor to themselves nor good to mankind; for furely the abridger is in a form below the translator; and the book, at least the history, that wants to be abridged, does not deferve to be read. They have done anciently a great deal of hurt by fubflituting many a bad book in the place of a good one; and by giving occasion to men, who contented themselves with extracts and abridgments, to neglect, and through their neglect, to lofe the invaluable originals: for which. reason I curse Constantine Porphyrogenetes as heartily as I do GREGORY. The fecond are of fome use, as far as they contribute to preserve public acts, and dates, and the memory of great events. But they who are thus employed have feldom the means of knowing those private pasfages on which all public transactions depend, and as feldom, the fkill and the talents necessary to

put what they do know well together: they cannot fee the working of the mine, but their industry collects the matter that is thrown out. It is the business, or it should be so, of others to separate the pure ore from the dross, to stamp it into coin, and to enrich not encumber mankind. When there are none sufficient to this task there may be antiquaries, and there may be journalists or annalists but there are no historians.

It is worth while to observe the progress that the Romans and the Greeks made towards history. The Romans had journalists or annalists from the very beginning of their state. In the fixth century. or very near it at fooness, they began to have antiquaries, and fome attempts were made towards writing of history. I call these first historical productions attempts only or effays: and they were no more, neither among the Romans nor among the Greeks. "Græci ipft fie initio fcripti-" tarunt ut nofter CATO, ut PICTOR, ut PISO." It is ANTONY, not the Triumvir, my lord, but his grandfather the famous orator, who fays this in the fecond book of TULLY De oratore: he adds afterwards, "Itaque qualis apud Græcos " PHERECYDES, HELLANICUS. ACUSILAUS aiia que permulti, talis noster CATO, et PICTOR. " et Piso. " I know that Aniony speaks here firicity of defect of flyle and want of oratory. They were "tantummodo narratores, non exora a natores," as he expresses himself: but as they wanted style and skill to write in such a manner as might answer all the ends of history, so they

wanted materials. PHERECYDES writ fomething about IPHIGENIA, and the feftivals of BACCHUS. HELLANICUS was a poetical historian, and Acust-LAUS graved genealogies on plates of brass. PICTOR, who is called by LIVY a scriptorum " antiquissimus, "published, I think, some short annals of his own time. Neither he nor Piso could have fufficient materials for the hiftory of Rome; nor CATO, I prefume, even for the antiquities of Italy. The Romans, with the other people of that country, were then just rising out of barbarity, and growing acquainted with letters: for those that the Grecian colonies might bring into Sicily, and the fouthern parts of Italy, spread little, or lasted little, and made in the whole no figure. And whatever learning might have flourished among the ancient Etrurians, which was perhaps at most nothing better than angury, and divination, and superstitious rites, which were admired and cultivated in ignorant ages, even that was almost entirely worn out of memory. Pedants, who would impose all the traditions of the four first ages of Rome, for authentic history, have infifted much on certain annals, of which mention is made in the very place I have just now quoted. "Ab initio rerum Romanarum, "favs the fame interlocutor, "ufque ad P. MUCIUM pontificem " maximum, res omnes fingulorum annorum " mandabat literis pontifex maximus, efferebatque " in album, et proponebat tabulam domi, potestas " ut effet populo cognoscendi, iidemque etiam " nunc annales maximi nominantur." But, my

lord, he pleased to take notice, that the very distinction I make is made here between a bare annalist and a historian: " erat historia nihil " aliud," in thefe early days, " neft annalium "confectio" Take notice likewife, by the way. that LIVY, whose particular application it had been to fearch into this matter, affirms politively that the greatest part of all public and private monuments, among which he specifies these very annals, had been destroyed in the fack of Rome by the Gauls: and PLUTARCH cites CLODIUS for the lame affertion, in the life of NUMA POMPILIUS. Take notice, in the last place, of that which is more immediately to our present purpose. These annals could contain nothing more than short minutes or memorandums hung up in a table at the pontiff's house, like the rules of the game in a billiard-room, and much fuch history as we have in the epitomes prefixed to the books of LIVY or of any other historian, in lapidary inscriptions, or in some modern almanacs. Materials for history they were no ,doubt, but scanty and infufficient, fuch as those ages could produce where writing and reading were accomplishments fo aracommon, that the prætor was directed by law, clayum pangere, to drive a nail into the door of a temple, twat the number of years might be reckoned by the number of nails. Such in fhort as we have in monkish annalists, and other ancient chroniclers of nations now in being: but not fuch as can entitle the authors of them to be called historians, nor can enable others to write history in that fulness in which it must be written to become a lesson of ethics and politics. The truth is, nations like men, have their infancy: and the few passages of that time, which they retain, are not fuch as deferved most to be remembered; but fuch as, being most proportioned to that age, made the firongest impressions on their minds. In those nations that preserve their dominion long and grow up to manhood, the elegant as well as the necessary arts and sciences are improved to some degree of perfection; and history, that was at first intended only to record , the names, or perhaps the general characters of fome famous men, and to transmit in gress the remarkable events of every age to posterity, is raifed to answer another, and a nobler end.

II. Thus it happened among the Greeks, bue much more among the Romans, notwithflanding the prejudices in Lwor of the former even among the latter. I have fometimes thought that Viscul might have juftly afcribed to his countrymen the praife of writing hiltory better, as well as that of affording the nobleft fubjects for it, in those famous veries, \* where the different excellencies of the two nations are fo finely touched: but he would have weakened perhaps by lengthening, ang have flattened the climax. Open Hakodortus,

Exendent alli Spirantia mollion aera, Credo equidem vivos duornt de marmore vultus; Orabunt causta meliusi ceitique merus Deferibent radio, et furgantis Bérer dioent! To regree imperio popole, Remane, memenof His tibi erunt arces: pacifique impoarre moreme-Parence fubblectis, et debelligate fuperbos.

you are entertained by an agreeable flory-teller, who meant to entertain, and nothing more. Read THUCYDIDES or XENOPHON, you are taught indeed as well as entertained: and the flatefman or the general, the philosopher or the orator, fpeaks to you in every page. They wrote on subjects on which they were well informed, and they treated them fully: they maintained the dignity of history, and thought it beneath them to wamp, up old traditions, like the writers of their age and country, and to be the trumpeters of a lying antiquity. The Cyropædia of XENO-PHON may be objected perhaps: but if he gave it for a romance, not a history, as he might for aught we can tell, it is out of the cafe: and if he give it for a hiftory, not a romance, I should prefer his authority to that of HERODOTUS or any other of his countrymen. But however this might be, and whatever merit we may juftly ascribe to these two writers, who were almost fingle in their kind, and who treated but fmall portions of hiftory; certain it is in general, that the Levity as well as lognacity of the Greeks made them incapable of keeping up to the true standard of hiftory; and even POLYBIUS and DIONYSIUS of Halicarnaffus must how to the great Roman authors 'Many principal men of that commonwealth wrote memorials of their own actions and their own times: SYLIA, CESAR, LABIENUS, POLLIO. AUGUSTUS, and others. What writers of memorials, what compilers of the materia Inflorica were thefe? What genius was necessary

H.

to finish up the pictures that such masters had fketched? Rome afforded men that were equal to the tafk. Let the remains, the precious remains of SALLUST, of LIVY, and of TACITUS, witness When Tactius, wrote, even the. appearances of virtue had been long proferibed, and tafte was grown corrupt as well as manners. Yet hiftory preferved her integrity, and her luftre. She preferved them in the writings of some whom TACITUS mentions, in none perhaps more than his own; every line of which out-weighs whole pages of fuch a rhetor as FAMIANUS STRADA. I fingle him out among the moderns, because he had the foolish prefumption to centure TACITUS. and to write hiftory himfelf: and your lordship will forgive this faort excursion in honor of a favorite author

What a school of private and public virtue had been opened to us at the refurrection of Jearning, if the latter historians of the Roman commonwealth, and the first of the succeeding monarchy, had come down to us entire? The few that are come down, though broken and imperfect, compose the best body of history that we have, nav the only body of ancient history that deferves to be an object of fludy. It fails as indeed most at that remarkable and fatal period, where our reasonable curiosity is raised the highest. LIVY employed five-and-forty books to bring his hiftory down to the end of the fixth century, and the breaking out of the third Punic war: but he employed ninety-five to bring it down from thence to the death of DRUSUS; that is, through the course of

one hundred and twenty or thirty years, APIAN. DION CASSIUS and others, nay even PLUTARCH included, make us but poor amends for what is loft of Livy. Among all the adventitious helps by which we endervour to supply this loss in some degree, the best are those that we find scattered up and down in the works of TULLY. His Orations particularly, and his Letters, contain many curions anecdotes and instructive reflections, concerning the intrigues and machinations that were carried on against liberty, from CATILINE'S confpiracy to CASAR'S. The flate of the government. the conflitution and temper of the feveral parties, and the characters of the principal persons who figured at that time on the public flage, are to be feen there in a stronger and truer light than they would have appeared perhaps if he had writ purposely on this subject, and even in those memorials which he fomewhere promifes ATTICUS to write. "Excudam aliquod Heraclidium opus, " quod lateat in thefauris tuis. " He would hardly have unmarked in fuch a work, as freely as in familiar occasional letters, POMPEY, CATO, BRU-TUS, nay himself; the four men of Rome, on whose praises he dwelt with the greatest complacency. The age in which LIVY flourished abounded with fuch materials as thefe: they were fresh, they were authentic; it was eafy to procure them, it was fafe to employ them. How he did employ them in executing the fecond part of his defign, we may judge by his execution of the first: and, I own to your lordship, I should be glad to exchange, if it were possible, what we have of this hiftory for what we have not. Would you not be glad, my lord, to fee, in one flupendous draught, the whole progress of that government from liberty to fervitude? the whole feries of causes and effects apporent and real, public and private? those which all men saw, and all good men limented and opposed at the time; and shofe which were to difguited to the prejudices to the partialities of a divided people, and even to the corruption of mankind, that many did not, and that many could pretend they did not, difcern them, till it was too late to relift then? I am forry to fay it, this part of the Roman history would be not only more curious and more authortic than the former, but of more immediate and more important application to the prefent flate of Britain But it is loft: the lofs is irreparable. and your lordship will not blame me for deploting it.

III They who fet up for feepicifin may not regret the lofs of fuch a history: but this I will be bold to affert to them, that a history must be writ on this plan and must aim at le-ft at these perfections, or it will answer fufficiently none of the intention of history. That it will not answer officiently the intention I have infused upon in these letters, that of infurching perfective dy the example of former ages is maints. If and I think it is as manifelt that a history cannot be faid even to relate faithfully, and inform us truly that does not relate fully, and inform us fully that is necessary to make a true judgment concerning the

matters contained in it. Naked facts, without the causes that produced them and the circumstances that accompanied them, are not fufficient to characterize actions or counfels. The nice degrees of wifdom and of folly, of virtue and of vice, will not only be undifcoverable in them; but we must be very often unable to determine under which of these characters they fall in general. The sceptics I am fpeaking of are therefore guilty of this abfurdity: the nearer a history comes to the true idea of history, the better it informs and the more it instructs us, the more worthy to be rejected it appears to them. I have faid and allowed enough to content any reasonable man about the uncertainty of history. I have owned that the best are defective, and I will add in this place an observation which did not, I think, occur to me before, Conjecture is not always diftinguished perhaps as it ought to be; fo that an ingenious writer may fometimes do very innocently, what a malicious writer does very criminally as often as he dares, and as his malice requires it; he may account for events after they have happened, by a fystem of causes and conduct that did not really produce them, though it might possibly or even probably have produced them, But this observation, like several others, becomes a reason for examining and comparing authorities, and for preferring some, not for rejecting all. DAVILA, a noble historian furely, and one whom I should not scruple to confess equal in many respects to LIVY, as I should not scruple to prefer his countryman Guicciandin to Thu-CYDIDES in every respect: DAVILA, my lord, was

accused, from the first publication of his history, or at least was suspected, of too much refinement and fubtilty, in developing the fecret motives of actions, in laying the causes of events too deep, and deducing them often through a feries of progreffions too complicated, and too artiftly wrought, But yet the suspicious person who should reject this hiftorian upon such general inducements as thefe, would have no grace to oppose his suspicions to the authority of the first duke of EPERNON, who had been an actor, and a principal actor too, in many of the scenes that DAVILA recites. GIRARD, fecretary to this duke, and no contemptible biographer, relates that this history came down to the place where the old man refided in Gascony, a little before his death: that he read it to him, that the duke confirmed the truth of the narrations in it, and feemed only furprifed by what means the author could be fo well informed of the most fecret councils and measures of those times.

IV. I have faid enough on this head, and your lordfhip may be induced perhaps, by what I have faid, to think with me, that fuch hiltories as thefe, whether ancient or modern, deferve alone to be fludied. Let us leave the credulous learned to write hiltory without materials, or to fludy those who do fo; to wrangle about ancient traditions, and to ring different changes on the lame fet of bells. Yet us leave the feepites, in modern as well as incient hiltory, to triumph in the notable diffeovery of the idea of one month milaken for the calends of another, or in the various dates and

contradiclory circumfunces which they find in weekly gazette and monthly mercuries. Whilft they are thus employed, your lordflip and I will proceed, if you pleafe, to confider more cloicly, than we have yet done, the rule mentioned above; that I mean of ufing differrment and choice in the fludy of the moft authentic hiffory, that of not wandering in the light, which is as necellary as that of not groping in the dark.

Man is the subject of every history; and to know him well, we must see him and consider him, as hiftory alone can prefent him to us, in every age, in every country, in every flate, in life and in death. Hiftory therefore of all kinds, of civilized and uncivilized, of ancient and modern nations, in fhort of all hiftory, that defeends to a fufficient detail of human actions and characters. is uleful to bring us acquainted with our species, nay with ourselves. To teach and to inculcate the general principles of virtue, and the general rules of wifdom and good policy, which refult from fuch details of actions and characters, comes for the most part, and always should come, expressly and directly into the design of those who are capable of giving fuch details : and therefore whilft they narrate as historians, they hint often as philosophers; they put into our hands, as it were, on every proper occasion, the end of a clne, that ferves to remind us of fearthing, and to guide us in the fearch of that truth which the example before us either establishes or illustrates. If a writer neglects this part, we are able however to fupply his neglect by our own attention a '1'

industry: and when he gives us a good history of Pernyians or Mexicans, of Chinese or Tartars, of Muscovites or Negrous, we may blame him, but we must blame ourselves much more, if we do not make it a good lesson of philosophy. This being the general use of history, it is not to be neglected, Every one may make it, who is able to read and to reflect on what he reads; and every one who makes it will find, in his degree, the benefit that arifes from an early acquaintance contracted in this manner with mankind We are not only paffengers or fojourners in this world, but we are ablolute firangers at the first steps we make in it. Our guides are often ignorant, often unfaithful. By this map of the country, which h flory spreads before us, we may learn, it we pleafe, to guide ourfelves. In our journey through it, we are beset on every side. We are besieged fometimes even in our strongest holds. Terrors and temptations, conducted by the passions of other men, affault us: and our own paffions, that correspond with these, betray us. History is a collection of the journals of those who have travelled through the fame country, and been exposed to the same accidents; and their good and their ill fuccess are equally instructive. In this pursuit of knowledge an immense field is opened to us: general histories, facred and profane, the histories of particular countries, particular events, particular orders, particular men, memorials, anecdotes, travels. But we must not ramble in this field without difcernment, or choice, nor even with these must we ramble too long.

As to the choice of authors, who have writ on all these various subjects, so much has been said by learned men concerning all those that deserve attention, and their feveral characters are fo well established, that it would be a fort of pedantic affectation to lead your lordship through so voluminous, and at the fame time fo easy a detail. I pass it over therefore in order to observe, that as foon as we have taken this general view of mankind, and of the course of human affairs in different ages and different parts of the world, we ought to apply, and, the shortness of human life confidered, to confine ourfelves almost entirely, in our fludy of history, to fuch histories as have an immediate relation to our professions, or to our rank and fituation in the fociety to which we belong. Let me instance in the profession of divinity, as the noblest and most important.

(i) I have faid fo much concerning the flare which divines of all religions have taken in the corruption of hiftory, that I should have anathemas pronounced against me, no doubt, in the earl and the weak, by the dairo, the multi, and the pope, if these letters were submitted to ecclesiastical censure; for furely, 'my lord,' the clergy have a better title, than the sons of Apilo, to be called "genus irritable vatum." What would it be, if I went about to show, how many of the christian clergy abuse, by mistrepresentation and false quotation, the history they can no longer corrupt? And yet this task would not be even to me, a hard one. But as I mean to speak in this place of

christian divines alone, so I mean to speak of such of them particularly as may be called divines withont any fneer; of fuch of them, for fome fuch I think there are, as believe themselves, and would have mankind believe; not for temporal but foiritual interest, not for the fake of the clergy, but for the fake of mankind. Now it has been long matter of aftonishment to me, how fuch persons as these could take so much filly pains to establish mystery on metaphysics, revelation on philosophy, and matters of fact on abftract reasoning? A religion founded on the authority of a divine mission. confirmed by prophecies and miracles, appeals to facts, and the facts must be proved as all other ficts that pass for authentic are proved; for faith. fo reasonable after this proof is absurd before it. If they are thus proved, the religion will prevail without the affiftance of fo much profound reafoning: if they are not thus proved, the authority of it will fink in the world even with this affiftance. The divines object in their disputes with atheists. and they object very justly, that these men require improper proofs; proofs that are not fuited to the nature of the fubject, and then cavil that fuch proofs are not furnished. But what then do they mean, to fall into the fame abfurdity themselves in their disputes with theists, and to din improper proofs in ears that are open to proper proofs? The matter is of great moment, my lord, and I make no excuse for the zeal which obliges me to dwell a little on it. A ferrous and honest application to the fludy of ecclefiallical history, and

every part of profane history and chronology relative to it, is incumbent on fuch reverend persons as are here spoken of, on a double account: because history alone can furnish the proper proofs, that the religion they teach is of God; and because the unfair manner, in which these proofs have been and are daily furnished, creates prejudices, and gives advantages against christianity that require to be removed. No scholar will dare to deny, that false history, as well as sham miracles, has been employed to propagate christianity formerly : and whoever examines the writers of our own age will find the same abuse of history continued. Many and many inflances of this abuse might be produced. It is grown into custom, writers copy one another, and the mistake that was committed. or the falshood that was invented by one, is adopted by hundreds

ABRADIÉ fayin his famous book, that the gofpel of Sc. MATHEW is cited by CLEMENS bilhop of Rome, a difciple of the Apollles, that BARNAMS of Rome, a difciple of the Apollles, that BARNAMS processed in the spifle, that IONATIUS and POLYCARPE receive it; and that the fame is thers, that give tellimony for MATHEW, give it likewife for MARS. Nay your lordhip will find, I believe, that the prefent bifhop of London, in his third pafforal letter, fpeaks to the fame effect. I will not trouble you nor myfelf with any more inflances of the fame kind. Let this, which occurred to me as I was writing, fuffice, It may well fuffice; tor I prefume the fact advanced by the minifter and the bifhop is a mittake. If the futeers

of the first century do mention some passages that are agreeable to what we read in our evangelifts, will it follow that thefe fathers had the fame gofpels before them? To fay so is a manifest abuse of hiftory and quite inexcufable in writers that knew, or fhould have known, that these fathers made use of other gospels, wherein such passages might be contained, or they might be preferred in unwritten tradition. Befides which I could almost venture to affirm that these fathers of the first century do not expressly name the gospels we have of MATTHEW, MARK, LUKE, and JOHN. the two reasons that have been given why those who make divinity their profession should study hiftory particularly ecclefiaftical hiftory, with an honest and serious application; in order to support christianity against the attacks of unbelievers, and to remove the doubts and prejudices that the unfair proceedings of men of their own order have raifed in minds candid but not implicit, willing to be informed but curious to examine; to thefe, I fay, we may add another confideration that feems to me of no fmall importance. Writers of the Roman religion have attempted to show, that the text of the holy writ is on many accounts infufficient to be the fole criterion of orthodoxy: I apprehend too that they have shown it. Sure I am that experience. from the first promulgation of christianity to this hour, shows abundantly with how much ease and fuccels the most opposite, the most extravagant. nay the most impious opinions, and the most contradictory faiths, may be founded on the fame

ext.

text, and plaufibly defended by the fame authority. Writers of the reformed religion have erected their batteries against tradition, and the only difficulty they had to encounter in this enterprise lay in levelling and pointing their cannon fo as to avoid demolifhing in one common ruin, the traditions they retain, and those they reject Each fide his been employed to weaken the cause and explode the fystem of his adversary: and, whilst they have been fo employed, they have jointly laid their axes to the root of Christianity; for thus men will be ant to reason upon what they have advanced. " If the text has not that authenticity, clearness, and precision which are necessary to establish it. " as a divine and a certain rule of faith and pract-" ice: and if the tradition of the church, from " the first ages of it till the days of LUTHER and " CALVIN, has been corrupted itself, and has " ferved to corrupt the faith and Practice of Chrif-" tians: there remains at this time no flandard at all of Christianity. By consequence either this " religion was not originally of divine inflitution. " or elfe God has not provided effectually for pre-" ferving the genuine purity of it, and the gates " of hell have actually prevailed, in contradiction ato his promise, against the church." The best effect of this reasoning, that can be hoped for, is, that men should fall into theism, and subscribe to the first proposition: he must be worse than an atheist who can affirm the last. The dilemma is

terrible, my lord. Party-zeal and private interest have formed it: the common interest of Christianity

is deeply concerned to folve it. Now, I prefume, it can never be folved without a more accurate examination, not only of the Christian. but of the iewish system, than learned men have been hitherto impartial enough and fagacious enough to take. or honest enough to communicate. Whilft the authenticity and feufe of the text of the Bible remain as difputable, and whilft the tradition of the church remains as problematical, to fay no worfe, as the immense labors of the Christian divines in feveral communions have made them appear to be: Christianity may lean on the civil and ecclesiastical power, and be supported by the forcible influence. of education: but the proper force of religion, that force which subdues the mind and awes the confeience by conviction, will be wanting.

I had reafon therefore to produce divinity, as one influice of those professions that require a particular application to the fludy of fome particular parts of hiftory; and fnice I have faid fo much on the subject in my zeal for Christianity, I will add this further. The refurrection of letters was a fatal period; the Christian system has been attacked. and wounded too, very feverely fince that time, The defence has been better made indeed by modern divines, than it had been by ancient fathers and apologifts. The moderns have invented new methods of defence, and have abandoned fome posts that were not tenable; but still there are others, in defending which they lie under great difadvantages. Such are various facts, pionfly believed in former times, but on which the truth

of Christianity has been rested very imprudently in more enlightened ages: because the fallity of some. and the gross improbability of others are fo evident. that, inflead of answering the purpose for which they were invented, they have rendered the whole tenor of ecclefiaftical hiftory, and tradition precarious, ever fince a first but just application of the rules of criticism has been made to them. I touch these things lightly; but if your lordship reflects upon them, you will find reason perhaps to think as I do, that it is high time the clergy in all Christian communions should join their forces, and effiblish those historical facts, which are the foundations of the whole fystem, on clear and unquestionable historical authority, such as they require in all cases of moment from others; reject candidly what cannot be thus eftablished; and purfue their inquiries in the same spirit of truth through all the ages of the church; without any regard to historians, fathers, or councils, more than they are strictly entitled to on the face of what they have transmitted to us, on their own confiftency, and on the concurrence of other authority. Our pastors would be thus, I presume, much better employed than they generally are. Those of the clergy who make religion merely a trade, who regard nothing more than the fubfiftence it affords them, or in higher life the wealth and power they enjoy by the means of it, may fav to themselves, that it will last their time, or that policy and reasons of state will preserve the form of a church when the spirit of religion is extinét. But those whom I mentioned above, those who all for spiritual not temporal endiand are defirous that men should believe and practic the doctrines of Christianity, as well as go to church and pay tithes, will feel and own the weight of such considerations as these; and agree, that, however, the people have been, and may be full amused, yet Christianity has been in decay ever since the refurrection of letters; and that it cannot be supported as it was supported before that ara, nor by any other way than that which I propose, and which a due application to the study of history, chronology, and criticism, would enable our divines to pursue, no doubt, with success.

I might inflance, in other professions, obligation men lie under of applying themselves to certain parts of history, and I can hardly forbear doing it in that of the law; in it's nature the nobleft and most beneficial to mankind, in it's abuse and debasement the most fordid and the most pernicious. A lawyer now is nothing more, I speak of ninety-nine in a hundred at least, to use fome of TULLY'S words, " nifi leguleius quidam " cautus, et acutus præco actionum, cantor for-" mularum, auceps fyllabarum." But there have been lawyers that were orators, philosophers, historians; there have been BACONS and CLAREN-DONS, my lord. There will be none fuch any more, till, in some better age, true ambition or the love of fame prevails over avarice; and till men find leifure and encouragement to prepare themselves for the exercise of this profession, by climbing up to the " vantage ground," fo my lord BACON calls it, of fcience: inflead of groveling all their lives below, in a mean but gainful application to all the little arts of chicane, this happen, the profession of the law will scarce deferve to be ranked among the learned professions: and whenever it happens, one of the vantage grounds, to which men must climb, is metaphyfical, and the other, historical knowledge. They must pry into the secret recesses of the human heart, and become well acquainted with the whole moral world, that they may discover the abstract reason of all laws: and they must trace the laws of particular states, especially of their own, from the first rough sketches to the more perfect draughts; from the first causes or occasions that produced them, through all the effects, good and bad, that they produced. But I am running infenfibly into a fubject, which would detain me too long from one that relates more immediately to your lordship, and with which I intended to cornclude this long letter.

(2) I pals from the confideration of those professions to which particular parts or kinds of hiftory feem to belong: and I come to fpeak of the fludy of history, as a necessary mean to prepare men for the discharge of that duty which they owe to their country, and which is common to all the members of every fociety that is constituted according to the rules of right reason, and with a due regard to the common good. I have met, in ST. REAL'S works, or fome other French book, with a ridicule cast on private men who make hiftory a political fludy, or who apply themselves in any manner to affairs of flate. But the reflection is too general. In governments to arbitrary by their conflitution, that the will of the prince is not only the inpreme but the fole law, it is fo far from being a duty that it may be dangerous and must be impertinent in men, who are not called by the prince to the administration of public affairs, to concern themselves about it, or to sit themselves for it The sole vocation there is the favor of the court; and whatever defignation God makes by the talents he bestows, though it may ferve, which it feldom ever does, to direct the choice of the prince, yet I presume that it cannot become a reason to particular men, or create a duty on them, to devote themfelves to the public fervice. Look on the Turkish govern-See a fellow taken, from rowing in a common paffage-boat, by the caprice of the prince: fee him invested next day with all the power the foldans took under the caliphs, or the mayors of the palace under the fuccessors of CLOVIS: see a whole empire governed by the ignorance, inexperience, and arbitrary will of this tyrant, and a few other fubordinate tyrants, as ignorant and unexperienced as himfelf, In France, indeed, though an absolute government, things go a little better. Arts and sciences are encouraged, and here and there an example may be found of a man who has rifen by fome extraordinary talents, amidft

innumerable examples of men who have arrived at the greatest honors and the highest posts by no other merit than that of affiduous fawning, attendances, or of skill in some despicable puerile amusement; in training wasps, for instance, to take regular flights like hawks, and stoop at flies. The nobility of France, like the children of tribute among the ancient Saracens and modern Turks. are let apart for wars. They are bred to make love, to hunt, and to fight: and, if any of them should acquire knowledge superior to this, they would acquire that which might be prejudicial to themselves, but could not become beneficial to their country. The affairs of flate are trufted to other hands Some have rifen to them by drudging long in business: some have been made ministers almost in the cradle; and the whole power of the government has been abandoned to others in the dotage of life. There is a monarchy, an absolute monarchy too, I mean that of China, wherein the administration of the government is carried on, under the direction of the prince, ever fince the dominion of the Tartars has been effablished, by . feveral classes of Mandarins, and according to the deliberation and advice of feveral orders of councils: the admission to which classes and orders depends on the abilities of the candidates, as their rife in them depends on the behaviour they hold, and the improvements they make afterwards. Underfuch a government, it is neither impertinent norridiculous, in any of the subjects who are invited by their circumstances, or pushed to it by their

talents, to make the history of their own and of other countries a political fludy, and to fit themfelves by this and all other ways for the fervice of the public. It is not dangerous neither, or an honor, that outweighs the danger, attends it: fince private men have a right by the ancient conflitution of this government, as well as councils of flate, to reprefent to the prince the abuses of his administration. But still men have not there the same occasion to concern themselves in the affairs of the state, as the nature of a free government gives to the members of it. In our own country, for in our own the forms of a free government at least are hitherto preferved, men are not only defigned for the public fervice by the circumstances of their fituation, and their talents, all which may happen in others; but they are defigned to it by their birth in many cafes. and in all cases they may dedicate themselves to this fervice, and take, in different degrees, fome fhare in it, whether they are called to it by the prince or no. In absolute governments, all public fervice is to the prince, and he nominates all those that ferve the public. In free governments, there is a diffinct and a principal fervice due to the flate. Even the king, of fuch a limited monarchy as · ours, is but the first servant of the people. Among his fubiects fome are appointed by the constitution. and others are elected by the people, to carry on the exercise of the legislative power jointly with him, and to control the executive power independently on him. Thus your lordship is born a

member of that order of men, in whom a third part of the supreme power of the government refides: and your right to the exercise of the power belonging to this order not being yet opened, you are chosen into another body of men, who have a different power and a different constitution, but who poffess another third part of the supreme legislative authority, for as long a time as the commission or trust delegated to them by the people lasts. Free-men who are neither born to the first, nor elected to the laft, have a right however to complain, to reprefent, to petition, and, I add, even to do more in cases of the utmost extremity. For fure there cannot be a greater abfurdity, then to affirm, that the people have a remedy in refiftance, when their prince attempts to enflave them; but that they have none, when their representatives fell themselves and them.

The fum of what I have been faying is, that, in free governments, the public fervice is not confined to those whom the prince appoints to different posts in the administration under him; that there the care of the state is the care of multitudes; that many are called to it in a particular manner by their rank, and by other circumstances of their situation; and that even those whom the prince appoints are not only answerable to him, but like him, and before him, to the nation, for their behaviour in their several poss. It can never be impertinent nor ridiculous therefore in such a country, whatever it might be in the abbot of Sr. Real's, which was Savoy I think;

or in Peru, under the Incas, where, GARCILASSO DE LA VEGA favs, it was lawful for none but the nobility to fludy - for men of all degrees to instruct themselves in those assairs wherein they may be actors, or judges of those that act, or controllers of those that judge. On the contrary, it is incumbent on every man to instruct himself, as well as the means and opportunities he has permit, concerning the nature and interests of the governments, and those rights and duties that belong to him, or to his fuperiors, or to his inferiors. This in general; but in particular, it is certain that the obligations under which we lie to ferve our country increase, in proportion to the ranks we hold, and the other circumstances of birth, fortune, and fituation that call us to this fervice; and, above all, to the talents which God has given us to perform it.

It is in this view, that I shall address to your lordship whatever I have further to say on the study of history.

## LETTER VI.

From what period modern history is peculiarly useful to the service of our country, viz.

From the end of the fifteenth century to the prefent.

The division of this into three particular periods

In order to a fletch of the hiftory and flate of Europe from that time.

Since then you are, my lord, by your birth, by the nature of our government, and by the talents GOD has given you, attached for life to the fervice of your country; fince genius alone cannot enable you to go through this service with honor to yourfelf and advantage to your country, whether you support or whether you oppose the administrations that arise; since a great stock of knowledge, acquired betimes and continually improved, is necessary to this end; and fince one part of this flock must be collected from the study of history, as the other part is to be gained by observation and experience; I come now to speak to your lordship of such history as has an immediate relation to the great duty and bufiness of your life, and of the method to be observed in this fludy. The notes I have by me, which were of fome little use thus far, serve me no farther, and I have no books to consult. No matter; I shall be able to explain my thoughts without their affillance, and less liable to be tedious. I hope to be as full and as exact on memory alone, as the manner in which I shall treat the subject requires me to be.

I fay then, that however closely affairs are linked together in the progression of governments, and how much foever events that follow are dependant on those that precede, the whole connexion diminishes to fight as the chain lengthens; till at last it seems to be broken, and the links that are continued from that point bear no proportion nor any fimilitude to the former. I would not be understood to speak only of those great changes, that are wrought by a concurrence of extraordinary events; for inflance the expulsion on one nation, the destruction of one government, and the establishment of another: but even of those that are wrougth in the same governments and among the fame people, flowly and almost imperceptibly, by the necessary effects of time, and flux condition of human affairs. When fuch changes as these happen in several states about the fame time, and confequently affect other states by their vicinity, and by many different relations which they frequently bear to one another; then is one of those periods formed, at which the chain fasken of is fo broken as to have little or no real or visible connexion with that which we see continue. A new fituation, different from the formerbegets new interests in the same proportion of difference : not in this or that particular flate alone. but in all those that are concerned by vicinity or other relations, as I faid just now, in one general fystem of policy. New interests beget new maxims of government, and new methods of conduct. Thefe, in their turns, beget new manners, new habits, new customs. The longer this new constitution of affairs continues, the more will this difference increase: and although some analogy may remain long between what preceded and what fucceeded fuch a period, yet will this analogy foon become an object of mere curiolity, not of profitable inquiry. Such a period therefore is, in the true fenfe of the words, an epocha or an æra, a point of time at which you flop, or from which you reckon forward. I fay forward; because we are not to fludy in the prefent cafe, as chronologers compute, backward. Should we perfift to carry our refearches much higher, and to puffe them even to some other period of the same kind, we fhould misemploy our time: the causes then laid having spent themselves, the series of effects derived from them being over, and our concern in both confequently at an end. But a new fustem of causes and effects, that subsists in our time, and whereof our conduct is to be a part, arising at the last period, and all that passes in our time being dependant on what has passed since that period, or being immediately relative to it, we are extremely concerned to be well informed about all those passages. To be entirely ignorant: about the ages that precede this zera would be firmeful. Nay fome indulgence may be had to a temperate curiofity in the review of them. But to be learned about them is a ridiculous affectation in any man who means to be ufeful to the prefent age. Down to this zera let us read hiftory: from this zera, and down to our own time, let us fludy it.

The end of the fifteenth century feems to be just such a period as I have been describing, for those who live in the eighteenth, and who inhabit the western parts of Europe. A little before, or a little after this point of time, all those events happened, and all those revolutions began, that have produced fo vaft a change in the manners, customs, and interests of particular nations, and in the whole policy, ecclefiaftical and civil, of these parts of the world. I must descend here into fome detail, not of histories, collections or memorials; for all thefe are well enough known: and though the contents are in the heads of few, the books are in the hands of many. But instead of showing your lordship where to look, I shall contribute more to your entertainment and instruction. by marking out, as well as my memory will ferve me to do it, what you are to look for, and by furnishing a kind of clue to your studies. I shall give, according to cuftom, the first place to religion. A view of the ecclefinstical government of Europe from the beginning of the sixteenth century.

Observe then, my lord, that the demolition of the papal throne was not attempted with fuccess till the beginning of the fixteenth century. If you are curious to cast your eyes back, you will find BERENGER in the eleventh, who was foon filenced; ARNOLDUS in the fame, who was foon hanged; VALDO in the twelfth, and our WICKLIFF in the fourteenth, as well as others perhaps whom I do not recollect. Sometimes the doctrines of the church were alone attacked; and fometimes the doctrine, the discipline, and the usurpations of the pope. But little fires, kindled in corners of a dark world, were foon stifled by that great abettor of Christian unity, the hangman. When they spread and blazed out, as in the case of the Albigeois and of the Huslites, armies were raised to extinguish them by torrents of blood; and fuch faints as DOMINIC, with the crucifix in their hands, instigated the troops to the utmost barbarity. Your lordship will find that the church of Rome was maintained by fuch charitable and falutary means, among others, till the period fpoken of: and you will be curious, I am fure, to inquire how this period came to be more fatal to her than any former conjuncture. A multitude of circumstances, which you will easily trace in the histories of the fifteenth and fixteenth centuries, to go no further back, concurred to bring about this great event; and a multitude of others

as easy to be traced, concurred to hinder the demolition from becoming total, and to prop the tottering fabric. Among these circumstances, there is one lefs complicated and more obvious than others, which was of principal and universal influence. The art of printing had been invented about forty or fifty years before the period we fix: from that time, the refurrection of letters hastened on a-pace; and at this period they had made great progress, and were cultivated with great application. MAHOMET the fecond drove them out of the east into the west; and the popes proved worse politicians than the musties in this respect. Nicholas the fifth encouraged learning and learned men. SIXTUS the fourth was, if I mistake not, a great collector of books at least: and LEO the tenth was the patron of every art and science. The magicians themselves broke the. charm by which they had bound mankind for fo many ages: and the adventure of that knighterrant, who, thinking himfelf happy in the arms of a celestial nymph, found that he was the miferable flave of an infernal hag, was in fome fort renewed. As foon as the means of acquiring and fpreading information grew common, it is no wonder that a fystem was unravelled, which could not have been woven with fuccess in any ages, but those of gross ignorance and credulous fuperstition. I might point out to your lordship many other immediate causes, some general like this that I have mentioned, and fome particular. The great schisim, for instance, that ended in the beginning

beginning of the fiftheenth century and in the council of Conftince, had occasioned prodigious fcandal, Two or three vicars of CHRIST, two or three infallible heads of the church, roaming about the world at a time, furuished matter of ridicule as well as fcandal: and whilst they appealed, for fo they did in effect, to the laity, and reproached and excommunicated one another. they taught the world what to think of the inflitution, as well as exercise of the papal authority. The fime lesion was taught by the council of Pila, that preceded, and by that of Bafle that followed the council of Conflance. The horrid crimes of ALEXANDER the fixth, the fancy ambition of JULIUS the fecond, the immente profusions and scandalous exictions of Lio the tenth; all these events and characters, following in a continued feries from the beginning of one century, prepared the way for the revolution that happened in the beginning of the next, The flate of Germany, the flate of England, and that of the North, were particular causes, in these several countries, of this revolution. Such were many remarkable events that happened about the fame time, and a little before it, in these and in other nations; and fuch were likewife the characters of many of the princes of that age, some of whom favored the reformation, like the elector of Saxony, on a principle of confcience; and most of whom favored it, just as others opposed it; on a principle of interest. This your lordship will discover manifestly to have been the case, and

the fole difference you will find between HENRY the eighth and FRANCIS the first, one of whom feparated from the pope, as the other adhered to him, is this: HENRY the eight divided, with the fecular clergy and his people, the fpoil of the pope, and his fatellites, the monks: FRANCIS the first divided, with the pope, the spoil of his clergy, fecular and regular, and of his people, With the fame impartial eye that your lordship furveys the abuses of religion, and the corruptions of the church as well as court of Rome, which brought on the reformation at this period; you will observe the characters and conduct of those who began, who propagated, and who favored the reformation: and from your observation of thefe, as well as of the unfyftematical manner in which it was carried on at the fame time in various places, and of the want of concert, nay even of charity, among the reformers, you will learn what to think of the feveral religions that unite in their opposition to the Roman, and yet hate one another most heartily, what to think of the feveral feels that have fprouted, like fuckers, from the fame great roots; and what the true principles are of protestant ecclesiastical policy. This policy had no being till LUTHER made his establishment in Germany; till ZWINGLIUS began another in Swifferland, which CALVIN carried on, and, like AMERICUS VESPUCIUS who followed CHRISTO-PHER COLUMBUS, robbed! the first adventurer of his honor; and till the reformation in our country was perfected under EDWARD the fixth

and ELIZABETH. Even popish ecclesiastical policy is no longer the fame fince that era, His holiness is no longer at the head of the whole western church: and to keep the part that adheres to him, he is obliged to loofen their chains, and to lighten his yoke. The spirit and pretensions of his court are the fame, but not the power. He governs by expedient and management more, and by authority lefs. His decrees and his briefs are in danger of being refused, explained away, or evaded, unless he negociates their acceptance before he gives them, governs in concert with his flock, and feeds his sheep according to their humor and interest. In fhort, his excommunications, that made the greatest emperors tremble, are delpifed by the lowest members of his own communion; and the remaining attachment to him has been, from this era, rather a political expedient to preferve an appearance of unity, than a principle of conscience: whatever fome bigotted princes may have thought, whatever ambitious prelates and hireling fcribblers may have taught, and whatever a people, worked up to enthufialm by fanatical preachers, may have acted. Proofs of this would be easy to draw, not only from the conduct of fuch princes as FERDI-NAND the first and MAXIMILIAN the second, who could scarce be esteemed papists though they continued in the pope's communion: but even from that of princes who perfecuted their protestant fubjects with great violence. Enough has been faid, I think to show your lordship how little need there is of going up higher than the beginning

of the fixteenth century in the fludy of hillory; to acquire all the knowledge necellary at this time in ecclefuffical policy, or in civil policy as far as it is relative to this. Hillorical monuments of this fort are in every man's hand, the facts are fufficiently verified, and the entire feenes lie open to our obfervation: even that feene of folemn refined banter exhibited in the council of Trent, impofes on no man who reads PAOLO, as well as PALLA-VICINI, and the letters of VARGAS.

Aview of the civil government of Europe in the beginning of the fixteenth century.

## I. In FRANCE.

A VERY little higher need we go, to observe those great changes in the civil constitutions of the principal nations of Europe, in the partition of power among them, and by consequence in the whole fystem of European policy, which have operated fo flrongly for more than two centuries, and which operate full. I will not affront the memory of our HENRY the feventh fo much as to compare him to LEWIS the eleventh: and yet I perceive fome refemblance between them; which would perhaps appear greater, if PHILIP of Commines had wrote the Hiftory of HENRY as well as that of LEWIS; or if my lord BACON had wrote that of LEWIS as well as that of HENRY, This prince came to the crown of England a little before the close of the fifteenth century: and LEWIS

began his reign in France about twenty years fooner. These reigns make remarkable periods in the histories of both nations. To reduce the power, privileges, and possessions of the nobility, and to increase the wealth and autority of the crown, was the principal object of both. In this their fuccess was so great, that the constitutions of the two governments have had, fince that time, more refemblance, in name and in form than in reality, to the constitutions that prevailed before. LEWIS the eleventh was the first, say the French, "qui " mit les rois hors de page." The independency of the nobility had rendered the state of his predecessors very dependent, and their power precarious. They were the fovereigns of great vaffals; but these vasfals were so powerful, that one of them was fometimes able, and two or three of them always, to give law to the fovereign. Before LEWIS came to the crown, the English had been driven out of their possessions of France, by the poor character of HENRY the fixth, the domestic troubles of his reign, and the defection of the house of Burgundy from his alliance, much more than by the ability of CHARLES the feventh, who feems to have been neither a greater hero nor a greater politician than HENRY the fixth; and even than by the vigor and union of the French nobility in his fervice. After LEWIS came to the crown, EDWARD the fourth made a show of carrying the war again into France; but he foon returned home, and your fordihip will not be at a lofs to fa. ? much better realons for his doing to, in the

fituation of his affairs and the characters of his allies, than those which PHILIP of Commines draws from the artifice of LEWIS, from his good cheer, and his penfions. Now from this time our pretentions on France were in effect given up; and CHARLES the bold, the last prince of the house of Burgundy, being killed. LEWIS had no vallal able to molest him. He re-united the dutchy of Burgundy and Artois to his crown, he acquired Provence by gift, and his fon Britany by marriage: and thus France grew, in the course of a few years, into that great and compact body which we behold at this time. The History of France before this period, is like that of Germany, a complicated history of feveral states and feveral interests, sometimes concurring like members of the fame monarchy, and fometimes warring on one another. Since this period, the history of France is the history of one state under a more uniform and orderly government; the history of a monarchy wherein the prince is possessor of some, as well as lord of all the great fiels: and, the authority of many tyrants centring in one, though the people are not become more free, yet the whole fystem of domestic policy is entirely changed. Peace at home is better fecured, and the nation grown fitter to carry war abroad. The governors of great provinces and of firong fortrelles have opposed their king, and taken arms against his authority and commission since that time: but yet there is no more reflemblance between the authority and pretentions of these governors, or the nature and

occasions of these disputes, and the authority and pretentions of the vallals of the crown in former days, or the nature and occasions of their disputes with the prince and with one another, than there is between the ancient and the present peers of France. In a word, the conflitution is so altered, that any knowledge we can acquire about it, in the history that precedes this period, will serve to little purpole in our fludy of the history that follows it, and to less purpose still in affisting us to judge of what passes in the present age. The kings of France fince that time, more mafters at home, have been able to exert themselves more abroad: and they began to do fo immediately; for CHARLES the eighth, fon and fucceflor of LEWIS the eleventh, formed great deligns of foreign conquefts, though they were disappointed by his inability, by the levity of the nation, and by other causes. LEWIS the twelfth and FRANCIS, the first, but especially FRANCIS, meddled deep in the affairs of Europe; and though the fuperior genius of FERDINAND called the catholic, and the flar of CHARLES the fift prevailed against them, yet the efforts they made flow fufficiently how the ftrength and importance of this monarchy were increased in their time. From whence we may date likewife the rivalfhip of the house of France, for we may reckon that of Valois and that of Bourbon as one upon this occasion, and the house of Austria; that continues at this day, and that has cost so much blood and fo much treasure in the course of it.

## IL In ENGLAND.

Though the power and influence of the nobility funk in the great change that began under HENRY the feventh in England. as they did in that which began under I swis the eleventh in France; yet the new conflitutions that these changes produced were very different. In France the lords alone loft, the king alone gained; the clergy held their possessions and their immunities, and the people remained in a flate of mitigated flavery. But in England people gained as well as the crown. The commons had already a share in the legislature, fo that the power and influence of the lords being broken by HENRY the feventh, and the property of the commons increasing by the fale that his fon made of church-lands, the power of the latter increased of course by this change in a constitution, the forms whereof were fivorable The union of the roles put an end to the civil wars of York and Lancaster, that had fucceeded those we commonly call the barons wars, and the humor of warring in France, that had lafted near four hundred years under, the Normans and Plantagenets for plunder as well as conquest, was spent. Our temple of JANUS was faut by HENRY the feventh. neither laid waste our own nor other countries any longer: and wife laws and a wife government changed infenfibly the manners, and gave a new turn to the spirit of our people. We were no

longer the free-booters we had been. Our nation maintained her reputation in arms whenever the public interest or the public authority required it; but war ceased to be, what it had been, our principal and almost our sole profession. The arts of peace prevailed among us. We became husbandmen, manufacturers, and merchants, and we emulated neighbouring nations in literature, It is from this time that we ought to fludy the history of our country, my lord, with the utmost application. We are not much concerned to know with critical accuracy what were the ancient forms of our parliaments, concerning which, however, there is little room for dispute from the reign of HENRY the third at least; nor in short the whole fystem of our civil constitution before HENRY the feventh, and of our ecclefiaftical conflitution before HENRY the eighth. But he who has not studied and acquired a thorough knowledge of them both, from these periods down to the present time, in all the variety of events by which they have been affected, will be very unfit to judge or to take care of either. Just as little are we concerned to know, in any nice detail, what the conduct of our princes, relatively to their neighbours on the continent, was before this period, and at a time when the partition of power and a multitude of other circumstances rendered the whole political fystem of Europe so vastly different from that which has existed fince. But he who has not traced this conduct from the period we fix, down to the prefent age, wants a principal part of the knowledge that every English minister of flate should have. Ignorance in the respects here spoken of is the less pardonable, because we have more, and more authentic, means of information concerning this, than concerning any other period. Anecdotes enow to glut the curiofity of fome persons, and to filence all the captious cavils of others, will never be furnished by any portion of hiftory; nor indeed can they according to the nature and course of human affairs: but he who is content to read and observe, like a fenator and a statesman, will find in our own and in foreign historians as much information as he wants, concerning the affairs of our ifland, her fortune at home and her conduct abroad. from the fifteenth century to the eighteenth. I refer to foreign historians, as well as to our own, for this feries of our own history; not only because it is reasonable to see in what manner the historians of other countries have related the transactions wherein we have been concerned. and what judgment they have made of our conduct, domestic and foreign, but for another reason likewise. Our nation has furnished as ample and as important matter, good and bad, for history. as any nation under the fun: and yet we must yield the palm in writing history most certainly to the Italians and to the French, and, I fear, even to the Germans. The only two pieces of history we have, in any respect to be compared with the ancient, are, the reign of HENRY the feventh by my lord Bacon, and the Hiftory of

our civil war in the laft century by your noble ancestor my lord chancellor CLARENDON, But we have no general history to be compared with fome of other countries: neither have we, which I lament much more, particular h flories, except the two I have mentioned, nor writers of memorials, nor collectors of monuments and anecdotes, to vie in number or in merit with those that foreign nations can boaft; from COMMINES GUICCIARDIN, DU BELLAY, PAOLO, DAVILA, THUANUS, and a multitude of others, down through the whole period that I propose to your lordship. But although this be true to our shame: yet it is true likewise that we want no necessary means of information. They lie open to our industry and our discernment. Foreign writers are for the most part scarce worth reading when they fpeak of our domeftic affairs: nor are our English writers for the most part of greater value when they speak of foreign affairs. In this mutual defect, the writters of other countries are. I think, more excufable than ours: for the nature of our government, the political principles in which we are bred, our distinct interest as islanders, and the complicated various interests and humors of our parties, all thefe are fo peculiar to ourfelves, and fo different from the notions, manners and habits of other nations, that it is not wonderful they should be puzzled or should fall into error, when they undertake to give relations of events that refult from all these, or to pass any judgment upon them. But all these historians are mutually

defedive, fo they mutually supply each other's defects. We must compare them therefore, make use of our discernment, and draw our conclusions from both. If we proceed in this manner, we have an ample fund of history in our power, from whence to collect sufficient authentic information; and we must proceed in this manner, even with our own historians of disterent religions, letch, and parties or run the risk of being milled by domettic ignorance and prejudice in this case as well as by foreign ignorance and prejudice in the other.

## III. In SPAIN and the Empire.

SPAIN figured little in Europe till the latter part of the fifteenth century; till Castile and Arragon were united by the marriage of FERDINAND and ISABELLA; till the total expulsion of the Moors, and till the discovery of the West-Indies. After this, not only Spain took a new form, and grew into immenle power; but, the heir of FERDINAND and ISABELLA being heir likewife of the honfes of Burgundy and Austria, such an extent of dominion accrued to him by all these successions, and fuch an addition of rank and authority by his election to the empire, as no prince had been mafter of in Europe from the days of CHARLES the great. It is proper to observe here how the policy of the Germans altered in the choice of an emperor, because the effects of this alteration have been great. When RODOLPHUS of Habsburgh was

chosen in the year one thousand two hundred and feventy, or about that time, the poverty and the low estate of this prince, who had been marshal of the court to a king of Bohemia, was an inducement to elect him. The diforderly and lawlefs flate of the empire made the princes of it in those days unwilling to have a more powerful head. But a contrary maxim took place at this era: CHAR-LES thet fifth and FRANCIS the first, the two most powerful princes of Europe, were the fole candidates, for the elector of Saxony, who is faid to have declined, was rather unable to fland in competition with them: and CHARLES was chosen by the unanimous fuffrages of the electoral college if I mistake not. Another CHARLES, CHARLES the fourth, who was made emperor illegally enough on the depolition of LEWIS of Bavaria, and about one hundred and fifty years before, feems to me to have contributed doubly to establish this maxim: by the wife constitutions that he procured to pais, that united the empire in a more orderly form and better fystem of government; and by alienating the imperial revenues to fuch a degree. that they were no longer sufficient to support an emperor who had not great revenues of his own. The fame maxim and other circumstances have concurred to keep the empire in this family ever fince, as it had been often before; and this family having large dominions in the empire, and larger pretentions, as well as dominions, out of it, the other states of Europe, France, Spain and England particularly, have been more concerned fince this

period in the affairs of Germany, than they were before it: and by confequence the hiftory of Germany, from the beginning of the fixteenth century, is of importance, and a necessary part of that knowledge which your lordship desires to ac-

quire.

The Dutch commonwealth was not formed till mear a century later. But as foom as it was formed, nay even whifil it was forming, these provinces, that were lost to observation among the many that composed the dominions of Burgundy and Aultria, became so considerable a part of the political fystem of Europe, that their history must be fludied by every man who would inform himself of this fystem.

Soon after this flate had taken being, others of a more ancient original began to mingle in those disputes and wars, those councils, negociations, and treaties, that are to be the principal objects of your lordship's application in the study of history. That of the northern crowns deferves your attention little, before the last century. Till the election of FREDERIC the first to the crown of Denmark. and till that wonderful revolution which the first GUSTAVUS brought about in Sweden, it is nothing more than a confused rhapfody of events, in which the great kingdoms and flates of Europe neither had any concern, nor took any part. From the time I have mentioned, the northern crowns have turned their counfels and their arms often fouthwards, and Sweden particularly, with prodigious effect.

To what purpose should I trouble your lordship with the mention of hillbries of other nations? they are either fuch as have no relation to the knowledge you would acquire, like that of the Poles, the Muscovites, or the Turks; or they are fuch as, having an occasional or a secondary relation to it, fill of course into your scheme; like the history of Italy for instance, which is sometimes a part of that of France, fometimes of that of Spain, and fometimes of that of Germany. The thread of history, that you are to keep, is that of the nations who are and must always be concerned in the same scenes of action with your own. These are the principal nations of the west. Things that have no immediate relation to your own country, or to them, are either too remote, or too minute, to employ much of your time; and their hiftory and your own is, for all your purposes, the whole history of Europe.

The two great powers, that of France and that of Austria, being formed, and a rivalship established by consequence between them; it began to be the interest of their neighbours to oppose the stronger and most enterprising of the two, and to be the ally and friend of the weakest. From hence arose the notion of a balance of power in Europe, on the equal poize of which the safety and tranquislity, of all must depend. To destroy the equality of this balance has been the aim of each of these friends in his turn; and to hinder it from being destroyed, by preventing too much power from falling into on Geale, has been the principle of all the wise councils

of Europe, relative to France and to the house of Austria, through the whole period that began at the era we have fixed, and fablifts at this hour. To make a careful and just observation, therefore, of the rife and decline of these powers, in the two last centuries and in the present; of the projects which their ambition formed; of the means they employed to carry these projects on with success: of the means employed by others to defeat them: of the iffue of all these endeavours in war and in negociation; and particularly; to bring your observations home to your own country and your own use, of the conduct that England held, to her honor or dishonor, to her advantage or disadvantage, in every one of the numerous and important conjunctures that happened - ought to be the principal subject of your lordship's attention in reading and reflecting on this part of modern history.

Now to this purpole you will find it of great nile, my lord, when you have a g neral plan of the history in your mind, to go over the whole again in another method; which I propole to be this. Divide the entire period into such particular periods as the general course of affairs will mark out to you sufficiently, by the rise of new conjunctures, of distrent schemes of conduct, and of disserent testers of action. Examine this period of history as you would examine a tragedy or a comedy; that is, take first the idea or a general notion of the whole, and after that examine every act and every scene apart. Consider them in them selves, and consider them relatively too me another.

Read

Read this hiftory as you would that of any ancient period; but fludy it afterwards, as it would not be worth your while to fludy the other; nay as you could not have in your power the means of fludying the other, if the fludy was really worth The former part of this period your while, abounds in great historians; and the latter part is so modern, that even tradition is authentic enough to supply the want or good history, if we are curious to inquire, and if we hearken to the living with the same impartiality and freedom of judgment as we read the dead; and he that does one will do the other. The whole period abounds in memorials. in collections of public acts and monuments of private letters, and of treaties. All these must come into your plan of fludy, my lord: many not to be read through, but all to be consulted and compared. They must not lead you I think, to your inquiries, but your inquiries must lead you to them. By joining hiftory and that which we call the materia historica together in this manner, and by drawing your information from both, your lordship will acquire not only that knowledge, which many have in some degree, of the great transactions that have passed, and the great events that have happened in Europe during this period and of their immediate and obvious causes and confequences; but your lordship will acquire a much superior knowledge, and such a one as very few men poffels almust in any degree, a knowledge of the true political fystem of Europe during this time. You will fee it in its primitive principles,

in the conflictations of governments, the fituations of countries, their national and true interests, the characters and the religion of people, and other permanent circumflances. You will trace it through all its fluctuations, and observe how the objects vary feldom, but the means perpetually, according to the different characters of princes and of those who govern; the different abilities of those who givern; the other irregular and contingent circumflances.

The particular periods into which the whole period fhould be divided, in my opinion, are thefe.

1. From the fifteenth to the end of the fixteenth century, 2. From thence to the Pyrenean treaty.

3. From thence down to the prefent time.

Your lordship will find this division as apt and as proper, relatively to the particular histories of England, France, Spain, and Germany, the principal nations concerned, as it is relatively to the general history of Europe.

The death of Queen ELIGAEFH, and the accession of king JAMES the first, made a vastalteration in the government of our nation at home, and in her conduct abroad, about the end of the first of these periods. The wars that religion occasioned, and ambition somented in France, through the reigns of Francis the second, CHALLES the ninth, HINNY the third, and a part of HINNY the fourth, ended; and the furies of the league were crushed by this great prince, about the same time. Phillip the second of Spain marks this period likewise by his feath, and by the exhaustide condition in which

he left the monarchy he governed: which took the lead no longer in diffurbing the peace of mankind, but act-d a fecond part in abetting the bigotry and ambition of FERDINAND the fecond and the third. The thirty years war that devafted Germany did not begin till the eighteenth year of the feventeenth century, but the feeds of it were fowing fome time before, and even at the end of the fixteenth. FERDINAND the first and MAXIMI-LIAN had shown much lenity and moderation in the disputes and troubles that arose on account of religion. Under RODOLPHUS and MATTHIAS, as the fuccession of their cousin FERDINAND approached, the fires that were covered began to smoke and to sparkle: and if the war did not begin with this century, the preparation for it, and the expectation of it did

The fecond period ends in one thoufand fix hundred and fixty, the year of the refloration of CHARLES the fecond to the throne of England; when our civil wars, and all the diforders which CROMMELI's ufurpation had produced, were over; and is therefore a remarkable point of time, with respect to our country. It is no lefs remarkable with respect to Germany, Spain, and France.

As to Germany; the ambitious projects of the German branch of Auftria had been entirely defeated the peace of the empire had been reflored, and almost a new conflutution formed, or an olone revived, by the treaties of Wethphalia; nay the imperial eagle was not only fallen, but her wings were clipped.

As to Spain: the Spanish branch was fallen as low twelve years afterwards, that is, in the year one thousand fix hundred and fixty. PHILIP the fecond left his fucceffors a ruined monarchy. He left them fomething worfe; he left them his example and his principles of government, founded in ambition, in pride, in ignorance, in bigotry, and all the pedantry of flate. I have read formewhere or other, that the war of the Low Countries alone coft him, by his own confession, five hundred and fixty-four millions, a prodigious fum in what species foever he reckoned. PHILIP the third and PHILIP the fourth followed his example and his principles of government, at home and abroad. At home, there was much form, but no good order, no economy, nor wifdom of policy in the state. The church continued to devour the flate, and that monster the inquisition to dispeople the country. even more than perpetual war, and all the numerous colonies that Spain had fent to the West-Indies: for your lordship will find that PHILIP the third drove more than nine hundred thousand Morifcoes out of his dominions by one edict, with fucli circumstances of inhumanity in the execution of it, as Spaniards alone could exercise, and that tribunal who had provoked this unhappy race to revolt, could alone approve. Abroad, the conduct of these princes was directed by the same wild fpirit of ambition: rash in undertaking though flow to execute, and obstinate in purluing though unable to fucceed, they opened a new fluice to let out the little life and vigor that remained in their monarchy. PHILIP the second is said to have been piqued against his uncle FERDINAND, for refusing to yield the empire to him on the abdication of CHARLES the fifth. Certain it is, that as much as he loved to diffurb the peace of mankind, and to meddle in every quarrel that had the appearance of supporting the Roman, and oppressing every other church, he meddled little in the affairs of Germany. But, FERDINAND and MAXIMILIAN, dead, and the offspring of MAXIMILIAN, extinct. the kings of Spain espoused the interests of the other branch of their family, entertained remote views of ambition in favor of their own branch. even on that fide, and made all the enterprises of FERDINAND of Gratz, both before and after his elevation to the empire, the common cause of the house of Austria. What completed their ruin was this: they knew not how to lofe, nor when to yield. They acknowledged the independency of the Dutch commonwealth, and became the allies of their ancient subjects at the treaty of Munster: but they would not forego their usurped claim on Portugal, and they perfifted to carry on fingly the war against France. Thus they were reduced to fuch a lowness of power as can hardly be paralleled in any other case: and PHILIP the fourth was obliged at last to conclude a peace, on terms repugnant to his inclination, to that of his people, to the interest of Spain, and to that of all Europe, in the Pyrenean treaty.

As to France; this ara of the entire fall of the Spanish power is likewise that from which we may reckon that France grew as formidable, as we have feen her, to her neighbours, in power and pretentions. HENRY the fourth meditated great deligns, and prepared to act a great part in Europe. in the very beginning of this period, when RA-VAILLAC Stabbed him. His defigns died with him. and are rather gueffed at than known: for furely those which his historian PEREFIXE and the compiler of SULLY's memorials afcribe to him, of a Christian commonwealth, divided into fifteen states. and of a fenate to decide all differences, and to maintain this new constitution of Europe, are too chimerical to have been really his: but his general defion of abaling the house of Austria, and establifting the fuperior power in that of Bourbon. was taken up, about twenty years after his death, by RICHELIEU, and was purfued by him and by MAZARIN with fo much ability and fuccels, that it was effected entirely by the treaties of Westphalia and by the Pyrenean treaty: that is, at the and of the fecond of those periods I have prefumed to propose to your lordship.

When the third, in which we now are, will end, and what circumfinese will mark the end of it, I know not: but this I know, that the great events and revolutions, which have happened in the course of it, interest us full more nearly than those of the two precedent periods. I intended to have drawn up an elenchus or summary of the three, but I doubted, on surther reslection, whether my memory would enable me to do it with exaclines enough: and I Law that, if I was able to do it, the deduction would be immeasurably long. Something of this kind however it may be reasonable to attempt, in speaking of the last period: which may hereaster occasion a further trouble to your lordship.

But to give you some breathing-time, I will postpone it at present, and am in the mean while,

My lord,

Your, &c.

of his neighbours. Neither of these princes was induced, I believe, by the flattery of his courtiers, or the apprehensions of his adversaries, to entertain fo chimerical a defign as this would have been. even in that false sense wherein the word universal is so often understood; and I mistake very much if either of them was of a character, or in circumstances, to undertake it. Both of them had strong defires to raife their families higher, and to extend their dominions farther; but neither of them had that bold and adventurous ambition which makes a conqueror and a hero. These apprehensions however were given wifely, and taken ufefully. They cannot be given nor taken too foon when fuch powers as these arise; because when such powers as these are belieged as it were early, by the common policy and watchfulness of their neighbours, each of them may in his turn of ftrength fally forth, and gain a little ground; but none of them will be able to pull their conquells far, and much less to confummate the entire projects of their ambition. Befides the occasional opposition that was given to CHARLES the fifth by our HENRY the eighth, according to the different moods of humor he was in : by the popes, according to the feveral turns of their private interest; and by the princes of Germany according to the occasions or pretences that religion or civil liberty furnished, he had from his first setting out a rival and an enemy in FRANCIS the first, who did not maintain his cause "in forma pauperis," if I may use such an expression; as we have seen the house

Let. 7.

that concerning the Valteline, and that concerning the fuccession of Mantua; without engaging so deep as to divert him from another great object of his policy, fubduing Rochelle and difarming the Huguenots. You will observe how he turned himfelf, after this was done, to stop the progress of FERDINAND in Germany. Whilft Spain fomented difcontents at the court and diforders in the kingdom of France, by all possible means, even by taking engagements with the duke of ROHAN and for supporting the protestants; RICHELIEU abetted the fame interest in Germany against FERDINAND; and in the Low Countries against Spain. The emperor was become almost the master in Germany CHRIS-TIAN the fourth, king of Denmark, had been at the head of a league, wherein the United Provinces, Sweden, and Lower Saxony entered, to oppose his progress: but CHRISTIAN had been defeated by TILLY and VALSTEIN, and obliged to conclude a treaty at Lubec, where FERDINAND gave him the law. It was then that GUSTAVUS ADOL-PHUS, with whom RICHELIEU made an alliance, entered into this war, and foon turned the fortune of it. The French minister had not yet engaged his mafter openly in the war; but when the Dutch grew impatient, and threatened to renew their truce with Spain, unless France declared; when the king of SWEDEN was killed, and the battle of Nordlingen loft; when Saxony had turned again to the fide of the emperor, and Brandenburgh and fo many others had followed this example, that Heffe almost alone persisted in the Swedish alliances

Let. 7.

then RICHELIEU engaged his master, and profited of every circumstance which the conjuncture afforded, to engage him with advantage. For, first, he had a double advantage by engaging fo late: that of coming fresh into the quarrel against a wearied and almost exhausted enemy; and that of yielding to the imparience of his friends, who, pressed by their necessities and by the want they had of France, gave this minister an opportunity of laying those claims and establishing those pretensions, in all his treaties with Holland, Sweden, and the princes and states of the empire, on which he had projected the future aggrandizement of France. The manner in which he engaged, and the air that he gave to his engagement, were advantages of the fecond fort, advantages of reputation and credit; yet were these of no small moment in the course of the war, and operated strongly in favor of France as he defigned they should, even after his death, and at and after the treaties of Westphalia. He varnished ambition with the most plansible and popular pretences. The elector of TREVES had put himfelf under the protection of France: and, if I remember right, he made this step when the emperor could not protect him against the Swedes, whom he had reason to apprehend. No matter, the governor of Luxemburgh was ordered to furprise Treves, and to seize the elector. executed his orders with fuccess, and carried this prince prisoner into Brabant. RICHELIEU seized the lucky circumstance; he reclaimed the elector: and on the refusal of the cardinal infant, the war was declared. France, you fee, appeared the common friend of liberty, the defender of it in the Low Countries against the king of SPAIN, and in Germany against the emperor, as well as the protector of the princes of the empire, many of whose estates had been illegally invaded, and whose persons were no longer safe from violence even in their own places. All these appearances were kept up in the negociations at Munster, where MAZARIN reaped what RICHELIEU had fowed. The demands that France made for herfelf were very great; but the conjuncture was favorable, and she improved it to the utmost. No figure could be more flattering than her's, at the head of these negociations; nor more mortifying than the emperor's through the whole course of the treaty. The princes and states of the empire had been treated as vallals by the emperor: France determined them to treat with him on this occasion as sovereigns, and supported them in this determination. Whilft Sweden feemed concerned for the protestant interest alone, and showed no other regard, as she had no other alliance: France affected to be impartial alike to the protestant and to the papift, and to have no interest at heart but the common interest of the Germanic body. Her demands were excellive, but they were to be fatisfied principally out of the emperor's patrimonial dominions. It had been the art of her ministers to establish this general maxim on many particular experiences, that the grandeur of France was a real, and would be a conflant fecurity to

the rights and liberties of the empire against the emperor: and it is no wonder therefore, this maxim prevailing, injuries, refentments, and jealousies being fresh on one side, and services, obligations, and confidence on the other, that the Germans were not unwilling France should extend her empire on this fide of the Rhine. Sweden did the same on this side of the Baltic. These treaties, and the immense credit and influence that France had acquired by them in the empire, put it out of the power of one branch of the house of Austria to return the obligations of affiftance to the other, in the war that continued between France and Spain, till the Pyrenean treaty. By this treaty the superiority of the house of Bourbon over the house of Austria was not only completed and confirmed, but the great defign of uniting the Spanish and the French monarchies under the former was laid.

The third period therefore begins by a great change of the balance of power in Europe, and by the prospect of one much greater and more stall. Before I descend into the particulars I intend to mention, of the course of affairs, and of the political conduct of the great powers of Europe in this third period; give me leave to cast my eyes once more back on the second. The restection I am going to make seems to me important, and leads to all that is to follow.

The Dutch made their peace feperately at Munster with Spain, who acknowledged then the fovereignty and independency of their common-

wealth. The French, who had been, after our ELIZABETH, their principal support, reprosched them feverely for this breach of faith. They excused themselves in the best manner, and by the hest reasons, they could. All this your lordship will find in the monuments of that time. But I think it not improbable that they had a motive you will not find there, and which it was not proper to give as a reason or excuse to the French. Might not the wife men amongst them consider even then, besides the immediate advantages that accrued by this treaty to their commonwealth, that the imperial power was fallen; that the power of Spain was vafily reduced; that the house of Austria was nothing more than the shadow of a great name, and that the house of Bourbon was advancing, by large strides, to a degree of power as exorbitant, and as formidable as that of the other family had been in the hands of CHARLES the fifth, of PHILIP the fecond, and lately of the two FERDINANDS? Might they not foresee, even then, what happened in the course of very few years, when they were obliged, for their own fecurity, to affift their old enemies the Spaniards against their old friends the French? I think they might. Our CHARLES the first was no great politician, and yet he feemed to difcern that the balance of power was turning in favor of France, fome years before the treaties of Westphalia. He refused to be neuter, and threatened to take part with Spain, if the French purfued the design of befreging Dunkirk and Graveline, according

The precise point at which the scales of power turn like that of the folltice in either tropic, is imperceptible to common observation; and, in one case as in the other, some progress must be made in the new direction, before the change is perceived. They who are in the finking fcale, for in the political balance of power, unlike to all others. the feale that is empty finks, and that which is full rifes; they who are in the finking fcale, do not easily come off from the habitual preindices of fuperior wealth, or power, or fkill, or conrage. nor from the confidence that these prejudices infoire. They who are in the rifing feale do not immediately feel their strength, nor assume that confidence in it which fuccefsfull experience gives them afterwards: They who are the most concerned to watch the variations of this balance, mifjudge often in the fame manner, and from the fame prejudices. They continue to dread a power no longer able to hurt them, or they continue to have no apprehensions of a power that grows daily more formidable. Spain verified the first obfervation at the end of the fecond period, when, proud and poor, and enterprifing and feeble, she fill thought herfelf a match for France. France verified the fecond observation at the beginning of the third period, when the triple alliance flopped the progress of her arms, which alliances much more confiderable were not able to effect afterwards. The other principal powers of Europe, in their turns, have verified the third observation

Let. 7.

in both its parts, through the whole course of this period.

When LEWIS the fourteenth took the administration of affairs into his own hands, about the year one thousand fix hundred and fixty, he was in the prime of his age, and had, what princes feldom have, the advantages of youth and those of experience together. Their education is generally bad; for which reason royal birth, that gives a right to the throne among other people. gave an absolute exclusion from it among the Mammelukes. His was, in all respects, except one, as bad as that of other princes. He jefted fornetimes on his own ignorance; and there were other defects in his character, owing to his education. which he did not fee, But MAZARIN had initiated him betimes into the mysteries of his policy. He had feen a great part of those foundations laid, on which he was to raife the fabric of his future grandeur: and as MAZARIN finished the work that RICHELEU began, he had the lessons of one, and the examples of both, to instruct him. He had acquired habits of fecrecy and method, in bufinefs: of referve, diferetion, decency, and dignity, in behaviour. If he was not the greatest king, he was the best actor of majesty at least, that ever filled a throne. He by no means wanted that courage which is commonly called bravery, though the want of it was imputed to him in the midft of his greatest triumphs: nor that other courage, less oftentations and more rarely found, calm. fleady, perfevering resolution; which seems to

arife less from the temper of the body, and is therefore called courage of the mind. He had them both most certainly, and I could produce unquestionable anecdotes in proof. He was, in one word, much fuperior to any prince with whom he had to do, when he began to govern. He was furrounded with great captains bred in former wars, and with great ministers bred in the same school as himfelf. They who had worked under MAZARIN. worked on the same plan under him; and as they had the advantage of genius and experience over most of the ministers of other countries, so they had another advantage over those who were equal or fuperior to them: the advantage of ferving a mafter whose absolute power was established; and the advantage of a fituation wherein they might exert their whole capacity without contradiction: over that, for inflance, wherein your lordship's great-grand-father was placed, at the fame time, in England, and JOHN DE WIT in Holland, Among these ministers. COLBERT must be mentioned particularly upon this occasion; because it was he who improved the wealth, and confequently the power of France extremely, by the order he put into the finances, and by the encouragement he gave to trade and manufactures. The foil, the climate. the fituation of France, the ingenuity, the industry, the vivacity of her inhabitants are fuch; the has fo little want of the product of other countries, and other countries have fo many real or imaginary wants to be suplied by her; that when she is not at war with all her neighours, when her M 2

domestic quiet is preserved and any tolerable administration of government prevails, she must grow rich at the expense of those who trade, and even of those who do not open a trade, with her. Her baubles, her modes, the follies and extravagancies of her luxury, cost England, about the time we are speaking of, little less than eight hundred thousand pounds sterling a year, and other nations in their proportions. Colbert made the most of all these advantageous circumstances, and whilst he filled the national spunge, he taught his successors how to squeeze it; a secret that he repented having discovered, they say, when he saw the immense sums that were necessary to supply the growing magnificence of his master.

This was the character of LEWIS the fourteenth. and this was the state of his kingdom at the beginning of the present period. If his power was great, his pretentions were still greater He had renounced, and, the Infanta with his confent had renounced. all right to the fuccession of Spain, in the strongest terms that the precaution of the councils of Madrid could contrive. No matter; he confented to thele renunciations, but your lordship will find by the letters of MAZARIN, and by other memorials, that he acted on the contrary principle, from the first. which he avowed foon afterwards. Such a power, and fuch pretentions, should have given, would think, an immediate alarm to the rest of Harope PHILIP the fourth was broken and decayed. like the monarchy he governed. One of his fons died, as I remember, during the negociations that

preceded the year one thousand fix hundred and fixty: and the furvivor, who was CHARLES the fecond, rather languished, than lived, from the cradle to the grave. So dangerous a contingency, therefore, as the union of the two monarchies of France and Spain, being in view forty years together: one would imagine that the principal powers of Europe had the means of preventing it constantly in view during the same time. But it was other-France acted very fystematically from the year one thousand fix hundred and fixty, to the death of king CHARLES the fecond of Spain. She never loft fight of her great object, the fuccession to the whole Spanish monarchy; and she accepted the will of the king of SPAIN in favor of the duke of ANJOU. As the never loft fight of her great object during this time, fo fhe loft no opportunity of increasing her power, while she waited for that of fucceeding in her pretenfions. The two branches of Austria were in no condition of making a confiderable opposition to her defigns and attempts. Holland, who of all other powers was the most concerned to oppose them. was at that time under two influences that hindered her from pursuing her true interest. Her true interest was to have used, her utmost endeavours to unite closely and intimately with England on the restoration of king CHARLES. She did the very contrary. JOHN DE WIT, at the head of the Louvestein faction, governed. The interest of his party was to keep the house of Orange down; he courted therefore the friendship of M 3

France, and neglected that of England. The alliance between our nation and the Dutch was renewed, I think, in one thousand fix hundred and fixty-two; but the latter had made a defensive league with France a little before, on the supposition principally of a war with England. The war became inevitable very foon. CROMWELL had chastised them for their usurpations in trade, and the outrages and cruelties they had committed; but he had not cured them. The same spirit continued in the Dutch, the same resentments in the English; and the pique of merchants became the pique of nations. France entered into the war on the fide of Holland; but the little affiftance she gave the Dutch showed plainly enough that her intention was to make thefe two powers waste their strength against one another, whilst she extended her conquests in the Spanish Low Countries. Her invalion of these provinces obliged DE WIT to change his conduct. Hitherto he had been attached to France in the closest manner, had led his republic to ferve all the purpofes of France. and had renewed with the marshal D'ESTRADES a project of dividing the Spanish Netherlands between France and Holland, that had been taken up formerly, when RICHELIEU made use of it to flatter their ambition, and to engage them to prolong the war against Spain. A project not unlike to that which was held out to them by the famous preliminaries, and the extravagant barier-treaty. in one thousand seven hundred and nine; and which engaged them to continue a war on the principle

of ambition, into which they had entered with more reasonable and more moderate views

As the private interests of the two DE WITS hindered that commonwealth from being on her guard, as early as flie ought to have been, against France; so the mistaken policy of the court of England, and the short views, and the prosufe temper of the prince who governed, gave great advantages to LEWIS the fourteenth in the pursuit of his defigns. He bought Dunkirk: and your lordship knows how great a clamor was raised on that occasion against your noble ancestor; as if he alone had been answerable for the measure, and his interest had been concerned in it. I have heard our late friend. Mr. GEORGE CLARK, quote a witness, who was quite unexceptionable, but I cannot recal his name at prefent, who, many years after all these transactions, and the death of my lord CLA-RENDON, affirmed, that the earl of SANDWICH had owned to him, that he himfelf gave his opinion, among many others, officers, and ministers, for felling Dunkirk. Their reasons could not be good, I presume to say; but several, that might be plaufible at that time, are easily guessed. prince like king CHARLES, who would have made as many bad bargains as any young spendthrift, for money, finding himfelf thus backed, we may affure ourselves, was peremptorily determined to fell: and whatever your great-grand-father's opinion was, this I am able to pronounce upon my own experience, that his treaty for the fale is no proof he was of opinion to fell. When the resolution of M 4

Let. 7,

felling was once taken, to whom could the fale be made? To the Dutch? No. This measure would have been at least as impolitic, and, in that moment, perhaps more odions than the other. To the Spaniards? They were unable to buy; and as low as their power was funk, the principle of opposing it fill prevailed. I have sometimes thought that the Spaniards, who were forced to make peace with Portugil, and to renounce all claim to that crown, four or five years afterwards. might have been induced to take this refolution then, if the regaining Dunkirk without any expense had been a condition proposed to them; and that the Portuguefe, who, notwithstanding their atlance with England and the indirect fuccours that france afforded them, were little able, after the treaty especially, to support a war against Spain, might have been induced to pay the price of Dunkirk, for fo great an advantage as immediste peace with Spain, and the extinction of all foreign pretences on their crown. But this speculation concerning events to long ago patted is not much to the purpose here. I proceed therefore to observe, that notwithstanding the sale of Dunkirk, and the fecret leanings of our court to that of France, yet England was fuft to take the alarm. when LEWIS the fourteenth invaded the Spanifla Netherlands in one thousand fix hundred and fixtyfeven: and the triple alliance was the work of an English minister. It was time to take this alarm: for from the moment that the king of FRANCE claimed a right to the county of Burgundy, the Let. 7,

dutchy of Brabant, and other portions of the Low Countries as devolved on his queen by the death of her father PHILIP the fourth, he pulled off the mask entirely. Volumes were written to establish, and to refute this supposed right. Your lordship no doubt will look into a controverly that has employed fo many pens and fo many fwords; and I believe you will think it was sufficiently bold in the French, to argue from customs, that regulated the course of private successions in certain provinces, to a right of succeeding to the sovereignt, y of those provinces; and to affert the divisibility of the Spanish monarchy, with the same breath with which they afferted the indivisibility of their own; although the proofs in one case were just as good as the proofs in the other, and the fundamental law of indivifibility was at least as good a law in Spain, as either this or the Salique law was in France. But however proper it might be for the French and Austrian pens to enter into long discussions, and to appeal, on this great occasion, to the rest of Furope: the reft of Europe had a flort objection to make to the plea of France, which no fophisms, no quirks of law, could evade. Spain accepted the renunciations as a real fecurity: France gave them as fuch to Spain, and in effect to the rest of Europe. If they had not been thus given, and thus taken, the Spaniards would not have married their Infanta to the king of FRANCE, whatever diffress they might have endured by the prolongation of the war. These renunciations were renunciations of all rights whatfoever to the whole Spanish monarchy,

and to every part of it. The provinces claimed by France at this time were parts of it. To claim them, was therefore to claim the whole; for if the renunciations were no bar to the rights accruing to MARY THERESA on the death of her father PHILIP the fourth, neither could they be any to the rights that would accrue to her and her children, on the death of her brother CHARLES the fecond: an unhealthful youth, and who at this instant was in immediate danger of dying; for to all the complicated diffempers he brought into the world with him, the small-pox was added. lordship sees how the fatal contingency of uniting the two monarchies of France and Spain stared mankind in the face; and yet nothing, that I can remember, was done to prevent it: not formuch as a guaranty given, or a declaration made to affert the validity of these renunciations, and for securing the effect of them. The triple alliance indeed ftopped the progress of the French arms, and produced the treaty of Aix la Chapelle. But England, Sweden, and Holland, the contracting powers in this alliance, feemed to look, and probably did look, no farther. France kept a great and important part of what she had surprised or ravished, or purchased; for we cannot say with any propriety that fhe conquered: and the Spaniards were obliged to fet all they faved to the account of gain, The German branch of Austria had been reduced very low in power and in credit under FERDINAND the third, by the treaties of Westphalia, as I have faid already. Lewis the fourteenth maintained,

during many years, the influence these treaties had given him among the princes and flates of the empire. The famous capitulation made at Frankfort on the election of LEOPOLD, who fucceeded FER-DINAND about the year one thousand fix hundred and fifty-feven, was encouraged by the intrigues of France: and the power of France was looked upon as the fole power that could ratify and fecure effectually the observation of the conditions then made. The league of the Rhine was not renewed I believe after the year one thousand fix hundred and fixty-fix; but though this league was not renewed, yet fome of these princes and states continued in their old engagement with France: whilft others took new engagements on particular occasions, according as private and fometimes very paultry interests, and the emissaries of France in all their little courts, disposed them. In short the princes of Germany showed no alarm at the growing ambition and power of LEWIS the fourteenth, but contributed to encourage one, and to confirm the other. In fuch a state of things the German branch was little able to affift the Spanish branch against France, either in the war that ended by the Pyrenean treaty, or in that we are speaking of here, the fhort war that began in one thousand, fix hundred and fixty-feven, and was ended by the treaty of Aix la Chapelle, in one thousand fix hundred and fixty-eight. But it was not this alone that disabled the emperor from acting with vigor in the cause of his family then, nor that has rendered the house of Austria a dead weight upon

Let. 7.

all her allies ever fince. Bigotry, and its infeparable companion, cruelty, as well as the tyranny, and avarice of the court of Vienna, created in those days, and has maintained in ours, almost a perpetual diversion of the imperial arms from all effectua opposition to France. I mean to speak of the troubles in Hungary. Whatever they became in their progress, they were caused originally by the usurpations and perfecutions of the emperor; and when the Hungarians were called rebels first, they were called to for no other reason than this, that they would not be flaves. The dominion of the emperor being less supportable than that of the Turks, this unhappy people opened a door to the latter to infest the empire, instead of making their country what it had been before, a barrier against the Ottoman power. France became a sure, though fecret ally of the Turks, as well as the Hungarians, and has found her account in it, by keeping the emperor in perpetual alarms on that fide, while the has ravaged the empire and the Low Countries on the other. Thus we faw, thirtytwo years ago, the arms of France and Bayaria in possession of Passau, and the malecontents of Hungary in the fuburbs of Vienna. In a word, when LEWIS the fourteenth made the first estay of his power, by the war of one thousand fix hundred and fixty-feven, and founded, as it were, the councils of Europe concerning his pretentions on the Spanish succession, he found his power to be great beyond what his neighbours or even he perhaps thought it: great by the wealth, and greater

by the united spirit of his people; greater still by the ill policy, and divided interests that governed those who had a superior common interest to oppose him. He found that the members of the triple alliance did not fee, or feeing, did not think proper to own that they faw, the injustice, and the confequence of his pretentions. They contented themselves to give to Spain an act of guaranty for fecuring the execution of the treaty of Aix la Chapelle. He knew even then how ill the guaranty would be observed by two of them at least, by England and by Sweden. The treaty itself was nothing more than a composition between the bully and the bullied. Tournay, and Lifle, and Donay, and other places that I have forgot, were vielded to him: and he reflored the county of Burgundy, according to the option that Spain made, against the interest and expedition too of the Dutch, when an option was forced upon her. The king of SPAIN compounded for his possession: but the emperor compounded at the same time for his fuccession, by a private eventual treaty of partition, which the commander of GREMONVILLE and the count of AUERSBERG figned at Vienna, The fame LEOPOLD, who exclaimed fo loudly, in one thousand fix hundred and ninety-eight, against any partition of the Spanish monarchy, and refused to fubmit to that which England and Holland had then made, made one himfelf in one thousand fix hundred and fixty-eight, with fo little regard to these two powers, that the whole ten provinces were thrown into the lot of France.

Let. 7.

There is no room to wonder if fuch experience as LEWIS the fourteenth had upon this occasion, and fuch a face of affairs in Europe, raifing his hopes, raifed his ambition: and if, in making peace at Aix la Chapelle, he meditated a new war, the war of one thousand fix hundred and feventy-two; the preparations he made for it, by negociations in all parts, by alliances wherever he found ingression, and by the increase of his forces, were equally proofs of ability, industry, and power. I shall not descend into these particulars: your lordship will find them pretty well detailed in the memorials of that time. But one of the alliances he made I must mention, though I mention it with the utmost regret and indignation. England was fatally engaged to act a part in this conspiracy against the peace and the liberty of Europe, nay, against her own peace and her own liberty; for a bubble's part it was, equally wicked and impolitic. Forgive the terms I use, my lord, none can be too ftrong. The principles of the triple alliance, just and wife, and worthy of a king of England, were laid afide. Then, the progress of the French arms was to be checked, the ten provinces were to be faved, and by faving them, the barrier of Holland was to be preserved. Now, we joined our counfels and our arms to those of France, in a project that could not be carried on at all, as it was eafy to foresee, and as the event showed, unless it was carried on against Spain, the emperor, and most of the princes of Germany, as well as the Dutch; and

which could not be carried on fuccessfully, without leaving the ten provinces entirely at the mercy of France, and giving her pretence and opportunity of ravaging the empire, and extending her conquests on the Rhine. The medal of VAN BEUNINGHEN, and other pretences that France took for attacking the states of the Low Countries were ridiculous. They imposed on no one: and the true object of LEWIS the fourteenth was manifest to all. But what could a king of England mean? CHARLES the fecond had reasons of resentment against the Dutch, and just ones too no doubt. Among the reft, it was not easy for him to forget the affront he had fuffered, and the lofs he had fustained, when, depending on the peace that was ready to be figned, and that was figned at Breda in July, he neglected to fit out his fleet, and when that of Holland, commanded by RUYTER, with CORNELUS DE WIT on board as deputy or commissioner of the states, burnt his thips at Chatham in June. The famous perpetual edict, as it was called but did not prove in the event against the election of a stadtholder, which JOHN DE WIT promoted, carried, and obliged the prince of ORANGE to fwear to maintain a very few days after the conclusion of the peace at Breda, might be another motive in the breaft of king CHARLES the fecond: as it was certainly a pretence of revenge on the Dutch, or at least on the DE WITS and the Louvestein faction, that ruled almost despotically in that commonwealth. But it is plain that neither these reasons, nor

others of a more ancient date, determined him to this alliance with France; fince he contracted the triple alliance within four or five months after the two events, I have mentioned, happened. What then did he mean? Did he mean to acquire one of the feven provinces, and divide them, as the Dutch had twice treated for the division of the ten, with France? I believe not; but this I believe, that his inclinations were favorable to the popish interest in general, and that he meant to make himfelf more absolute at home; that he thought it necessary to this end to humble the Dutch, to reduce their power, and perhaps to change the form of their government: to deprive his subjects of the correspondence with a neighbouring protestant and free state, and of all hope of fuccour and fupport from thence in their opposition to him: in a word to abet the designs of France on the continent, that France might abet his defigns on his own kingdom. This, I fay, I believe; and this I should venture to affirm. if I had in my hands to produce, and was at liberty to quote, the private relations I have read formerly, drawn up by those who were no enemies to fuch deligns, and on the authority of those who were parties to them, But whatever king CHARLES the fecond meant, certain it is that his conduct established the superiority of France in Europe.

But this charge, however, must not be confined to him alone. Those who were nearer the danger, those who were exposed to the immediate attacks

ot

of France, and even those who were her rivals for the same succession, having either assisted her, or engaged to remain neuter, a strange satality prevailed, and produced such a conjuncture as can hardly be paralleled in history. Your lordship will observe with associationant even in the beginning of the year one thousand six hundred and seventy-two, all the neighbours of France acting as it they had nothing to fear from her, and some as if they had much to hope, by helping her to oppress the Dutch and sharing with her the spoils of that commonwealth "Delenda est "Carthago," was the cry in England, and seemed too a maxim on the continent.

In the course of the same year, you will observe that all these powers took the alarm, and began to unite in opposition to France. Even England thought it time to interpole in favor of the Dutch. The confequences of this alarm, of this fuddent turn in the policy of Europe, and of that which happened by the maffacre of the DE WITS, and the elevation of the prince of ORANGE, in the government of the feven provinces, faved thefe provinces, and stopped the rapid progress of the arms of France. LEWIS the fourteenth indeed furprifed the feven provinces in this war, as he had furprifed the ten in that of one thousand fix hundred and fixty-leven, and ravaged defenceless countries with armies Inflicient to conquer them: if they had been prepared to refift. In the war of one thousand fix hundred and seventy-two he had little less than one hundred and fifty thousand

men on foot, besides the bodies of English Swifs, Italians, and Swedes, that amounted to thirty or forty thousand more. With this mighty force he took forty places in forty days, imposed extravagant conditions of peace, played the monarch a little while at Utrecht; and as foon as the Dutch recovered from their conflernation. and, animated by the example of the prince of Orange and the hopes of fuccour, refused these conditions, he went back to Verfailles, and left his generals to carry on his enterptife; which they did with fo little fuccess, that Grave and Maestricht alone remained to him of all the boafted conquefts he had made; and even thefe he offered two years afterwards to reftore, if by that concession he could have prevailed on the Dutch at that time to make peace with him. But they were not yet disposed to abandon their allies; for allies now they had. The emperor and the king of SPAIN had engaged in the quarrel against France, and many of the princes of the empire had done the fame: not all. The Bayarian continued obstinate in his neutrality, and to mention no more, the Swedes made a great diversion in favor of France in the empire; where the duke of HANOVER abetted their defigns as much as he could, for he was a zealous partifan of France, though the other princes. of his house acted for the common cause. I descend into no more particulars. The war that LEWIS the fourteenth kindled by attacking in fo violent a manner the Dutch commonwealth; and by making to arbitrary an use of his first success, became general, in the Low Countries, in Spain, in Sicily,

on the upper and lower Rhine, in Denmark, in Sweden, and in the provinces of Germany bellinging the thele two crowns; on the Mediterranean. the Ocean, and the Biltic. France supported this war with advantage on every fide; and when your lordship considers in what manner it was carried on against her, you will not be surprised that she . Spain had spirit, but to little strength. to maintain her power in Sicily, where Millina had revolted; to defend her frontier on that fide of the Pyrenees; and to relift the great efforts of the French in the Low Countries. The empire was divided; and, even among the princes who acted against France, there was neither union in their councils, nor concert in their projects, nor order in preparations, nor vigor in execution: and, to fay the truth, there was not, in the whole confederacy, a man whose abilities could make him a match for the prince of CONDE or the marshall of TURENNE; nor many who were in any degree equal to LUXEMBURG, CR-QUI, SCHOMBERG, and other generals of interior note, who commanded the armies of France. The emperor took this very time to make new invalions on the liberties of Hungary, and to oppress his protestant subjects. The prince of ORANGE alone acted with invincible firmness, like a patriot, and a hero. Aci her the feductions of France nor those of England, neither the temptations of ambition nor thole of private interest could make him swerve from the true interest of his country, nor from the common interest of Europe. He had raised more sieges,

and loft more battles, it was faid, than any general of his age had done. Be it fo. But his defeats were manifeftly due in great meafure to circumflances independent on him: and that spirit, which even these defeats could not depress, was all his own. He had difficulties in his own commonwealth: the governors of the Spanish Low Countries crossed his measures sometimes: the German allies disappointed and broke them often: and it is not improbable that he was frequently betrayed. He was fo perhaps even by SOUCHES, the imperial general: a Frenchman according to BAYLE, and a pensioner of Louvois according to common report and very firong appearances. He had not yet credit and authority fufficient to make him a centre of union to a whole confederacy, the foul that animated and directed to great a body. He came to be fuch afterwards; but at the time spoken of he could not take fo great a part upon him. No other prince or general was equal to it; and the confequences of this defect appeared almost in every operation. France was furrounded by a multitude of enemies, all intent to demolish her power. But, like the builders of Babel, they fpoke different languages: and as those could not build. these could not demolish, for want of understanding one another. France improved this advantage by her arms, and more by her negociations. Nimeghen was, after Cologn, the fcene of thefe. England was the mediating power, and I know not whether our CHARLES the fecond did not ferve her purpofes more usefully in the latter, and

under the character of mediator, than he did or could have done by joining his arms to her's, and acting as her ally. The Dutch were induced to fign a treaty with him, that broke the confederacy, and gave great advantage to France: for the purport of it was to oblige France and Spain to make peace on a plan to be purposed to them, and no mention was made in it of the other allies that I remember. The Dutch were glad to get out of an expensive war. France promised to reflore Maestricht to them, and Maestricht was the only place that remained unrecovered of all they had loft. They dropped Spain at Nimeghen. as they had dropped France at Munfter, but many circumstances concurred to give a much worse grace to their abandoning of Spain, than to their abandoning of France, I need not specify them, This only I would observe: when they made a separate peace at Munster, they left an ally who was in condition to carry on the war alone with advantage, and they prefumed to impose no terms upon him: when they made a separate peace at Nimeghen, they abandoned an ally who was in no condition to carry on the war alone, and who was reduced to accept whatever terms the common enemy prescribed. In their great distress in one thousand six hundred and seventy-three, they engaged to restore Maestricht to the Spaniards as foon as it should be retaken: it was not retaken, and they accepted it for themselves as the price of the separate peace they made with France. The Dutch had engaged farther, to make neither peace

nor truce with the king of FRANCE, till that prince confented to reflore to Sprin all he had conquered fince the Pyrenean treaty. But, far from keeping this promite in any tolerable degree LEWIS the fourteenth acquired, by the plan impoled on Spain at Nimeghen, befide the county of Burgundy, fo many other countries and towns on the fide of the ten Spanish provinces, that these added to the places he kept of those which had been yielded to him by the tre-ty of Aix la Chapelle (for fome of little conf. quence he reflored) put into his hands the principal flrength of that barrier, against which we gooded ourselves almost to death in the laft great war; and made good the faying of the marfhal of Schomberg, that to attack this barrier was to take the beaft by his horns. I know very well what may be faid to excuse the Dutch. The emperor was more intent to tyrannize his fubjects on one fide, than to defend them on the other. He attempted bitle against France, and the little he did attempt was ill ordered, and worle executed. The affiftance of the princes of Germany was often uncertain, and always expensive. Spain was already indebted to Holland for great fums; greater full must be advanced to hereif the war continued; and experience showed that France was able, and would continue, to prevail against her prefent enemies. The triple league had flopped her progress, and obliged her to abandon the county of Burgundy; but Sweden was now engaged in the war on the fide of France, as England had been in the

beginning of it: and England was now privately favorable to her interests, as Sweden had been in the beginning of it. The whole ten provinces would have been subdued in the course of a few campaigns more: and it was better for Spain and the Dutch too, that part flould be faved by accepting a fort of composition, than the whole be rifked by relufing it. This might be alledged to excuse the conduct of the States General, in imposing hard terms on Spain; in making none for their other allies, and in figning alone: by which steps they gave France an opportunity that the improved with great dexterity of management, the opportunity of treating with the confederates one by one, and of beating them by detail in the cabinet, if I may fay fo, as the had often done in the field. I shall not compare these reasons, which were but too well founded in fact, and must appear plausible at least, with other considerations that might be, and were at the time, infilted upon. I confine myfelf to a few observations, which every knowing and impartial man must admit. Your lordship will observe, first, that the fatal principle of compounding with LEWIS the fourteenth, from the time that his pretentions, his power, and the use he made of it began to threaten Europe, prevailed still more at Nimeghen than it had prevailed at Aix: fo that although he did not-obtain to the full all he attempted, yet the dominions of France were by common confent, on every treaty, more and more extended; her barriers on all fides were more and more firengthened;

## 184 A Sketch of the HISTORY Let. 7.

those of her neighbours were more and more weakened; and that power, which was to affert one day, against the rest of Europe, the pretended rights of the house of B urbon to the Spanish monarchy, was more and more established, and rendered truly formidable in such hands at leaf, during the course of the first eighteen years of t. e period. Your lordship will pleate to observe, in the fecond place, that the extreme weakness of one branch of Austria, and the miferable conduct of both; the poverty of fome of the princes of the empire, and the difunion, and, to ipeak plainly, the mercenary policy of all of them; in . short, the confined views the false notions, and, to speak as plainly of my own as of other nations. the iniquity of the councils of England not only hindered the growth of this power from being ftopped in time, but nurfed it up into ftrength almost insuperable by any future confederacy. A third observation is this: If the excuses made for the conduct of the Dutch at Nimeshen are not fufficient, they too must come in for their share in this condemnation, even after the death of the DE WITS; as they were to be condemned most justly, during that administration, for abetting and favoring France. It these excuses, grounded on their inability to purfue any longer a war, the principal profit of which was to accrue to their confederates, for that was the case after the year one thousand fix hundred and seventy three, or one thonfand fix hundred and feventy-four and the principal burden of which was trown on them

by their confederates; if thefe are fufficient, they should not have acted for decency's sake as well as out of good policy, the part they did act in one thousand seven hundred and eleven, and one thousand seven hundred and twelve, towards the late queen, who had complaints of the fame kind, in a much higher degree and with circumstances much more aggravating, to make of them, of the emperor, and of all the princes of Germany; and who was far from treating them and their other allies, at that time, as they treated Spain and their other allies in one thousand fix hundred and feventy-eight, Immediately after the Dutch had made their peace, that of Spain was figned with France. The emperor's treaty with this crown and that of Sweden was concluded in the following year: and Lewis the fourteenth being now at liberty to affift his ally, whilft he had tied up the powers with whom he had treated from affifting theirs, he foon forced the king of DENMARK and the elector of BRANDENBURG to restore all they had taken from the Swedes, and to conclude the peace of the north. In all these treaties he gave the law, and he was now at the highest point of his grandeur. He continued at this point for feveral years, and in this height of his power he prepated those alliances against it, under the weight of which he was at last well-nigh oppressed; and might have been reduced as low as the general interest of Europe required, if some of the causes, which worked now, had not continued to work in his favor, and if his enemies, had not proved, in their

turn of fortune, as infatiable as prosperity had rendered him.

After he had made peace with all the powers with whom he had been in war, he continued to vex both Spain and the empire, and to extend his conquefts in the Low Countries, and on the Rhine, both by the pen and the fword. erect d the chambers of Metz and of British. where his own subjects were profecutors, witheffes, and judges all at once Upon the decisions of thefe tribunals, he feized into his own hands, under the notions of dependencies and the pretence of reunious, whatever towns or diffricts of country tempted his ambition, or fuited his conveniency; and added, by these and by other means, in the midft of peace, more territories to those the late treaties had yielded to him, than he could have got by continuing the war. He acted afterwards. in the support of all this, without any bounds or His glory was a reason for attacking Holland in one thousand fix hundred and seventy two, and his conveniency a reason for many of the attacks he made on others afterwards. He took Luxemburgh by force: he stole Strasburgh; he bought Caffal: and; whilft he waited the opportunity of acquiring to his family the crown. of Spain, he was not without thoughts, nor hopes perhaps, of bringing into it the imperial crown likewife. Some of the cruelties he exercised in the empire may be afcribed to his disappointment in this view: I I-id fome of them, because in the war that ended by the treaty of Nimeghen,

Un zest Go

he had already exercifed many. Though the French writers endeavour to flide over them, to pallivit them, and to impute them particularly to the English that were in their fervice for even this, one of their writers has the front to advance: yet these cruelties unheard of among civilized nations, mult be granted to have been ordered by the counsels, and executed by the arms of France, in the Palatinate, and in other parts.

It LEWIS the fourteenth could have contented himfelf with the acquifitions that were confirmed to him by the treaties of one thousand fix hundred and leventy-eight, and one thousand fix hundred and feventy-nine, and with the authority and reputation which he then gained; it is plain that he would have prevented the alliances that were afterwards formed against him, and that he might have regained his credit amongst the princes of the empire, where he had one family-alliance by the marriage of his brother to the daughter of the elector Palatine, and another by that of his fon to the fifter of the elector of BAVARIA; where Sweden was closely attached to him, and where the fame principles of private interest would have foon attached others as closely. He might have remained not only the principal, but the directing power of Europe, and have held this rank with all the glory imaginable, till the death of the king of SPAIN, or some other object of great ambition, had determined him to act another part. But, instead of this, he continued to vex and provoke all those who were, unhappily for

Let. 7.

them, his neighbours, and that, in many instances, for trifles. An example of this kind occurs to me. On the death of the duke of DEUX PONTS, he feized that little inconfiderable dutchy, without any regard to the indisputable right of the king of SWEDEN, to the services that crown had rendered him, or to the want he might have of that alliance hereafter. The confequence was, that Sweden entered, with the emperor, the king of SPAIN, the elector of Bavaria, and the States General, into the alliance of guaranty, as it was called, about the year one thousand fix hundred and eighty-three, and into the famous league of Augsburg, in one thousand fix hundred

and eighty-fix.

Since I have mentioned this league, and fince we may date from it a more general and a more concerted opposition to France than there had been before; give me leave to recal some of the reflections that have presented themselves to my mind, in confidering what I have read, and what I have heard related, concerning the passages of that time. They will be of use to form our judgment concerning later passages. If the king of FRANCE became an object of aversion on account of any invafions he made, any deviations from public faith, any barbarities exercifed where his arms prevailed, or the perfecution of his protestint subjects; the emperor deserved to be fuch an object, at least as much as he, on the fame accounts. The emperor was fo too, but with this difference relatively to the political

fystem of the west, the Austrian ambition and bigotry exerted themselves in distant countries, whose interests were not considered as a part of this fustem: for, otherwise there would have been as much reason for assisting the people of Hungary and of Transylvania against the emperor, as there had been formerly for affifting the people of the feven united provinces against Spain, or as there have been lately for affifting them against France: but the ambition and bigotry of LEWIS the fourteenth were exerted in the Low Countries, on the Rhine, in Italy, and in Spain, in the very midft of this fystem, if I may say so, and with fuccess that could not fail to subvert it in time. The power of the house of Austria, that had been feared too long, was feared no longer: and that of the house of Bourbon, by having been seared too late, was now grown terrible. The emperor was so intent on the establishment of his absolute power in Hungary, that he exposed the empire doubly to defolation and ruin for the fake of it. He left the frontier almost quite defenceless on the fide of the Rhine, against the inroads and ravages of France: and by showing no mercy to the Hungarians, nor keeping any faith with them, he forced that miferable people into alliances with the Turk, who invaded the empire and believed Vienna. Even this event had no effect upon him. Your lordship will find, that Sobieski king of Poland, who had forced the Turks to raife the fiege, and had fixed the imperial crown that tottered on his head, could not prevail on him

to take those measures by which alone it was possible to cover the empire, to secure the King of SPAIN, and to reduce that power which was probably one day to dispute with him this prince's fuccession Texels and the malecontents made fuch demands as none but a tyrant could refule, the preservation of their ancient privileges, liberty of conscience, the convocation of a free diet or parliament, and others of less importance. All was in vain. The war continued with them, and with the Turks, and France was left at liberty to push her enterprifes almost without opposition, against Germany and the Low Countries. The diffress in both was fo great, that the States General faw no other expedient for stopping the progress of the French arms, than a cellation of hostilities, or a truce of twenty years; which they negociated, and which was accepted by the emperor and the king of SPAIN, on the terms that LEWIS the fourteenth thought fit to offer. By these terms he was to remain in full and quiet possession of all he had acquired fince the years one thousand fix hundred and feventy-eight, and one thousand hix hundred and feventy-nine; among which acquifitions that of Luxemburgh and that of Strafburgh were com-The conditions of this truce were prehended. fo advantageous to France, that all her intrigues were employed to obtain a definitive treaty of peace upon the same conditions. But this was neither the interest nor the intention of the other contracting powers. The imperial arms had been

very fuccessful against the Turks. This success, as well as the troubles that followed upon it in the Ottoman armies, and at the Porte, gave a reasonable expectation of concluding a peace on that fide: and, this peace concluded, the emperor, and the empire, and the king of SPAIN would have been in a much better posture to treat with France. With thele views, that were wife and just, the league of Augfburgh was made between the emperor, the kings of SPAIN and SWEDEN as princes of the empire, and the other circles and princes. This league was purely defensive. An express article declared it to be fo: and as it had no other regard. it was not only conformable to the laws and conflitutions of the empire and to the practice of all nations, but even to the terms of the act of truce fo lately concluded. This pretence therefore for breaking the truce feizing the electorate of Cologne, invading the Palatinate, befreging Philipsburgh, and carrying unexpected and undeclared war into the empire, could not be supported: nor is it possible to read the reasons published by France at this time, and drawn from her fears of the imperial power, without laughter. As little pretence was there to complain, that the emperor refused to convert at once the truce into a definitive treaty; fince, if he had done fo, he would have confirmed in a lump, and without any discussion, all the arbitrary decrees of those chambers, or courts, that France had erected to cover her usurpations; and would have given up almost a fixth part of the provinces of the empire, that France

one way of other had possessed herself of. The pretentions of the Dutchess of ORLEANS on the fuccession of her father, and her brother, which were disputed by the then elector Palatine, and were to be determined by the laws and cuftoms of the empire, afforded as little pretence for beginning this war, as any of the former allegations, The exclusion of the cardinal of FURSTENBERG, who had been elected to the archbishopric of Cologne, was capable of being aggravated: but even in this case his most christian majesty opposed his judgment and his authority against the judgement and authority of that holy father, whose eldeft fon he was proud to be called. In fhort, the true reason why LEWIS the sourteenth began that cruel war with the empire, two years after he had concluded a ceffation of hostilities for twenty, was this: he refolved to keep what he had got; and therefore he refolved to encourage the Turks to continue" the war. He did this effectually, by invading Germany at the very inflant when the Sultan was fuing for peace. Notwithstanding this, the Turks were in treaty again the following year: and good policy flould have obliged the emperor, fince he could not hope to carry on this war and that against France, at the same time, with vigor and effect, to conclude a peace with the leaft dangerous enemy of the two. The decision of this dispute with France could not be deferred, his defigns against the Hungarians were in part accomplished, for his fon was declared king, and the fettlement of that crown in his family was . made:

made; and the rest of these, as well as those that he formed against the Turks, might be deferred. But the councils of Vienna judged differently, and infifted even at this critical moment on the most exorbitant terms; on some of such a nature, that the Turks showed more humanity and a better fense of religion in refusing, than they in asking Thus the war went on in Hungary, and proved a constant diversion in favor of France, during the whole courle of that which LEWIS the fourteenth began at this time: for the treaty of Carlowitz was posterior of that of Ryswic. Empire, Spain, England, and Holland engaged in the war with France, and on them the emperor left the burden of it. In the short war of one thousand fix hundred and fixty-feven, he was not fo much as a party, and instead of assisting the king of SPAIN, which, it must be owned, he was in no good condition of doing, he bargained for dividing that prince's fuccession, as I have observed above. In the war of one thousand fix hundred and seventy two he made some feeble efforts. In this of one thousand fix hundred and eighty eight he did still less: and in the war which broke out at the beginning of the present century he did nothing, at least after the first campaign in Italy, and after the engagements that England and Holland took by the grand alliance. In a word, from the time that an opposition to France became a common cause in Europe, the house of Austria has been a clog upon it in many inflances, and of confiderable affistance to it in none. The accession of England

to this cause, which was brought about by the revolution of one thousand fix hundred and eightyeight, might have made amends, and more than amends, one would think, for this defect, and have thrown superiority of power and of success, on the fide of the confederates, with whom fhe took part against France. This, I say, might be imagined, without over-rating the power of England, or undervaluing that of France; and it was imagined at that time. How it proved otherwise in the event; how France came triumphant out of the war that ended by the treaty of Ryfwic, and though she gave up a great deal, yet preserved the greatest and the best part of her conquests and acquifitions made fince the treaties of Westphalia, and the Pyrenees; how the acquired, by the gift of Spain, that whole monarchy for one of her princes, though the had no reason to expect the least part of it without a war at one time. nor the great lot of it even by a war at any time; in fhort, bow she wound up advantageously the ambitious fystem she had been fifty years in weaving: how the concluded a war, in which the was defeated on every fide, and wholly exhaufted. with little diminution of the provinces and barriers acquired to France, and with the quiet poffession of Spain and the Indies to a prince of the house of Bourbon: all this, my lord, will be the subject of your refearches, when you come down to the latter part of the last period of modern history.

## LETTERVL

The fame fubject continued from the year one thousand fix hundred and eighty-eight.

Your lordship will find, that the objects propoled by the alliance of one thouland fix hundred and eighty-nine between the emperor and the States, to which England acceded, and which was the foundation of the whole confederacy then formed, were no less than to restore all things to the terms of the Westphalian and Pyrenean treaties, by the war; and to preferve them in that state, after the war, by a defensive alliance and guaranty of the same confederate powers against France. The particular as well as general meaning of this engagement was plain enough: and if it had not been fo, the fenfe of it would have been fufficiently determined, by that separate article, in which England and Holland obliged themselves to assist the "house of Austria, in taking and keeping " poffession of the Spanish monarchy, whenever " the case should happen of the death of CHARIES " the fecond, without lawful heirs." This engagement was double, and thereby relative to the whole political fystem of Europe, alike affected by the power and pretentions of France. Hitherto the 0 2

·Let. 8.

power of France had been alone regarded, and her pretentions feemed to have been forgot: or to what purpose should they have been remembered, whilft Europe was fo unhappily conflituted, that the flates, at whose expense she increased her nower, and their friends and allies, thought that they did enough upon every occasion if they made fome tolerable composition with her? They who were not in circumstances to refuse confirming prefeut, were little likely to take effectual measures against future usurpations. But now, as the alarm was greater than ever, by the outrages that France had committed, and the intrigues the had carried on; by the little regard she had shown to public faith, and by the airs of authority she had assumed twenty years together: fo was the spirit against her raifed to a higher pitch, and the means of reducing her power, or at least of checking it, were increased. The princes and states who had neglected or favored the growth of this power. which all of them had done in their turns, faw their error; faw the necessity of repairing it, and faw that unless they could check the power of France by uniting with a power superior to her's, it would be impossible to hunder her from succeeding in her great defigns on the Spanish succession. The court of England had Submitted, not many years before, to abet her usurpations, and the king of England had flooped to be her penfioner. But the crime was not national On the contrary, nation had cried out londly against it, even whilst it was committing: and as foon as ever the abdication of king JAMSS, and the elevation of the prince of ORANGE to the throne of England happened, the nation engaged with all imaginable 2-al in the common caule of Europe, to reduce the exorbitant power of France, to prevent her future and to rvenge her pall attempts; for even a spirit of revenge prevailed, and the war was a war of

anger as well as of intereft.

Unhappily this zeal was neither well conducted, nor well feconded. It was zeal without fuccefs in the first of the two wers that followed the year one thousand fix hundred and eighty-eight; and zeal without knowledge, in both of them. I enter ' into no detail concerning the events of these two wars. This only I observe on the first of them. that the treaties of Ryfwic were far from answering the ends proposed and the engagements taken by the first grand alliance. The power of France, with respect to extent of dominions and strength of barrier, was not reduced to the terms of the Pyrenean treaty, no, nor to those of the treaty of Nimeguen. Lorraine was reflored indeed with very confiderable referves, and the places taken or usurped on the other side of the Rhine: but then Strafburg was yielded up absolutely to France by the emperor, and by the empire. The concessions. to Spain were great, but fo were the conquests and the encroachments made upon her by France, fince the treaty of Nimeguen: and the got little at Ryfwic, I believe nothing more than fhe had faved at Nimeguen before. All these concessions, however, as well as the acknowledgment of king

WILILAM, and others made by LEWIS the fourteenth after he had taken Ath and Barcelona, even during the course of the negociations, compared with the loffes and repeated defeats of the allies and the ill state of the confederacy, surprised the generality of mankind, who had not been accuftomed to fo much moderation and generofity on the part of this prince. But the pretentions of the house of Bourbon on the Spanish succession re-Nothing had been done to mained the fame. weaken them; nothing was prepared to oppofe them; and the opening of this fuccession was visibly at hand: for CHARLES the fecond had been in immediate danger of dying about this time. His death could not be a remote event; and all the good queen's endeavours to be got with child had proved ineffectual. The league diffolved, all the forces of the confederates dispersed, and many difbanded; France continuing armed, her forces by fea and land increased and held in readiness to act on all fides, it was plain that the confederates had failed in the first object of the grand alliance; that of reducing the power of France; by fucceeding in which alone they could have been able to keep the fecond engagement, that of fecuring the fuccession of Spain to the house of Austria.

After this peace, what remained to be done? In the whole nature of things there remained but three. To abandon all care of the Spanish fucceffion was one; to compound with France upon this fuccefilon was another, and to prepare, like her, during the interval of peace, to make an

advantageous war whenever CHARLES the fecond should die, was a third. Now the sirst of these was to leave Spain, and, in leaving Spain, to leave all Europe in some fort at the mercy of France; fince whatever disposition the Spaniards should make of their crown, they were quite unable to support it against France; since the emperor could do little without his alliance: and fince Bavaria, the third pretender, could do flill less, and might find, in such a case, his account perhaps better in treating with the house of Bourbon than with that of Austria. More needs not be faid on this head; but on the other two, which I shall consider together, several facts are proper to be mentioned, and feveral reflections necessary to be made.

We might have counter-worked, no doubt, in their own methods of policy, the councils of France, who made peace to dissolve the confederacy, and great concessions, with very suspicious generofity, to gain the Spaniards: we might have waited, like them, that is in arms, the death of CHARLES the second, and have fortified in the mean time the dispositions of the king, the court, and people of Spain, against the pretentions of France: we might have made the peace, which was made fome time after that, between the emperor and the Turks, and have obliged the former at any rate to have fecured the peace of Hungary and to have prepared, by these and other expedients, for the war that would inevitably break out on the death of the king of SPAIN.

But all such measures were rendered impracticable, by the emperor chiefly. Experience had shown, that the powers who engaged in alliance with him most expect to take the whole burden of his cause upon themselves; and that Hungary would maintain a perpenual diversion in favor of France, fince he could not refolve to lighten the tyrannical yoke he had effablished in that country and in Transilvania, nor his ministers to part with the immense confications they had appropriated to themselves. Past experience showed this; and the experience that followed confirmed it very But further, there was not only little affiftance to be expected from him by those who flould engage in his quarrel; he did them hurt of another kind, and deprived them of many advantages by false measures of policy and unskilful negociations. Whilft the death of CHARLES the fecond was expected almost daily, the court of Vienna feemed to have forgot the court of Madrid, and all the pretentions on that crown. When the count D'HARRACH was fent thither, the imperial councils did fomething worfe. The king of SPAIN was ready to declare the archduke CHARLES his fuccesfor: he was defirous to have this young prince fent into Spain: the bent of the people was in favor of Austria, or it had been so, and might have been eafily turned the fame way again: at court no cabal was yet formed in favor of Bourbon, and a very weak intrigue was on foot in favor of the electoral prince of BAVARIA, Not only CHARLES might have been on the (pot ready

to reap the succession, but a German army might have been there to defend it; for the court of Madrid infifted on having twelve thousand of these troops, and, rather than not to have them offered to contribute to the payment of them privately: because it would have been too unpopular among the Spaniards, and too prejudicial to the Austrian interest, to have had it known that the emperor declined the payment of a body of his own troops that were demanded to fecure that monarchy to his four. These proposals were half refused, and half evaded: and in return to the offer of the crown of pain to the archduke, the imperial councils asked the government of Milan for him. They thought it a point of deep policy to fecure the Italian provinces, and to leave to England and Holland the care of the Low countries, of Spain, and the Indies. By declining these proposals, the house of Austria renounced in some fort the whole fuccession: at least she gave England and Holland reasons, whatever engagements these powers had taken, to refuse the harder task of putting her into possession by force; when she might, and would not, procure to the English and Duth, and her other allies, the eafter talk of defending her in this possession.

I faid that the meafures mentioned above were rendered impracticable, by the emperor chiefly, because they were rendered so likewise by other circumfances at the same conjuncture. A principal one I shall mention, and it shall be drawn from the state of our own country, and the disposition of our people. Let us take this up from king WILLIAM's accession to our crown. During the whole progress that LEWIS the fourteenth made towards fuch exorbitant power, as gave him well grounded hopes of acquiring at least to his family the Spanish monarchy, England had been either an idle spectator of all that passed on the continent, or a faint and uncertain ally against France, or a warm and fure ally on her fide, or a partial mediator between her and the powers confederated in their common defence. The revolution produced as great a change in our foreign conduct, as in our domestic establishment: and our nation engaged with great spirit in the war of one thousand six hundred and eighty-eight. But then this spirit was rash, presumptuous, and ignorant, ill conducted at home, and ill feconded abroad; all which has been touched already. We had waged no long wars on the continent, nor been very deeply concerned in foreign confederacies, fince the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. The history of EDWARD the third, however, and of the first twelfe or fifteen years of HENRY the fixth might have taught us fome general but ufeful leflons, drawn from remote times, but applicable to the present. So might the example of Henry the eighth, who fquandered away great fums for the profit of taking a town or the honor of having an emperor in his pay; and who divided afterwards by treaty the kingdom of France beetween himfelf and CHARLES the fifth, with faccefs to little answerable to such an undertaking, that it is hard Let. 8.

to believe his imperial and English majesty were both in earnest. If they were so, they were both the bubbles of their prefumption. But it feems thore likely that HENRY the eighth was bubbled on this occasion by the great hopes that CHARLES held out to flatter his vanity: as he had been bubbled by his father in-law FERDINAND, at the beginning of his reign, in the war of Navarre. But these reflections were not made, nor had we enough confidered the example of ELIZABETH, the last of our princes who had made any confiderable figure abroad, and from whom we might have learned to act with vigor, but to engage with caution, and always to proportion our affiftance according to our abilities, and the real necessities of our allies. The frontiers of France were now to fortified, her commerce and her naval force were so increased, her armies were grown fo numerous, her troops were fo disciplined, fo inured to war, and fo animated by a long courfe of fuccessful campaigns, that they who looked on the fituation of Europe could not fail. to see how difficult the enterprise of reducing her powers was become. Difficult as it was, we were obliged, on every account and by reasons of all kinds, to engage in it: but then we should have engaged with more forecast, and have conducted ourselves in the management of it, not with less alacrity and spirit, but with more order, more economy, and a better application of our efforts. But they who governed were glad to engage us at any rate: and we entered on this great scheme

of action, as our nation is too apt to do, hurried on by the ruling passion of the day. I have been told by feveral, who were on the ft ge of the world at this time, that the generality of our people believed, and were encouraged to believe, the war could not be long, if the king was vicerously inprorted; and there is a humdrum fpeech of a tocaker, of the house of commons, I think who humb'y defired his majesty, to take this opportunity of reconquering his ancient dutchy of Aquitain We were foon awakened from these gandy dreams. In feven or eight years no impressiou had been made on France, that was befored as it were on every fide; and after repeated defeats in the Low Countries, where king WILLIAM laid the principal stress of the war, his fole triumph was the retaking of Namur, that had been taken by the French a few years before. Unfultained by fuccess abroad, we are not to wonder that the spirit fligged at home; nor that the discontents of those who were averse to the established government uniting with the far greater number of thefe who diffiked the administration inflamed the general difcentents of the nation, oppressed with taxes, pillaged by usurers, plundered at sea, and disappointed at land. As we run into extremes always, fome would have continued this war at any rate. even at the same rate but: it was not possible they should prevail in such a fituation of affairs, and fuch a disposition of minds. They who got by the war, and made immense fortunes by the necessities of the public, were not so numerous

nor so powerful, as they have been since. The moneyed interest was not yet a rival able to cope with the landed interest, either in the nation or in parliament. The great corporations that had been erected more to lerve the turn of party, than for any real national use, aimed indeed even then at the strength and influence which they have fince acquired in the legislature; but they hat not made the fame progress by promoting national corruption, as they and the court have made fince. In thort, the other extreme prevailed. The generality of people grew as fond of getting out of the war, as they had been of entering into it: and thus far perhaps, confidering how it had been conducted, they were not much to be blamed, But this was not all; for when king WILLIAM had made the peace, our martial spirit became at once so pacific, that we seemed resolved to meddle no more in the affairs of the continent, at least to employ our arms no more in the quarrels that might arife there: and accordingly we reduced our troops in England to feven thoufind men

I have formetimes confidered, in reflecting on thefe paffiges, what I should have done, if I had fat in parliament at that time; and have been forced to own myleif, that I should have voted for dishanding the army then, as I voted in the following parliament for centuring the partition treaties, I am forced to own this because I remember how imperfect my notions were of the fituation of Europe in that extraordinary crisis, and how much Liaw the true interest of my own country in a

half light. But, my lord, I own it with fome fhame; because in truth nothing could be more abfurd than the conduct we held. What! because we had not reduced the power of France by the war, nor excluded the house of Bourbon from the Spanish succession, nor compounded with her upon it by the peace; and because the house of Austria had not helped herfelf, nor put it into our power to help her with more advantage and better prospect of success - were we to leave that whole fuccession open to the invasions of France, and to fuffer even the contingency to fublift, of feeing those monarchies united? What! because it was become extravagant, after the trials fo lately made to think onrielves any longer engaged by treaty, or obliged by good policy, to put the house of Austria in possession of the whole Spanish monarchy, and to defend her in this pollestion by force of arms, were we to leave the whole at the mercy of France? If we were not to do fo. if we were not to do one of the three things that I faid above remained to be done, and if the emperor put it out of our power to do another of them with advantage; were we to put it still more out of our power, and to wait unarmed for the death of the king of SPAIN? In fine, if we had not the prospect of disputing with France, so successfully as we might have had it, the fpanish succession, whenever it should be open; were we not only to flow by difarming, that we would not difpute it at all, but to cenfure likewife the fecond of the three things mentioned above, and which king WILLIAM put in practice, the compounding with France, to prevent if possible a war, in which we were averse to engage?

Allow me to push these restections a little further, and to observe to your lordship, that if the propofal of fending the archduke in Spain had been accepted in time by the imperial court, and taken effect and become a measure of the confederacy, that war indeed would have been protracted; but France could not have hindered the passage of this prince and his German forces: and our fleet would have been better employed in escorting them, and in covering the coasts of Spain and of the dominions of that crown both in Europe and in America, than it was in fo many unmeaning expeditions from the battle of La Hogue to the end of the war. France indeed would have made her utmost efforts to have had fatisfaction on her pretentions, as ill founded as they were. She would have ended that war, as we began the next, when we demanded a reasonable satisfaction for the emperor: and though I think that the allies would have had, in very many respects, more advantages in defending Spain, than in attacking France; yet, upon a supposition that the defence would have been as ill conducted as the attack was, and that by confequence, whether CHARLES the fecond had lived to the conclusion of this war, or had died before it, the war must have ended in some partition or other; this partition would have been made by the Spaniards themselves. They had been forced to compound with France on her former pretenfions, and they must and they would have compounded on these, with an Austrian prince on the throne, just as they compounded, and probable much better than they compounded, on the pretentions we supported against them, when they had a prince of Bourbon on their throne. France could not have diffreffed the Spaniards, nor have over-run their monarchy, if they had been united; and they would have been united in this case, and supported by the whole confederacy: as we diffressed both France and them, over-run their monarchy in one hemisphere, and might have done fo in both, when they were difunited, and fupported by France alone. France would not have acted, in fuch negociations, the ridiculous part which the emperor acted in those that led to the peace of Utrecht, nor have made her bargain worse by neglecting to make it in time. But the war ending as it did, though I cannot fee how king WILLEAM could avoid leaving the crown of Spain and that entire monarchy at the discretion of LEWIS the fourteenth, otherwise then by compounding to prevent a new war he was in no fort prepared to make; yet it is undeniable, that, by confenting to a partition of their monarchy, he threw the Spaniards into the arms of France. The first partition might have taken place, perhaps, if the electoral prince of BAVARIA had lived, whom the French and Spaniards too would have feen much more willingly than the archduke on the throne of Spain

Spain. For among all the parties into which that court was divided in one thousand fix hundred and ninety eight when this treaty was made. that of Auftria was grown the weakeft, by the difgust taken at a German queen, and at the rapacity and infolence of her favorites . The French were looked upon with eftern and kindness at Madrid; but the Germans were become. or growing to be, objects of contempt to the ministers, and of aversion to the people. The electoral prince died in one thousand fix hundred and ninety-nine. The flar of Austria so satal to all those who were obstacles to the ambition of that honfe, prevailed; as the elector expressed himself in the first pangs of his grief. The state of things changed very much by his death. The archduke was to have Spain and the Indies. according to a fecond partition: and the Spanisrds, who had expressed great resentment at the first, were pushed beyond their bearing by this. They foon appeared to be for the fecond treaty of partition was figned in March one thousand seven hundred; and the will was made, to the best of my remembrance, in the October following. I fhall not enter here into many particulars concerning thele great events They will be related faithfully, and I hope fully explained, in a work which your lordship may take the trouble very probably of peruling fome time or other, and which I shall rather leave, than give to the public. Something however must be faid more,

to continue and wind up this fummary of the latter period of modern history

FRANCE then faw her advantage, and improved it no doubt, though not in the manner, nor with the circumstances, that some lying scribblers of memorials and anecdotes have advanced. She had fent one of the ableft men of her court to that of Madrid, the marshal of HARCOURT, and she had flipulated in the fecond treaty of partition, that the archduke fhould go neither into Spain nor the dutchy of Milan, during the life of CHARLES the fecond. She was willing to have her option between a treaty and a will. By the acceptation of the will, all king WILLIAM'S measures were broke. He was unprepared for war as much as when he made these treaties to prevent one; and if he meant in making them, what some wife, but refining men have fuspected, and what I confess I see no reason to believe, only to gain time by the difficulty of executing them, and to prepare for making war, whenever the death of the king of SPAIN should alarm mankind, and rouse his own subjects out of their inactivity and neglect of foreign interests, if so, he was disappointed in that too; for France took possession of the whole monarchy at once, and with univerfal concurrence, at least without opposition or difficulty, in favor of the duke of Anjou. By what has been observed or hinted rather very shortly. and I fear a little confusedly, it is plain that reducing the power of France, and fecuring the whole Spanish succession to the house of Austria. were two points that king WILLIAM, at the head of the British and Dutch commonwealths and of the greatest confederacy Europe had feen, was obliged to give up. All the acquisitions that France cared to keep for the maintenance of her power were confirmed to her by the treaty of Ryfwic: and king WILLIAM allowed, indirectly at leaft, the pretentions of the house of Bourbon to the Spanish succession, as LEWIS the sourteenth allowed, in the fame manner, those of the house of Austria, by the treaties of partition. Strange fituation! in which no expedient remained to prepare for an event, visible so near, and of such vast importance as the death of the king of SPAIN, but a partition of his monarchy, without his confent, or his knowledge! If king WILLIAM had not made this partition, the emperor would have made one, and with as little regard to trade, to the barrier of the feven provinces, or to the general fystem of Europe, as had been showed by him when he made the private treaty with France already mentioned, in one thousand fix hundred and fixty-eight. The ministers of Vienna were not wanting to infinuate to those of France overtures of a feparate treaty, as more conducive to their common interests than the accession of his imperial majesty to that of partition But the councils of Verfailles judged very reasonably, that a partition made with England and Holland would be more effectual than any other, if a partition was to take place: aud that fuch a partition would be just as effectual as one made with the emperor, to furnish arguments to the emissaries of France, and motives to the Spanish councils, if a will in favor of France could be obtained. I repeat it again, I cannot fee what king WILLIAM could do in fuch circumflances as he found himfelf in after thirty years struggle, except what he did: neither can I fee how he could do what he did, especially after the refentment expressed by the Spaniards, and the furious memorial prefented by CANALES on the conclusion of the first treaty of partition, without apprehending that the confequence would be a will in favor of France. He was in the worst of all political circumstances, and that wherein no one good measure remains to be taken: and out of which he left the two nations at the head of whom he had been fo long, to fight and negociate themselves and their confederates. as well as they could.

When this will was made and accepted, LEWIS the fourteenth had fuceded, and the powers in opposition to him had failed, in all the great objects of interest and ambition, which they had kept in sight for more than forty years; that is, from the beginning of the prefent period. The aclors changed their parts in the tragedy that followed. The power, that had fo long and fo cruelly attacked was now to defend the Spanish monarchy: and the powers, that had fo long defended, were now to attack it. Let us fee how this was brought about: and that we may fee it the better; and make a better judgment of all that passed from the death of CHARLES the feeond to the peace of

Utrecht, let us go back to the time of his death, and confider the circumstances that formed this complicated state of affiirs in three views; a view of right, a view of policy, and a view of power.

The right of fucceeding to the crown of Spain would have been undoubtedly in the children of MARIA TERESA, that is, in the house of Bourbon: if this right had not been barred by the folemn renunciations fo often mentioned. The pretentions of the house of Austria were founded on these renunciations, on the ratification of them by the Pyrenean treaty, and the confirmation of them by the will of PHILIP the fourth. The pretentions of the house of Bourbon were founded on a suppofition, it was indeed no more, and a vain one too, that these renunciations were in their nature null. On this foot the dispute of right stood during the life of CHARLES the fecond, and on the fame it would have continued to fund even after his death. if the renunciations had remained unshaken; if his will, like that of his father, had confirmed them, and had left the crown, in pursuance of them, to the house of Austria, But the will of CHARLES the fecond, annulling thefe renunciations, took away the fole foundation of the Austrian pretenfions; for, however this act might be obtained, it was just as valid as his father's, and was confirmed by the univerfal concurrence of the Spanish nation to the new fettlement he made of that crown. Let it be, as I think it ought to be, granted, that the true heirs could not claim against renunciations that were, if I may fay fo, conditions of their birth: but CHARLES the fecond had certainly as good a right to change the course of succession agreeable to the order of nature and the conflitution of that monarchy, after his true heirs were born, as PHILIP the fourth had to change it contrary to this order and this constitution, before they were born, or at any other time. He had as good a right, in short, to dispense with the Pyrenean treaty, and to fet it afide in this respect. as his father had to make it: fo that the renunciations being annulled by that party to the Pyrenean treaty who had exacted them, they could be deemed no longer binding, by virtue of this treaty, on the party who had made them-The fole question that remained therefore between these rival houses, as to right, was this, whether the engagements taken by LEWIS the fourteenth in the partition-treaties obliged him to adhere to the terms of the last of them in all events, and to deprive his family of the fuccession which the king of SPAIN opened, and the Spanish nation offered to them; rather than to depart from a composition he had made, on pretentions that were disputable then, but were now out of diffrute? It may be faid, and it was faid, that the treaties of partition being abfolute, without any condition or exception relative to any disposition the king of SPAIN had made or might make of his fuccession, in favor of Bourbon or Auftria; the disposition made by his will, in favor of the duke of ANJOU, could not affect the engagements to lately taken by LEWIS the fourteenth in these treaties, nor dispense with

a literal observation of them. This might be true on firid principles of juffice; but I apprehend that none of these powers, who exclaimed so loudly against the perfidy of France in this case, would have been more ferupulous in a parallel cafe. The maxim "fummum jus eft fumma injuria" would have been quoted, and the rigid letter of the treaties would have been foftened by an equitable interpretation of their spirit and intention. His imperial majefty, above all, had not the leaft color of right to exclaim against France on this occasion; for in general, if his family was to be stripped of all the dominions they have acquired by breach of faith, and means much worfe than the acceptation of the will, even allowing all the invidious circumstances imputed to the conduct of France to be true, the Austrian family would fink from their present grandeur to that low state they were in two or three centuries ago. In particular, the emperor, who had conflantly refused to accede to the treaties of partition, or to inbmit to the difpositions made by them had not the least plaufible pretence to object to LEWIS the fourteenth, that he departed from them. Thus, I think, the right of the two houses stood on the death of CHARLES the fecond. The right of the Spaniards, an independent nation, to regulate their own fuccession, or to receive the prince whom their dying monarch had called to it; and the right of England and Holland to regulate the fuccession, to divide, and parcel out his monarchy in different lots, if would be equally foolish to go about to establish. One is too evident, the other too abfurd, to admit of any proof. But enough has been faid concerning a right, which was in truth little regarded by any of the parties concerned immediately or remotely in the whole course of these proceedings. Particular interests were alone regarded, and thefe were purfued as ambition, fear, refentment, and vanity directed: I mean the ambition of the two houses contending for Inperiority of power; the fear of England and Holland left this fuperiority should become too great in either; the refentment of Spain at the difmemberment of that monarchy projected by the partition-treaties, and the vanity of that nation, as well as of the princes of the house of Bourbon; for as vanity mingled with refentment to make the will, vanity had a great share in determining the acceptation of it.

Let us now confider the fame conjuncture in a view of policy. The policy of the Spanific councils was this. They could not brook that their monarchy flould be divided: and this principle is exprelfed flrongly in the will of CLARLES the fecond, where he exhorts his fubjecks not to fulfer any differentment or diminution of a monarchy founded by his predeceffors with fo much glory. To o weak to hinder this differentment by their own flrength, too well apprize of the little force and little views of the court of Vienna, and their old, allies having engaged to procure this differentment even by force of arms; nothing remained for them to do, upon this principle, but to detch France from the engagements of the partition-treaties, by giving

their whole monarchy to a prince of the house of Bourbon. As much as may have been faid concerning the negociations of France to obtain a will in her fivor, and yet to keep in referve the advantages flipulated for her by the partition-treaties iffish a will could not be obtained, and though I am perfuaded that the marshal of HARCOURT, who helped to procure this will, made his court to LEWIS the fourteenth as much as the marshall of TALLARD, who negociated the partitions; yet it is certain, that the acceptation of the will was not a measure definitively taken at Versailles when the king of SPAIN died. The alternative divided those councils, and, without entering at this time into the arguments urged on each fide, adhering to the partitions feemed the cause of France, accepting the will that of the house of Bourbon,

It has been faid by men of great weight in the councils of Spain, and was faid at that time by men as little fond of the house of Bourbon, or of the French nation, as their fathers had been; that it England and Holland had not formed a coust-deracy and begun a war, they would have made PHILIP the fifth as good a Spaniard as any of the preceding PHILIPS, and not have endured the influence of French councils in the administration of their government: but that we threw them entirely into the hands of France when we began the war, because the sleets and armies of this crown being necessary to, their defence, they could not avoid submitting to this insuence as ong as the same necessity continued, and, in sact, we have,

feen that the influence lasted no longer. But notwithstanding this, it must be confessed, that a war was unavoidable. The immediate fecuring of commerce and of barriers, the preventing an union on the two monarchies in some future time, and the preferention of a certain degree at least of equality in the feeles of power, were points too important to England, Holland, and the rest of Europe, to be rested on the moderation of French, and the vigor of Spanish councils, under a prince of the house of France. If fatisfaction to the house of Austria, to whose rights England and Holland showed no great regard whilst they were better founded than they were fince the will, had been alone concerned; a drop of blood spilt, or five fhillings fpent in the quarrel, would have been too much profusion. But this was properly the scale into which it became the common interest to throw all the weight that could be taken out of that of Bourbon. And therefore your lordfhip will find that when negociations with D'AVAUX were fet on foot in Holland to prevent a war, or rather on our part to gain time to prepare for it, in which view the Datch and we had both acknowledged PHILIP king of SPAIN: the great article we infifted on was, that reafouble fatisfaction should be given the emperor. upon his pretentions founded on the treaty of partition. We could do no otherwife; and France, who offered to make the treaty of Ryfwic the foundation of that treaty, could do no otherwise than refuse to confent that the treaty of partition should be fo. after accepting the will, and thereby engaging to

oppose all partition or dismemberment of the Spanish monarchy. I should mention none of the other demands of England and Holland, if I could neglect to point out to your lordship's observation. that the same artifice was employed at this time. to perplex the more a negociation that could not fucceed on other accounts, as we faw employed in the course of the war, by the English and Dutch ministers, to prevent the success of negociations that might, and ought to have succeeded. The demand I mean is that of " a liberty not only " to explain the terms proposed, but to increase " or amplify them in the course of the negociation." I do not remember the words, but this is the fense, and this was the meaning of the confederates in both cases.

In the former, king WILLIAM was determined to begin the war by all the rules of good policy: fince he could not obtain, nay fince France could not grant in that conjuncture, nor without being forced to it by a war, what he was obliged by these very rules to demand. He intended therefore nothing by this negociation, if it may be called fuch, but to preferve forms and appearances, and perhaps, which many have fulpected, to have time to prepare, as I hinted just now, both abroad and at home. Many things concurred to favor his preparations abroad. The alarm, that had been given by the acceptation of the will, was increased by every step that France made to secure the effect of it. Thus, for instance, the surprising and seizing the Dutch troops, in the fame night, and at the

Let. 8.

fame honr, that were dispersed in the garrisons of the Spanish Netherlands, was not excused by the necessity of securing those places to the obedience of PHILLP, nor foftened by the immediate difmiffion of those troops. The impression it made was much the fame as those of the surprises and seizures of France in former usurpations. No one knew then, that the fovereignty of the ten provinces was to be yielded up to the elector of of BAVAAIA; and every one faw that there remained no longer any barrier between France and the feven provinces. At home, the disposition of the nation was absolutely turned to a war with France, on the death of king JAMES the fecond, by the acknowledgment LEWIS the fourteenth made of his fon as king of England. I know what has been faid in excuse for this measure, taken as I believe, on female importunity, but certainly without any regard to public faith, to the true interest of France in those circumflances, or to the true interest of the prince thus acknowledged, in any. It was faid, that the treaty of Ryfwic obliging his most christian majesty only not to diffurb king WILLIAM in his posteffion, he might, without any violation of it, have acknowledged this prince as king of England; according to the political cafuifly of the French. and the example of France, who finds no fault with the powers that treat with the kings of England, although the kings of England retain the title of kings of France; as well as the example of

and and and are the second

Spain, who makes no complaints that other flates treat with the kings of France, although the kings Let. 8.

of France retain the title of Navarre. But befides that the examples are not appointe, because no other powers asknowledge in form the king of England to be king of France, nor the king of France to be king of Navarre; with what face could the Freuch excuse this measure? Could they excuse it by urging that they adhered to the firicit letter of one article of the treaty of Ryswic, against the plain meaning of that very article, and against the whole tenor of that treaty; in the same breath with which they justified the acceptation of the will, by pretending they adhered to the supposed spirit and general intention of the treaties of partition, in contradiction to the letter, to the specific engagements, and to the whole purport of those treaties? This part of the conduct of LEWIS the fourteenth may appear justly the more furprifing, because in most other parts of his conduct at the same time, and in some to his disadvantage, he acted cautioufly, endeavoured to calm the minds of his neighbours, to reconcile Europe to his grandfon's elevation, all to avoid all show of beginning hostilities.

Though king WILLIAM was determined to engage in a war with France and Spain, yet the fame good policy, that determined him to engage, determined him not to engage too deeply. The engagement taken in the grand aliance of one thousand feven hundred and one is, "To procure an equitable and reasonable statisfaction to his imperial majety for his pretension to the Spanish as imperial majety for his pretension to the Spanish as comparing the form of the state of

" FNGLAND, and the States General, for their dominions, and for the navigation and commerce " of their fubjects, and to prevent the union of " the two monarchies of France and Spain." As king of England, as fladtholder of Holland, he neither could, nor did engage any further. It may be disputed perhaps among speculative politicians. whether the balance of power in Europe would have been better preferved by that scheme of partition, which the treaties, and particularly the last of them, proposed, or by that which the grand alliance proposed to be the object of the war? I think there is little room for fuch a dispute, as I shall have occasion to say hereafter more expressly. In this place I shall only say, that the object of this war, which king WILLIAM meditated, and queen ANNE waged, was a partition. by which a prince of the house of Bourbon, already acknowledged by us and the Dutch as king of Spain, was to be left on the throne of that difmembered monarchy. The wifdom of those councils faw that the peace of Europe might be restored and secured on this foot, and that the liberties of Europe would be in no danger.

The feales of the balance of power will never be exactly poized, nor in the precise point of equality either differnible or necessary to be difcerned. It is sufficient in this, as in other human affairs, that the deviation be not too great Some there will always be. A constant attention to these deviations is therefore necessary. When they are little, their increase may be easily prevented by early care and the precautions that good policy fuggefts. But when they become great for want of this care and these precautions, or by the force of unforeseen events, more vigor is to be exerted and greater efforts to be made. But even in fuch cases, much reflection is necessary on all the circumftances that form the conjuncture; left, by attacking with ill fuccess, the deviation be confirmed, and the power that is deemed already exorbitant become more fo; and left, by attacking with good fuccels, whilst one scale is pillaged too much weight of power be thrown into the other. In fuch cases, he who has considered, in the histories of former ages, the strange revolutions that time produces, and the perpetual flux and reflux of public as well as private fortunes, of kingdoms and states, as well as of those who govern or are governed in them, will incline to think, that if the scales can be brought back by a war, nearly, though not exactly, to the point they were at before this great deviation from it, the rest may be left to accidents, and to the nfe that good policy is able to make of them.

When CHARLES the fifth was at the height of his power, and in the zenith of his glory, when a king of France and a pope were at once his prifoners; it must be allowed, that, his fituation and that of his neighbours compared, they had as much at least to lear from him and from the house of Autria, as the neighbours of Lewis the fourteenth had to fear from him and from the house of Bourbon, when, after all his other fuccess, one " continued to be emperor and king of Spain;
" nor as long as any Austrian prince continued
" capable of uniting on his head the Imperial and

" Spanish crowns."

If your lordship makes the application, you will find that the difference of some circumstances does not hinder this example from being very apposite, and firong to the prefent purpole. CHARLES the fifth was emperor and king of Spain; but neither was Lewis the fourteenth king of Spain, PHILIP the fifth king of France. That had happened in one inflance, which it was apprehended might happen in the other. It had happened, and it was reasonably to be apprehended that it might happen again, and that the Imperial and Spanish crowns might continue, not only in the fame family, but on the same heads; for measures were taken to fecure the fuccession of both to PHILIP the fon of CHARLES. We do not find however that any confederacy was formed, any engagement . taken, or any war made, to remove or prevent this great evil. The princes and flates of Europe contented themselves to oppose the deligns of CHARLES the fifth, and to check the growth of his power occasionally, and as interest invited, or necessity forced them to do; not constantly. They did perhaps too little against him, and fometimes

fometimes too much for him: but if they did too little of one kind, time and accident did the reft. Diffinct dominious, and different pretentions, created contrary interesls in the house of Austria: and on the abdication of CHARLES the fifth, his brother fucceeded, not his fon, to the empire. The house of Austria divided into a German and a Spanish branch: and if the two branches came to have a mutual influence on one another and frequently a common interest, it was not till one of them had fallen from grandeur, and till the other was rather aiming at it, than in possession of it, In fhort PHILIP was excluded from the imperial throne by fo natural a progression of causes and effects, arifing not only in Germany but in his own family, that if a treaty had been made to exclude him from it in favor of FERDINAND. fuch a treaty might have been faid very probably to have executed itself.

The precaution I have mentioned, and that was neglectle in this cafe without any detriment to the common caufe of Europe, was not neglectled in the grand alliance of one thouland leven hundred and one. For in that, one of the ends proposed by the war is, to obtain an effectual fecurity againft the contingent union of the crowns of France and Spain. The will of Charles the fecond-provides againft the fame contingency; and this great principle of preventing too much dominion and power from falling to the lot of either of the similes of Bourbon or Auftria, feemed to be agreed on all fides; fince in the partition-treaty.

the fame precaution was taken against an union of the Imperial and Spanish crowns. King WILLIAM was enough piqued against France. His ancient prejudices were strong and well founded. had been worsted in war, over -reached in negociation, and personally affronted by her, England and Holland were fufficiently alarmed and animated, and a party was not wanting, even in our island, ready to approve any engagements he would have taken against France and Spain, and in . favor of the house of Austria; though we were less concerned, by any national interest, than any other power that took part in the war, either then, or afterwards. But this prince was far from taking a part beyond that which the particular interests of England and Holland, and the general interest of Europe, necessarily required. Pique must have no more a place than affection, in deliberations of this kind. To have engaged to dethrone PHILIP, out of refentment to LEWIS the fourteenth, would have been a refolution worthy of CHARLES the twelfth, king of Sweden, who facrificed his country, his people, and himfelf at laft, to his revenge. To have engaged to conquer the Spanish monarchy for the house of Austria, or to go, in favor of that family, one ftep beyond those that were necessary to keep this house on a foot of rivalry with the other, would have been, as I have hinted, to acl the part of a vallal, not of an ally. The former pawns his flate, and ruins his fubjects, for the interest of his superior lord, perhaps for his lord's humor, or his passion: the

Later goes no further than his own interest carries inim; nor makes war for those of another, nor even for his own if they are remote and contingent, as if he fought pro aris et locis, for his religion, his liberty, and his property. Agreeably to these principles of good policy, we entered into the war that began on the death of Charles the second: but we soon departed from them, as I find have occasion to observe in considering the state of things, at this remarkable juncture, in a view of strength,

Let me recal here what I have faid somewhere elle. They who are in the finking scale of the balance of power do not eafily nor foon, come off from the habitual prejudices of superiority over their neighbours, nor from the confidence that fuch prejudices inspire. From the year one thousand fix hundred and fixty seven, to the end of that century. France had been constantly in arms. and her arms had been fuccel-ful. She had fuftained a war, without any confederates against the principal powers of Europe confederated against her, and had finished it with advantage on every fide, just before the death of the king of SPAIN. She continued armed after the peace, by fea and land. She increased her forces, while other nations reduced theirs, and was ready to defend, or to invade her n-ighbours, whilft, their confederacy being diffolved, they were in no condition to invade her, and in a bad one to defend themselves. Spain and France had now one common cause. The electors of BAVARIA and COLOGNE supported it in Germany, the duke of SAVOY was an ally,

the duke of MANTUA a vallal of the two crowns in Italy. In a word, appearances were formidable on that fide; and if a distrust of strength, on the fide of the confederacy, had induced England and Holland to compound with France for a partition of the Spanish fuccession, there feemed to be still greater reason for this distrust after the acceptation of the will, the peaceable and ready fubmission of the entire monarchy of Spain to PHILIP, and all the measures taken to secure him in this possession. Such appearances might well impose. They did so on many, and on none more than on the French themselves, who engaged with great confidence and spirit in the war; when they found it, as they might well expect it would be. unavoidable. The strength of France, however, though great, was not fo great as the French thought it, nor equal to the efforts they undertook to make. Their engagement, to maintain the Spanish monarchy entire under the dominion of PHILIP, exceeded their strength. Our engagement, to procure some out-skirts of it for the house of Austria, was not in the same disproportion to our ftrength. If I speak positively on this occasion, yet I cannot be accused of presumption; because, how disputable soever these points might be when they were points of political speculation, they are such no longer, and the judgment I make is diclated to me by experience. France threw herfelf into the finking scale, when she accepted the will. Her scale continued to fink during the whole course of the war, and might have been kept by

the peace as low as the true interest of Europe required. What I remember to have heard the duke of MARLBOROUGH fay, before he went to take on him the command of the army in the Low Countries in one thousand seven hundred and two. proved true. The French mifreckoned very much. if they made the same comparison between their troops and those of their enemies, as they had made in precedent wars. Those that had been oppoled to them, in the laft, were raw for the most part when it began, the British particularly; but they had been disciplined, if I may say so, by their defeats. They were grown to be veterans at the peace of Ryfwic, and though many had been difbanded, yet they had been difbanded lately: fo that even thefe were eafily formed a-new, and the spirit that had been raised continued in all. Supplies of men to recruit the armies were more abundant on the fide of the confederacy, than on that of the two crowns: a necessary consequence of which it feemed to be, that those of the former would grow better, and those of the latter worse, in a long, extensive, and bloody war. I believe it proved fo; and if my memory does not deceive me, the French were forced very early te fend recruits to their armies, as they fend flaves to their gallies. A comparison between those who were to direct their councils, and to conduct the armies on both fides, is a talk it would become me little to undertake. The event showed, that if France had had her CONDE, her TURENNE, or her LUXEMBURG, to oppose to the confederates; the confederates

might have opposed to her, with equal confidence, their EUGENE of Savoy, their MARL-BOROUGH, or their STARENBERG. But there is one observation I cannot forbear to make. The alliances were concluded, the quetas were fettled, and the feafon for taking the field approached, when king WILLIAM died. The event could not fail to occ from fome confernation on one fide, and to give fome hopes on the other; for, notwithstanding the ill success with which he made war generally, he was looked upon as the fole centre of union that could keep together the great confederacy then forming: and how much the French feared from his life, had appeared a few years-before, in the extravagant and indecent joy they expressed on a talke report of his death. A fhort time showed how vain the fears of some and the hopes of others were. By his death, the duke of MARIBOROUGH was raifed to the head of the army: and indeed of the confederacy: where he, a new, a private man, a subject, acquired by merit and by management a more deciding influence, than high birth, confirmed authority, and even the crown of Great Britain, had given to king WILLIAM. Not only all the parts of that vaft machine, the grand alliance, were kept more compact and entire; but a more rapid and vigorous motion was given to the whole: and, instead of languishing out differous campaigns, we faw every frene of the war full of action. All those wherein he appeared, and many of those wherein he was not then an actor, but abettor however of their action, were

crowned with the most triumphant success. I take with pleafure this opportunity of doing justice to that great man, whose faults I knew, whose virtues I admired; and whose memory, as the greatest general and as the greatest minister that our country or perhaps any other has produced, I honor. But besides this, the observation I have made comes into my subject, since it serves to point out to your lordship the proof of what I said above, that France undertook too much when the undertook to maintain the Spanish monarchy entire in the polfession of PHILIP: and that we undertook no more than what was proportionable to our flrength, when we undertook to weaken that monarchy by difmembering it, in the hands of a prince of the house of Bourbon, which we had been difabled by ill fortune and worse conduct to keep out of them. It may be faid that the great forces of the confederates against France proves that their generals were fuperior to her's, but not that their forces and their national strength were so; that with the fame force with which fhe was beaten, she might have been victorious; that if the had been fo, or if the fuccess of the war had varied, or been less decifive against her in Germany, in the Low Countries, and in Italy, as it was in Spain, her firength would have appeared fufficient; and that of the confederacy infufficient. Many things may be urged to defirov this reasoning : I content myself with one. France could not long have made even the unfuccessful efforts the did make, if England and Holland had done what it is undeniable they had ftrength to

do; if befides pillaging, I do not fay conquering, the Spanish West-Indies, they had hindered the French from going to the South-Sea, as they did annually during the whole course of the war without the leaft moleflation, and from whence they imported into France in that time as much filver and gold as the whole species of that kingdom amounted to. With this immense and constant supply of wealth France was reduced in effect to bankruptcy before the end of the war. How much fooner must she have been fo, if this fupply had been kept from her? The confession of France herfull is on my fide. She confessed her inability to support what she had undertaken, when the fued for peace as early as the year one thousand seven hundred and fix. She made her utmost efforts to answer the expectation of the Spaniards, and to keep their monarchy entire. When experience had made it evident that this was beyond her power, the thought herfelt justified to the Spanish nation, in confenting to a partition, and was ready to conclude a peace with the allies on the principles of their grand alliance. But as France feemed to flatter herfelf, till experience made her defirous to abandon an enterprife that exceeded her strength; you will find, my lord, that her enemies began to flatter themselves in their turn. and to form deligns and take engagements that exceeded theirs. Great Britain was drawn into thefe engagements little by little; for I do not remember any parliamentary declaration for continuing the war till PHILIP flould be dethroned, before the year one thousand seven hundred and fix:

Let. 8.

and then fuch a declaration was jndged neceffary to fecond the refolution of our minifers and our allies, in departing from the principles of the grand alliance, and in proposing not only the reduction of the French, but the conquest of the Spanish monarchy, as the objects of the war. This new plan had taken place, and we had begun to act upon it, two years before, when the treaty with Portugal was concluded, and the archduke Charles, now emperor, was fent into Portugal first, and into Catolonia daterwards, and was acknowledged and supported

as king of Spain. When your lordship peruses the anecdotes of the times here spoken of, and considers the course and event of the great war which broke out on the death of the king of Spain, CHARLES the fecond, and was ended by the treaties of Utrecht and Rafladt; you will find, that in order to form a true judgment on the whole you must consider very attentively the great change made by the new plan that I have mentioned; and compare it with the plan of the grand alliance, relatively to the general interest of Europe, and the particular interest of your own country. It will not, because it cannot, be denied, that all the ends of the grand alliance might have been obtained by a peace in one thousand seven hundred and fix. I need not recal the events of that, and of the precedent years of the war. Not only the arms of France had been defeated on every fide; but the inward state of that kingdom was already more exhaufted than it had ever been. She went on indeed, but she staggered and reeled under the

burden of the war. Our condition, I speak of Great Britain, was not quite fo bad; but the charge of the war increafed annually upon us. It was evident that this charge must continue to increase, and it was no less evident that our nation was unable to bear it without falling foon into fuch diffrefs, and contracting fuch debts, as we have feen and felt, and still feel. The Dutch neither reftrained their trade, nor overloaded it with taxes. They foon altered the proportion of their quotas, and were deficient even after this alteration in them. But, however, it must be allowed that they exerted their whole firength; and they and we paid the whole charge of the wir. Since therefore by fuch efforts as could not be continued any longer, without oppressing and impoverishing these nations to a degree that no interest except that of their very being, nor any engagement of affifting an alliance totis viribus can require, France was reduced, and all the ends of the war were become attainable: it will be worth your lordship's while to consider why the true use was not made of the success of the confederates against France and Spain, and why a peace was not concluded in the fifth year of the war. When, your lordship considers this, you will compare in your thoughts what the flate of Europe would have been, and that of your own country might have been, if the plan of the grand alliance had been purfued: with the possible as well as certain, the contingent as well as necessary, consequences of changing this plan in the manner it was changed.

Let. 8.

You will be of opinion, I think, and it feems to me, after more than twenty years of recollection, re-examination, and reflection, that impartial pofterity must be of the same opinion; you will be of opinion, I think, that the war was wife and just before the change, because necessary to maintain that equality among the powers of Furope on which the public peace and common prosperity depends: and that it was unwife and unjust after this change, because unnecessary to this end, and directed to other and to contrary ends. will be guided by undeniable facts to discover, through all the false colors which have been laid, and which deceived many at the time, that the war, after this change, became a war of passion. of ambition, of avarice, and of private interest; the private interest of particular persons and particular states; to which the general interest of Europe was facrificed fo entirely; that if the terms infifted on by the confederates had been granted, nay if even those which France was reduced to grant, in one thousand seven hundred and ten, had been accepted, fuch a new fystem of power would have been created as might have exposed the balance of this power to deviations, and the peace of Europe to troubles, not inferior to those that the war was defigned, when it began, to prevent, Whilst you observe this in general, you will find particular occasion to lament the fate of Great Britain, in the midst of triumphs that have been founded so high. She had triumphed indeed to the year one thousand seven hundred and six

inclusively: but what were her triumphs afterwards? What was her fuccess after she proceeded on the new plan? I shall fay something on that head immediately. Here let me only fay, that the glory of taking towns, and winning battles, is to be measured by the utility that refults from those victories. Victories, that bring honor to the arms, may bring shame to the councils, of a nation. To win a battle, to take a town, is the glory of a general, and of an army. Of this glory we had a very large share in the course of the war. But the glory of a nation is to proportion the ends she proposes, to her interest and her ftrength; the means flie employs to the ends fhe proposes, and the vigor she exerts to both. Of this glory, I apprehend, we have had very little to boaft, at any time; and particularly in the great conjuncture of which I am speaking. The reasons of ambition, avarice, and private interest, which engaged the princes and states of the confederacy to . depart from the principles of the grand alliance, were no reasons for Great Britain. She neither expedied nor defired any thing more than what she might have obtained by adhering to those principles. What harried our nation then, with fo much spirit and ardor, into those of the new plan? Your lordship will answer this question to yourself, I believe, by the prejudices and raffinels of party; by the influence that the first successes of the confederate arms gave to our ministers; and the popularity they gave, if I may fay fo, to the war; by ancient, and fresh refentments, which the uninft and violent ufurpations, in faort the whole conduct of LEWIS the fourteenth

for forty years together, his haughty treatment of other princes and flates, and even the flyle of his court, had created; and, to mention no more, by a notion, groundless but prevalent, that he was and would be mafter as long as his grandfon was king of Spain, and that there could be no effectual measure taken. though the grand alliance supposed that there might, to prevent a future union of the two monarchies, as long as a prince of the house of Bourbon sat on the Spanish throne. That such a notion should have prevailed, in the first confusion of thoughts which the death and will of CHARLES the fecond produced, among the generality of men, who faw the fleets and armies of France take possession of all the parts of the Spanish monarchy, is not to be wondered at by those that consider how ill the generality of mankind are informed, how incapable they are of judging; and yet how ready to pronounce judgment; in fine, how inconfiderately they follow one another in any popular opinion which the heads of party broach, or to which the first appearances of things have given cocasion. But, even at this time, the councils of England and Holland did not entertain this notion, They acted on quite another, as might be shown in many inflances if any other besides that of the grand alliance was necessary. When these councils therefore feerned to entertain this notion afterwards, and acted and took engagements to act upon it, we must conclude that they had other motives. They could not have thefe; for they knew, that as the Spaniards had been driven by the two treaties of partition to give their monarchy to a prince of the house of Bourbon, fo they were driven into the arms of France by the war that we made to force a third upon them. If we acted rightly on the principles of the grand alliance, they acted rightly on those of the will: and if we could not avoid making an offenfive war, at the expense of forming and maintaining a vaft confederacy, they could not avoid purchafing the protection and affiftance of France in a defensive war, and especially in the beginning of it, according to what I have fomewhere observed already, by yielding to the authority and admitting the influence of that court in all the affairs of their government. Our ministers knew therefore, that if any inference was to be drawn from the first part of this notion, it was for flortening, not prolonging, the war; for delivering the Spaniards as foon as possible from habits of union and intimacy with France; not for continuing them under the fame necessity, till by length of time thefe habits flould be confirmed. As to the latter part of this notion, they knew that it was false and felly. GARTH the best-natured, ingenious, mild man I ever knew, might be in the right when he faid, in some of his poems, at that time:

The fetting an Auftrin prince upon it was, no doubt, the fureft expedient to prevent an union of the two monarchies of France and Spain; just as fetting a prince of the houle of Bourbon on that throne was the fureft expedient to prevent an union of the Imperial and Spanish crowns, But it was equally

<sup>&</sup>quot; \_\_\_ An Austrian prince alone,

<sup>&</sup>quot; Is fit to nod upon a Spanish throne."

false to say, in either case, that this was the sole expedient. It would be no paradox, but a propofition eafily proved, to advance, that if these unions had been effectually provided againft, the general interest of Europe would have been little concerned whether PHILIP or CHARLES had nodded at Madrid. It would be likewife no paradox to fay, that the contingency of uniting France and Spain under the fame prince appeared more remote, about the middle of the last great war, when the dethronement of PHILIP in favor of CHARLES was made a condition of peace fine quation, than the contingency of an union of the Imperial and Spanish crowns. Nay, I know not whether it would be a paradox to affirm, that the expedient that was taken, and that was always obvious to be taken, of excluding PHILIP and his race from the fuccession of France, by creating an interest in all the other princes of the blood, and by consequence a party in France itself, for their exclusion, whenever the case should happen, was not in its nature more effectual than any that could have been taken; and fome must have been taken, not only to exclude CHARLES from the empire whenever the case should happen that happened foon, the death of his brother JOSEPH without iffue male, but his posterity likewise in all future vacancies of the imperial throne. The expedient that was taken against PHILIP at the treaty of Utrecht, they who opposed the peace attempted to ridicule; but some of them have had occasion since that time to see, though the case has not happened, how effectual it would have been

if it had: and he who should go about to ridicule it after our experience, would only make himfelf ridiculous. Notwithstanding all this, he, who transports himself back to that time, must acknowledge, that the confederated powers in general could not but be of GARTH's mind, and think it more agreeable to the common interest of Europe, that a branch of Anstria, than a branch of Bourbon, should gather the Spanish succession, and that the maritime powers, as they are called impertmently enough with respect to the superiority of Great Britain, might think it was for their particular interest to have a prince, dependent for fome time at least on them, king of Spain, rather than a prince whose dependence, as long as he flood in any, must be naturally on France. I do not fay, as fome have done, a prince whose family was an old ally, rather than a prince whose family was an old enemy; because I lay no weight on the gratitude of princes, and am as much perfuaded that an Austrian king of Spain would have made us returns of that fort in no other proportion than of his want of us, as I am that PHILIP and his race will make no other returns of the same fort to France. ff this affair had been entire, therefore, on the death of the king of SPAIN: if we had made no partition, nor he any will, the whole monarchy of Spain would have been the prize to be fought for; and our wishes, and such efforts as we were able to make, in the most unprovided condition imaginable, must have been

peen

been on the fide of Auftria. But it was far from being entire. A prince of the honfe of Austria might have been on the fpot, before the king of Spain died, to gither his fuccession; but it stead of this a prince of the hottle of B urbon was therefoon afterwards, and took pollellion of the whole monarchy, to which he had been called by the late king's will, and by the voice of the Spanish The councils of England and Holland therefore preferred very wilely, by their engagements in the grand alliance, what was more practicable though lefs eligible, to what they deemed more eligible, but faw become by the course of events, if not abfolutely impracticable, yet an enterprife of more lenght, more difficulty, and greater expense of blood and treasure, than these nations were able to bear; or than they ought to bear, when their fecurity and that of the reft of Europe might be fufficiently provided for at a cheaper rate. If the confederates could not obtain, by the force of their arms, the ends of the war. laid down in the grand alliance, to what purpose would it be to flipulate for more? And if they were able to obtain thefe, it was evident that, whilst they difmembered the Spanish monarchy, they must reduce the power of France. This happened; the Low countries were conquered: the French were driven out of Germany and Italy; and LEWIS the fourteenth, who had so long and so lately fet mankind at defiance, was reduced to fue for peace.

If it had been granted him in one thousand

Let. 8

feven hundred and fix, on what foot must it have been granted? The allies had already in their power all the flates that were to compofe the reasonable satisfaction for the emperor. I say, in their power; because though Naples and Sicily were not actually reduced at that time, yet the expulsion of the French out of Italy, and the disposition of the people of those kingdoms confidered, it was plain the allies might reduce them when they pleafed. The confederate arms were fuperior till then in Spain, and feveral provinces acknowledged CHARLES the third. If the reft had been yielded to him by treaty, all that the new plan required had been obtained. If the French would not yet have abandoned PHILIP, as we had found that the Castilians would not even when our army was at Madrid, all that the old plan, the plan of the grand alliance, required, had been obtained? But still France and Spain had given nothing to purchase a peace, and they were in circumflances not to expect it without purchasing it. They would have purchased it, my lord: and France, as well as Spain, would have contributed a larger flure of the price, rather than continue the war, in her exhausted flate. Such a treaty of peace would have been a third treaty of partition indeed, but vaftly preferable to the two former. The great objection to the former was drawn from that confiderable increase of dominion, which the crown of France, and not a branch of the house of Bourbon, acquired by them. I know what may be faid speciously

enough to perfunde, that fach an increase of dominion would not have augmented, but would rather have weakened the power of France and what examples may be drawn from history to countenance fuch an opinion. I know likewife. that the compact figure of France, and the contiguity of all her provinces, make a very effential part of the force of her monarchy. Had the defigns of CHARLES the eighth, LEWIS the twelfth. FRANCIS the first, and HENRY the second, succeeded, the dominions of France would have been more extensive, and I believe the strength ' of her monerchy would have been lefs. I have fometimes thought that even the lofs of the bartle of St. Quentin, which obliged HENRY the fecond to recal the duke of Guise with his army out of Italy, was in this respect no unhappy event But the reasoning which is good, I think, when ap+ plied to those times, will not hold when applied to ours, and to the case I consider here; the State of France, the state of her neighbours, and the whole conflitution of Europe being fo extremely different. The objection therefore to the two treaties of partition had a real weight. power of France, deemed already exorbitant, would have been increased by this accession of dominion, in the hands of LEWIS the fourteenth: and the use intended to make of it by keeping Italy and Spain in awe, appears in the article that gave him the ports on the Tufcan coaft, and the province of Guipufcoa. This king WILLIAM might, and, I question not, did see; but that prince

Let 8.

might think too, that for this very reason LEWIS the fourteenth would adhere, in all events, to the treaty of partition; and that these consequences were more remote, and would be less dangerous, than those of making no partition at all. The partition, even the worst that might have been made by a treaty of peace in one thouland feven hundred and fix, would have been the very reverfe of this. France would have been weakened, and her enemies strengthened, by her concessions on the fide of the Low Countries, of Germany, and Savoy. If a prince of her royal family had remained in possession of Spain and the West-Indies, no advantage would have accrued to her by it, and effectual bars would have been opposed to an union of the two monarchies. The house of Austria would have had a reasonable satisfaction for that fladow of right, which a former partition gave her. She had no other after the will of CHARLES the fecond; and this may be justly termed a shadow. fince England, Holland, and France could confer no real right to the Spanish succession, nor to any part of it. She had declined acceding to that partition, before France departed from it, and would have preferred the Italian provinces, without Spain and the West-Indies, to Spain and the West-Indies without the Italian provinces. The Italian provinces would have fallen to her share by this partition. The particular demands of England and Holland would have fuffered no difficulty, and those what we were obliged by treaty to make for others would have been easy to adjust. Would not this have been enough, my lord, for the public fecurity, for

the common interest, and for the glory of our arms? To have humbled and reduced, in five campaigns, a power that had diffurbed and infulted Europe almost forty years; to have restored, in fo fhort a time, the balance of power in Europe to a fufficient point of equality, after it had been more than fifty years, that is from the treaty of Westphalia, in a gradual deviation from this point; in fhort to have retrieved, in one thousand seven hundred and fix, a game that was become defperate at the beginning of the century. To have done all this before the war had exhausted our firength, was the utmost fure that any man could defire who intended the public good alone: and no honest reason ever was, nor ever will be given, why the war was protacted any longer; why we neither made peace after a fhort, vigorous, and fuccefsful war, nor put it entirely out of the power of France to continue at any rate a long one, I have faid, and it is true, that this had been entirely out of her power, if we had given greater interruption to the commerce of Old and New Spain, and if we had hindered France from importing annually, from the year one thouland feven hundred and two, such immense treasures as she did import by the ships she fent, with the permissionof Spain, to the South-Sea. It has been advanced, and it is a common opinion, that we were restrained by the jealoufy of the Dutch from making use of the liberty given by treaty to them and us, and which, without his imperial majefty's leave, fince we entered into the war, we might have taken,

of making conquefts in the Spanish West-Indies. Be it to. But to go to the South-Seas, to trade there if we could, to pillage the Well-Indies without making conquests it we could not, and, whether we traded or whether we pillaged, to hinder the French from trading there; was a measure that would have given one ought to think, no jealoufy to the Dutch, who might, and it is to be supposed, would, have taken their part in thele expeditions; or if it had given them jealoufy, what could they have replied when a British minister had told them: " That it little became them to find fault " that we traded with or pillaged the Spaniards " in the West-Indies to the detriment of our com-" mon enemy, whilft we connived at them ,who " traded with this enemy to his and their great advantage, against our remonstrances, and in " violation of the condition upon which we had a given the first augmentation of our forces in the " Low Countries?" We might have purfued this measure notwithstanding any engagement that we took by the treaty with Portugal, if I remember that treaty right; but instead of this, we wasted our forces, and fquandered millions after millions in fupporting our alliance with this crown, and in purfuing the chimerical project which was made the object of this alliance, I call it chimerical, because it was equally so, to expect a revolution in favor of CHARLES the third on the flender authority of fuch a trifler as the admiral of Castile; and, when this failed us, to hope to conquer Spain by the affifunce of the Portuguele, and the revolt of

the Catalans. Yet this was the foundation upon which the new plan of the war was built, and fo many ruinous engagements were taken.

The particular motives of private men, as well as of princes and flates, to protract the war, are partly known, and partly gueffed, at this time. But whenever that time comes, and I am perfuaded it will come, when their fecret motives, their fecret defigns, and intrigues, can be bid open, I prefume to fay to your lordship that the most confused scene of iniquity, and folly, that it is possible to imagine, will appear. In the mean while, if your lordship considers only the treaty of barrier, as my lord TOWNSHEND figned it, without, nay in truth, against orders; for the duke of MARLBOROUGH, though joint plenipotentiary, did not: if you confider the famous preliminaries of one thousand seven hundred and nine, which we made a mock-show of ratifying, though we knew that they would not be accepted; for fo the marquis of Torcy had told the penfionary before he left the Hagne, as the faid marquis has affured me very often fince that time: if you inquire into the anecdotes of Gertrudenberg, and if you confult other authentic papers that are extant. your lordship will see the policy of the new plan, I think, in this light. Though we had refused, before the war began, to enter into engagements for the conquest of Spain, yet as foon as it began when . the reason of things was still the same, for the fuccess of our first campaign cannot be faid to

have altered it, we entered into thele very

К4

By the treaty wherein we took engagements. thefe engagements first, Portugal was brought into the grand alliance; that is, the confented to employ her formidable forces against PHILIP, at the expense of England and Holland, provided we would debar ourselves from making any acquifitions, and the honfe of Auftria promife, that the thould acquire many important places in Span, and an immense extent of country in America, By fuch bargains as this the whole confederacy wis formed, and held together. Such means were indeed effectual to multiply enemics to France and Spain, but a project to extensive and fo difficult as to make many bargains of this kind necessary, and necessary for a great number of years, and for a very uncertain event, was a project into which, for this very reason, England and Holland should not have entered. worthy your observation, my lord, that these bad bargains would not have been continued, as they were almost to our immediate ruin, if the war had not been protracted under the pretended necessity of reducing the whole Spanish monarchy to the obedience of the house of Anstria. Now, as no other confederate, except Portugal, was to receive his recompence by any difmemberment of dominions in Old or New Spain, the engagements we took to conquer this whole monarchy had no visible necessary cause, but the procuring the accession of this power, that was already neuter, to the grand alliance This accession, as I have said before, served only to

Let. 8.

make us negled immediate and certain advantages, for remote and uncertain hopes; and chule to attempt the conquefl of the Spanish nation at our own vaft expense, whom we might have flurved, and by furving reduced both the French and them, at their expense.

I called the anecessity of reducing the whole Spanish monarchy to the obedience of the house of Aultria, a pretended necessity: and pretended it was, not real, without doubt. But I am apt to think your lordship my go further, and find fome reasons to subject, that the opinion itself of this necessity was not very real, in the minds of those who urged it; in the minds I would say of the able men among them; for that it was real in some of our zealous British politicins, I do them the justice to believe. Your lordship may find reasons to suspense, that this opinion was set up rather to occasion a diversion of the forces of France, and to furnish pretences for prolonging the war for other ends.

Before the year one thouland feven hundred and ten, the war was kept alive with alternate fuccefs in Spain; and it may be faid therefore, that the defign of conquering this kingdom continued, as well as the hopes of fucce-ding. But why then did the States General refule, in one thouland feven hundred and nine, to admit an article in the barrier-treaty, by which they would have obliged themfelves to procure the whole Spanish monarchy to the house of Anthia, when that zealous politician, my lord TOWNSHEND,

prefled them to it? If their opinion of the necessity of carrying on the war, till this point could be obtained, was real; why did they risk the immerie advantages given them with to much profuse generosity by this treaty, rather than consent to an engagement that was so conformable to their opinion?

After the year one thousand seven hundred and ten, it will not be faid, I prefume, that the war could be supported in Spain with any prospect of advantage on our fide. We had fufficiently experienced how little dependance could be had on the vigor of the Portuguefe; and how firmly the Spanish nation in general, the Castilians in particular, were attached to PHILIP. Our armies had been twice at Madrid, this prince had been twice driven from the capital, his rival had been there, none flirred in favor of the victorious, all wished and acted for the vanquished. In short the falshood of all those lures, by which we had been enticed to make war in Spain, had appeared fufficiently in one thousand seven hundred and six; but was fo grofsly evident in one thousand seven hundred and ten, that Mr. CRAGGS, who was fent towards the end of that year by Mr. STAN-HOPE into England, on commissions which he executed with much good fense and much address, owned to me, that, in Mr. STANHOPE's opinion, and he was not apt to despond of success, especially in the execution of his own projects, nothing could be done more in Spain, the general attachment of the people to PHILIP, and their aversion

Let 8.

to CHARLES confidered: that armies of twenty or thirty thouland men might walk about that country till doom's-day, so he expressed himself, without esselfed: that wherever they came, the people would, submit to CHARLES the third out of terror, and, as soon as they were gone, proclaim PHILLE the fifth again out stallection: that to conquer Spain required a great army; and to keep it, a greater.

Was it possible, after this, to think in good earnest of conquering Spain, and could they be in good earnest who continued to hold the same language, and to infift on the fame meafures? Could they be fo in the following year, when the emperor JOSEPH died? CHARLES was become then the fole furviving male of the house of Austria, and fucceeded to the empire as well as to all the hereditary dominions of that family. Could they be in earnest who maintained, even in this conjecture, that "no peace could be fafe, honorable, or lafting, fo long as the kingdom of Spain and " the West-Indies remained in the possession of " any branch of the house of Bourbon?" Did they mean that CHARLES should be emperor and king of Spain? In this project they would have had the allies against them. Did they mean to call the duke of SAVOY to the crown of Spain, or to beftow it on fome other prince? In this project they would have had his Imperial majefty against them. In either case the confederacy would have been broken; and how then would they have continued the war? Did they mean nothing, or did they mean fomething more than they owned;

fomething more than to reduce the exorbitant power of France, and to force the whole Spanish monarchy out of the house of Bourbon?

Both these ends might have been obtained at Gertrndenberg. Why were they not obtained? Read the preliminaries of one thousand seven hundred and nine, which were made the foundation of this treaty. Inform yourfelf of what pulled there, and observe what followed. Your lordship will remain aftonished. I remain so every time I reflect upon them, though I faw thefe things at no very great diffance, even whilft they were in transaction; and though I know most certainly that France lost, two years before, by the little fkill and address of her principal \* minister, in answering overtures made during the fiege of Lifle by a principal perfon. among the allies, fuch an opportunity, and fuch a correspondence, as would have removed some of the obfiscles that lay now in her way, have prevented others, and have procured her peace. An equivalent for the thirty-feventh article of the preliminaries, that is, for the cession of Spain and the West-Indies, was the point to be discussed at Gertrudenberg. Naples and Sicily, or even Naples and Sirdinia would have contented the French, at leaft they would have accepted them as the equivalent. Buys and VANDERDUSSEN, who treated with them, reported this to the ministers of the allies; and it was upon this occasion that the duke of MARLBOROUGH, as Buys himfelf told me, took immediately the lead, and congratulated the affembly on the near ap-

<sup>\*</sup> CHANILLARD.

proach of a peace; faid, that fince the French were in this disposition, it was time to consider what further demands should be made upon them, according to the liberty observed in the preliminaries; and exhorted all the ministers of the allies to adjust their feveral ulterior pretentions, and to

prepare their demands.

Let. 8.

This proceeding, and what followed, put me in mind of that of the Romans with the Carthaginians. The former were resolved to consent to no peace till Carthage was laid in ruins. They fet a treaty however on foot, at the request of their. old enemy, imposed some terms, and referred them to their generals for the rest. Their generals purfued the same method, and, by reserving still a right of making ulterior demands, they reduced the Carthaginians at last to the necessity of abandoning their city, or of continuing the war after they had given up their arms, their machines, and their fleet, in hopes of peace.

France faw the fnare, and refolved to run any risk rather than to be caught in it. We continued to demand, under pretence of fecuring the cession of Spain and the West-Indies, that LEWIS the fourteenth should take on him to dethrone his grandson in the space of two months; and, if he did not effect it in that time, that we should be at liberty to renew the war without restoring the places that were to be put into our hands according to the preliminaries; which were the most important places France possessed on the side of the I ow

Countries. LEWIS offered to abandon his grandfon;

and, if he could not prevail on him to relign, to furnish money to the allies, who might at the expense of France force him to evacuate Spain, The proposition made by the allies had an air of inhumanity; and the rest of mankind might be shocked to fee the grandfather obliged to make war on his grandfon. But LEWIS the fourteenth had treated mankind with too much inhumanity in his prosperous days, to have any reason to complain even of this proposition. His people indeed, who are apt to have great partiality for their kings, might pity his diffress. This happened, and he found his account in it. PHILIP must have evacuated Spain, I think, notwithflanding his own obstinacy, the spirit of his queen, and the resolute attachment of the Spaniards, if his grandfather had infifted, and been in earnest to force him., But if this expedient was, as it was, odious, why did we prefer to continue the war against France and Spain, rather than accept the other? Why did we neglect the opportunity of reducing, effectually and immediately, the exorbitant power of France, and of rendering the conquest of Spain practicable? both which might have been brought about, and confequently the avowed ends of the war might have been answered by accepting the expedient that France offered. "France, " it was faid, " was not fincere: flie " meant nothing more than to amuse, and divide." This reason was given at the time; but some of those who gave it then, I have seen ashamed to infift on it fince. France was not in a condition to act the part fise had acted in former treaties; and har differs was no bad pledge of her funcerity on this occasion. But there was a better fill. The firm of the pledge of the fine of the allies, would have exposed her, on the least breach of faith, to see, not her frontier alone, but even the provinces that lie behind it, defolated: and prince EUGENE might have had the fatisfaction, it is faid, I know not how truly, he defired, of marching with the torch in his hand to Verfaillee.

Your lordship will observe, that the conferences at Gertrudenberg ending in the manner they did, the inflexibility of the allies gave new life and spirit to the French and Spanish nations, distressed and exhausted as they were. The troops of the former withdrawn out of Spain, and the Spaniards left to defend themfelves as they could, the Spaniards alone obliged us to retreat from Madrid, and defeated us in our retreat. But your lordship may think perhaps, as I do, that if LEWIS the fourteenth had bound himfelf by a folemn treaty to abandon his grandfon, had paid a fubfidy to dethrone him, and had confented acknowledge another king of Spain, the Spaniards would not have exerted the same zeal for PHILIP; the actions of Almenara and Saragolla might have been decifive. and those of Brihuega and Villa Viciosa would not have happened. After all thefe events, how could any reasonable man expect that a war should be supported with advantage in Spain, to which the court of Vienna had contributed nothing

from the first, scarce bread to their archduke: which Portugal waged faintly and with deficient quotis; and which de Dutch had in a manner renounced, by neglecting to recruit their forces? How was CHARLES to be placed on the Spanish throne, or PHILIP at leaft to be driven out of it? by the fuccefs of the confederate arms in other parts. But what inccess fufficient to this purpose,

could we expect? This question may be answered best? by showing what success we had,

Portugal and Savoy did nothing before the death of the emperor JOSEPH; and declared in form, as foon as he was dead, that they would carry on the war no longer to let the crown of Spain on the head of CHARLES, fince this would be to fight against the very principle they had fought for. The Rhine was a fcene of inaction. The fole efforts, that were to bring about the great event of dethroning PHILIP, were those which the duke of MARLBOROUGH was able to make. He took three towns in one thousand seven hundred and ten, Aire, Bethpne, and St. Venant: and one, Bouchain, in one thousand seven hundred and eleven. Now this conquest being in fact the only one the confederates made that year. Bouchain may be faid properly and truly to have coft our nation very near feven millions sterling; for your lordship will find, I believe, that the charge of the war for that year amounted to no lefs. It is true that the duke of MARLBOROUGH had propoled a very great project, by which incurfions would have been made during the winter into

France:

France; the next campaign might have been opened early on our fide; and feveral other great and obvious advantages might have been obtained; but the Dutch refused to contribute, even lefs than their proportion, for the Queen had off-red to take the deficiency on herfelf, to the expense of barnels and forage; and disappointed by their obstinacy the whole deficn.

We were then amused with visionary schemes of marching our whole, army, in a year or two more, and after a town or two more were taken, directly to Paris, or at least into the heart of France. But was this fo eafy or fo fure a game? The French expected we would play it. Their generals had vifited the feveral posts they might take, when our army should enter France. retard, to incommode, to diffress us in our march. and even to make a decifive fland and to give us battle. I take what I fay here from indifputable authority, that of the persons consulted and employed in preparing for this great diffress. Had we been beaten, or had we been forced to retire towards our own frontier in the Low Countries. after penetrating into France, the hopes on which we protracted the war would have been difappointed, and, I think, the most fanguine would have then repented refuling the offers made at Gertrudenberg. But if we had beaten the French: for it was fcarce lawful in those days of our prefumption to suppose the contrary, would the whole monarchy of Spain have been our immediate and certain prize? Suppose, and I suppose it on good

grounds, my lord, that the French had refolved to defend their country inch by inch, and that LEWIS the fourteenth had determined to retire with his court to Lions or elfewhere, and to defend the paffage of the Loire, when he could no louger defend that of the Seine, rather than submit to the terms imposed on him; what should we have done in this cafe? Must we not have accepted fuch a peace as we had refused; or have protracted the war till we had conquered France first, in order to conquer Spain afterwards? Did we hope for revolutions in France? We had hoped for them in Spain: and we should have been bubbles of our hopes in both. That there was a fpirit raifed against the government of LEWIS the fourteenth, in his court, nay in his family, and that strange schemes of private ambition were formed and forming there, I cannot doubt: and fome effects of this spirit produced perhaps the greatest mortifications that he suffered in the latter part of his reign.

A flight inflance of this flyirit is all I will quote at this time. I fupped, in the year one thousand feven hundred and fifteen, at a house in France, where two 'persons of no small figure, who had been in great company that night, arrived very late. The conversation turned on the events of the precedent war, and the negociations of the late peace; in the process of the conversation, one of them + broke loose, and said, directing his

i mr rremmeri

<sup>\*</sup> The dukes de LA FEUILLADE and MORTEMAR. + LA FEUILLADE.

discourse to me, "Vous anriez pu nous écraser "dans ce temps-là: pourquoi ne l'avez-vous pas fait?" I answered him coolly, "Parce que dans ce temps-"là nous n'avons plus craint votre puissance." This anecdote, too trivial for history, may find its place in a letter, and may ferve to confirm what I have admitted, that there were perfous even in France, who expected to find their private account in the diffrefs of their country. But thefe persons were few, men of wild imaginations and ftrong passions, more enterprifing than capable, and of more name than credit. In general the endeavours of LEWIS the fourteenth, and the facrifices he offered to make in order to obtain a peace, had attached his people more than ever to him: and if LEWIS had determined not to go farther than he had offered at Gertrudenberg, in abandoning his grandfon, the French nation would not have abandoned him.

But to refume what I have faid or hinted already; the needfary conficiences of protraching the war in order to dethrone PHILIP, from the year one thousand seven hundred and eleven inclusively, could be no other than these our design of penetrating into France might have been defeated, and have become stalt to us by a reverse of fortune: our first success might not have obliged the French to submit; and we might have had France to conquer, said, and even in order to proceed to a second: the French might have submitted, and the Spaniards not; and whist the former had been employed to force the latter,

according to the fcheme of the allies; or whilftthe latter fubmitting likewife, PHILIP had evacuated Spain, the high allies might have gone together by the ears about dividing the fpoil, and difpofing of the crown of Spain. To thefe iffues were things brought by protracting the war; by refusing to make peace, on the principles of the grand alliance at worst, in one thousand seven hundred and fix: and by refufing to grant it, even on those of the new plan, in one thousand seven hundred and ten. Such contingent events as I have mentioned flood in prospect before us. The end of the war was removed out of fight; and they, who clamored rather than argued for the continuation of it, contented themselves to affirm, that France was not enough reduced, and that no peace ought to be made as long as a prince of the house of Bourbon remained on the Spanish throne. When they would think France enough reduced, it was impossible to gads. Whether they intended to join the Imperial and Spanish crowns on the head of CHARLES, who had declared his irrevocable resolution to continue the war till the conditions infifted upon at Gertrudenberg were obtained: whether they intended to bellow Spain and the Indies on fome other prince: and how this great afternation in their own plan flould be effected by common confent: how possession should be given to CHARLES, or to any other prince, not only of Spain but of all the Spanish dominions out of Europe, where the attachment to PHILIP was at least as strong as in Castile, and where it would not be so easy, the

diffance and extent of these dominions confidered. to oblige the Spaniards to submit to another government: These points, and many more equally necessary to be determined, and equally difficult to prepare, were neither determined nor prepared: fo that we were reduced to carry on the war. after the death of the emperor JOSEPH, without any politive scheme agreed to, as the scheme of the future peace, by the allies. That of the grand alliance we had long before renounced; that of the new plan was become ineligible; and, if it had been eligible, it would have been impracticable, because of the division it would have created among the allies themselves; several of whom would not have confented, notwithstanding his irrevocable refolution, that the emperor flould be king of Spain. I know not what part the protractors of the war, in the depth of their policy, intended to take. Our nation had contributed, and acted for long under the direction of their councils, for the grandeur of the house of Austria, like one of the hereditary kingdoms usurped by that family, that it is lawful to think their intention might be to unite the Imperial and Spanish crowns. rather think they had no very determined view. beyond that of continuing the war as long as they could. The late lord OXFORD told me, that my lord SOMERS being preffed, I know not on what occasion nor by whom, on the unnecessary and ruinous continuation of the war, inflead of giving reasons to show the necessity of it, contented himfelf to reply, that he had been bred up in a hatred of France. This was a strange reply for a' wise man: and yet I know not whether he could have given a better then, or whether any of his pupils could give a better now.

The whig party in general acquired great and just popularity, in the reign of our CHARLES the fecond, by the clamor they raifed against the conduct of that prince in foreign affairs. They who fucceeded to the name rather than the principles of this party, after the revolution, and who have had the administration of the government in their hands with very little interruption ever fince, pretending to act on the same principle, have run into an extreme as vicious and as contrary to all the rules of good policy, as that which their predeciffors exclaimed against. The old whigs complained of the inglorious figure we made, whilft our court was the bubble, and our king the penfigure of France; and infifted that the growing ambition and power of LEWIS the fourteenth should be opposed in time. The modern which boafted, and ftill boaft, of the glorious figure we made, whilst we reduced ourselves, by their councils, and under their administrations, to be the bubbles of our pensioners, that is of our allies; and whilst we measured our efforts in war, and the continuation of them, without any regard to the interests and abilities of our own country. without a just and fober regard, such a one as contemplates objects in their true light and fees them in their true magnitude, to the general fystem of power in Europe; and, in short, with

a principal regard merely to particular interests at home and abroad. I fay at home and abroad: because it is not less true, that they have sacrificed the wealth of their country to the forming and maintaining a party at home, than that they have done to the forming and maintaining, beyond all pretences of necessity, alliances abroad. These general affertions may be easily justified without having recourse to private anecdotes, as your lordship will find when you consider the whole feries of our conduct in the two wars; in that which preceded, and that which fucceeded immediately the beginning of the present century, but above all the last of them. In the administrations that preceded the revolution, trade had flourished, and our nation had grown opulent: but the general interest of Europe had been too much neglected by us; and flavery, under the umbrage of prerogative, had been well nigh eftablished among us. In those that have followed, taxes upon taxes, and debts upon debts have been perpetually accumulated, till a fmall number of families have grown into immense wealth, and national beggary has been brought upon us; under the specious pretences of supporting a common cause against France, reducing her exorbitant power, and poifing that of Europe more equally in the public balance: laudable defigns no doubt, as far as they were real, but fuch as, being converted into mere pretences, have been productive of much evil; fome of which we feel and have long felt, and fome will extend its confequences to our latest 264

posterity. The reign of prerogative was short: and the evils and the dangers, to which we were exposed by it, ended with it. But the reign of fatfe and fquandering policy has lafted long, it lasts still, and will finally complete our ruin. Beggary has been the confequence of flavery in fome countries flavery will be probably the confequence of beggary in ours; and if it is fo, we know at whose door to lay it. If we had finished the war in one thousand seven hundred and six. we flionld have reconciled, like a wife people, our foreign and our domestic interests as nearly as possible: we should have secured the former fufficiently, and not have facrificed the latter as entirely as we did by the profecution of the war afterwards. You will not be able to fee without aftonishment, how the charge of the war increased yearly upon us from the beginning of it; nor how immenfe a fum we paid in the course of it to supply the deficiencies of our confederates. Your affordhment, and indignation too, will increase, when you come to compare the progress that was made from the year one thousand seven hundred and fix exclusively, with the expense of more than thirty millions, I do not exaggerate though I write upon memory, that this progress oost us, to the year one thousand seven hundred and eleven inclusively Upon this view, your lordship will be perfuaded that it was high time to take the refolution of making peace, when the Queen thought fit to change her ministry, towards the end of the year one thousand seven hundred and ten. It

was high time indeed to fave our country from absolute infolvency and bankruptcy, by putting an end to a scheme of conduct, which the prejudices of a party, the whimly of fome particular men the private interest of more, and the ambition and avarice of our allies who had been invited at it were to a fcramble by the preliminaries of one thousand seven hundred and nine, alone maintained. The perfons therefore, who came into power at this time, hearkened, and they did well to hearken, to the first overtures that were The disposition of their enemies made them. invited them to do fo; but that of their friends, and that of a party at home who had nursed, and been nurfed by the war, might have deterred them from it for the difficulties and dangers, to which they must be exposed in carrying forward this great work, could escape none of them. In a letter to a friend it may be allowed me to fay, that they did not escape me: and that I foresaw, as contingent but not improbable events, a good part of what has happened to me fince. Though it was a duty therefore that we owed to our country, to deliver her from the necessity of bearing any longer fo unequal a part in fo unneceffary a war, yet was there fome degree of merit in performing it. I think fo strongly in this manner, I am so incorrigible, my lord, that if I could be placed in the same circumstances again, I would take the fame resolution, and act the same part. Age and experience might enable me to act with more ability, and greater skill; but all I have

fuffered fince the death of the Queen should not hinder me from acting. Notwithstanding this, I fhall not be surprised if you think that the peace of Utrecht was not answerable to the success of the war, nor to the efforts made in it. I think fo myfelf, and have always owned, even when it was making and made, that I thought fo. Since we had committed a successful folly, we ought to have reaped more advantage from it than we did: and, whether we had left PHILIP, or placed another prince on the throne of Spain, we ought to have reduced the power of France, and to have strengthened her neighbours, much more than we did. We ought to have reduced her power for generations to come, and not to have contented ourselves with a momentary reduction of it. France was exhaufted to a great degree of men and money. and her government had no credit: but they, who took this for a fufficient reduction of her power, looked but a little way before them, and reasoned too superficially. Several such there were however; for as it has been faid, that there is no extravagancy which some philosopher or other has not maintained, so your experience, young as you are, must have shown you, that there is no absurd extreme, into which our party-politicians of Great Britain are not prone to fall, concerning the state and conduct of public affairs. But if France was exhausted: so were we, and so were the Dutch. Famine rendered her condition much more miferable than ours, at one time, in appearance and in reality too. But as foon as this accident, that had diffreffed the French and frightened Lewis the fourteenth to the atmost degree, and the immediate consequences of it were over; it was obvious to observe, though few made the observation, that whilft we were unable to raife in a year, by fome millions at leaft, the expenses of the year, the French were willing and able to bear the imposition of the tenth over and above all the other taxes that had been laid upon them. This observation had the weight it deferved; and fure it deferved to have fome among those who made it, at the time spoken of, and who did not think that the war was to be continued as long as a parliament could be prevailed on to vote money. But supposing it to have deferved none, supposing the power of France to have been reduced as low as you pleafe, with respect to her inward state; yet still I assirm, that fuch a reduction could not be permanent, and was not therefore fufficient. Whoever knows the nature of her government, the temper of her people, and the natural advantages she has in commerce over all the nations that furround her. knows that an arbitrary government, and the temper of her people enable her on particular occasions to throw off a load of debt much more eafily, and with confequences much less to be feared, than any of her neighbours can: that although, in the general course of things, trade be cramped and industry yexed by this arbitrary government, yet neither one nor the other is oppreffed; and the temper of the people, and the natural advantages of the country, are fuch, that how great foever

her distress be at any point of time, twenty years of tranquillity suffice to re-establish her affairs, and to enrich her again at the expense of all the nations of Europe. If any one doubts of this, let him consider the condition in which this kingdom was lest by Lewis the fourteenth; the strange pranks the late duke of Orleans played, during his regency and administration, with the whole system of public revenue, and private property; and then set that the strength of France, the tenth taken off, exceed all the expenses of her government by many millions of livres already, and will exceed them by many more in another year.

Upon the whole matter, my lord, the low and exhausted state to which France was reduced, by the last great war, was but a momentary reduction of her power: and whatever real and more lasting reduction the treaty of Utrecht brought about in fome instances, it was not sufficient. The power of France would not have appeared as great as it did, when England and Holland armed themselves and armed all Germany against her, if she had lain as open to the invasions of her enemies, as her enemies lay to her's. Her inward strength was great, but the strength of those frontiers which LEWIS the fourteenth was almost forty years in forming, and which the folly of all his neighbours in their turns fuffered him to form, made this strength as formidable as it became. The true reduction of the exorbitant power of France, I take no notice of chimerical projects about changing

her government, confisted therefore in disarming her frontiers, and fortifying the barriers against her, by the cession and demolition of many more places than she yielded at Utrecht; but not of more than she might have been obliged to facrifice to her own immediate relief, and to the future That she was not fecurity of her neighbours. obliged to make these facrifices, I affirm was owing folely to those who opposed the peace: and I am willing to put my whole credit with your lordship and the whole merits of a cause that has been so much contested, on this issue. I say a cause that has been so much contested; for in truth, I think, it is no longer a doubt any where, except in British pamphlets, whether the conduct of those who neither declined treating, as was done in one thousand seven hundred and six; nor pretended to treat without a defign of concluding, as was done in one thousand seven hundred and nine and ten, but carried the great work of the peace forward to its confummation; or the conduct of those who opposed this work in every step of its progress, saved the power of France from a greater and a fufficient reduction at the treaty of Utrecht, The very ministers, who were employed in this fatal opposition, are obliged to confess this truth. How should they deny it? Those of Vienna may complain that the emperor had not the entire Spanish monarchy, or those of Holland that the States were not made mafters directly and indirectly of the whole Low Countries. But neither they, nor any one else that has any fense of shame about

him, can deny that the late Queen, though flie was refolved to treat because the was resolved to finish the war, yet was to the utmost degree defirous to treat in a perfect union with her allies, and to procure them all the reasonable terms they could exped; and much better than those they reduced themselves to the necessity of accepting by endeavouring to wrest the negociation out of her hands. The difunion of the allies gave France the advantages fhe improved. The fole question is, Who caufed this difunion? and that will be eafily decided by every impartial man, who informs himfelf carefully of the public anecdotes of that time. If the private anecdotes were to be laid open as well as those, and I think it almost time they should. the whole monstrous scene would appear, and shock the eye of every honest man. I do not intend to descend into many particulars at this time: but whenever I, or any other person as well informed as I, shall descend into a full deduction of fuch particulars, it will become undeniably evident, that the most violent opposition imaginable, carried on by the Germans and the Dutch in league with a party in Britain, began as foon as the first overtures were made to the Queen; before the had to much as begun to treat: and was therefore an opposition not to this or that plan of treaty, but in truth to all treaty; and especially to one wherein Great Britain took the lead, or was to have any particular advantage. That the Imperialists meant no treaty, unless a

preliminary, and impracticable condition of it was

to fet the crown of Spain on the emperor's head. will appear from this; that prince EUGENE, when he came into England, long after the death of Jo-SEPH and the elevation of CHARLES, upon an errand most unworthy of so great a man, treated always on this Supposition: and I remember with how much inward impatience I affifted at conferences held with him concerning quotas for renewing the war in Spain, in the very fame room, at the cockpit, where the Queen's ministers had been told in plain terms, a little before, by those of other allies, " that their mafters would not confent " that the Imperial and Spanish crowns should " unite on the same head." That the Dutch were not averse to all treaty, but meant none wherein Great Britain was to have any particular advantage. will appear from this; that their minister declared himfelf ready and authorized to ftop the opposition made to the Queen's measures, by presenting a memorial, wherein he would declare, "that his " mafters entered into them, and were refolved " not to continue the war for the recovery of " Spain, provided the Oueen would confent that " they flould garrison Gibraltar and Port-mahon " jointly with us, and share equally the Assento, " the South Sea ship, and whatever should be " granted by the Spaniards to the Queen and her " fubjects," That the whigs engaged in this league with foreign power against their country, as well as their Queen, and with a phrenfy more unaccountable than that which made and maintained the folemn league and covenant formerly, will

appear from this; that their attempts were directed not only to wrest the negociations out of the Oneen's hands, but to oblige their country to carry on the war, on the fame unequal foot that had coft her already about twenty millions more than the ought to have contributed to it. For they not only continued to abet the emperor, whose inability to supply his quota was confessed; but the Dutch' likewife, after the States had refused to ratify the treaty their minister figured at London towards the end of the year one thousand seven hundred and eleven, and by which the Queen united herfelf more closely than ever to them; engaging to purfue the war, to conclude the peace, and to guaranty it, when concluded, jointly with them; "provided they would keep " the engagements they had taken with her, and " the conditions of proportionate expense under " which our nation had entered into the war." Upon fuch schemes as these was the opposition to the treaty of Utrecht carried on: and the means employed, and the means projected to be employed, were worthy of fuch fchemes; open, direct, and indecent defiance of legal authority, fecret confpiracies against the state, and base machinations against particular men, who had no other crime than that of endeavouring to conclude a war, under the authority of the Queen, which a party in the nation endeavoured to prolong, against her authority. Had the good policy of concluding the war been doubtful, it was certainly as lawful for those, who thought it good, to advise it, as

Let. 8.

it had been for those, who thought it bad, to advise the contrary: and the decision of the fovereign on the throne ought to have terminated the contest. But he who had judged by the appearances of things on one fide, at that time would have been apt to think, that putting an. end to the war, or to Magna Charta, was the fame thing; that the Queen on the throne had no right to govern independently of her fucceffor; nor any of her fubjects a right to administer the government under her, though called to it by her. except those whom she had thought fit to lay afide. Extravagand as these principles are, no other could justify the conduct held at that time by those who opposed the peace: and as I faid just now, that the phrenfy of this league was more unaccountable than that of the folemn league and covenant, I might have added, that it was not very many degrees less criminal. Some of those who charged the Queen's ministers, after her death, with imaginary treasons, had been guilty during her life of real treasons: and I can compare the folly and violence of the spirit that prevailed at that time, both before the conclusion of the peace. and, under pretence of danger to the fuccession, after it, to nothing more nearly than to the folly and violence of the spirit that seized the tories foon after the accession of George the first. The latter indeed, which was provoked by unjust and impolitic perfecution, broke out in open rebellion: the former might have done fo, if the Oueen had lived a little longer. But to return.

The obflinate adherence of the Dutch to this league, in opposition to the Queen, rendered the conferences of Utrecht, when they were opened, no better than mock conferences. Had the men who governed that commonwealth been wife and honest enough to unite, at least then, cordially with the Oueen, and, fince they could not hinder a congress, to act in concert with her in it; we flould have been fill in time to maintain a fufficient union among the allies, and a sufficient fuperiority over the French. All the specific demands that the former made, as well as the Dutch themfelves, either to incumber the negociation, or to have in referve, according to the artifice usually employed on fuch occasions, certain points from which to depart in the course of it with advantage, would not have been obtained: but all the effential demands, all in particular that were really neceffary to fecure the barriers in the Low Countries and of the four circles against France, would have been fo: for France must have continued, in this cafe, rather to fue for peace, than to treat on an equal foot. The field dauphin, fon of LEWIS the fourteenth, died feveral months before this congress began: the fecond dauphin, his grandion, and the wife and the eldeft fon of this prince, died foon after it began, of the fame unknown diftemper. and were busied together in the fame grave. Such family-misfortunes, following a long feries of national misfortunes, made the old king, though he bore them with much feeming magnaniumty, defirous to get out of the war at any tolerable

Let. 8.

rate, that he might not run the risk of leaving a child of five years old, the present king engaged in it. The Queen did all that was morally possible, except giving up her honor in the negociation, and the interests of her subjects in the conditions of peace, to procure this union with the States general. But all she could do was vain: and the same phrenfy, that had hindered the Dutch from improving to their and to the common advantage the public misfortunes of France, hindered them from improving to the fame purposes the private misfortunes of the house of Bourbon. They, continued to flatter themselves that they should force the Queen out of her measures, by their intrigues with the party in Britain who opposed these measures, and even raise an insurrection against her. But these intrigues, and those of prince Eugene, were known and disappointed: and monfieur Buys had the mortification to be reproached with them publicly, when he came to take leave of the lords of the councils, by the earl of Oxford; who entered into many particulars that could not be denied, of the private transactions of this fort, to which Buys had been a party, in compliance with his infructions, and, as I believe, much against his own sense and inclinations. As the feafon for taking the field advanced, the league proposed to defeat the fuccels of the congress by the events of the campaign; but instead of defeating the success of the congress, the events of the campaign ferved only to turn this success in favor of France. At the beginning of the year, the Queen and the States, in concert, might have given the law to friend and foe, with great advantage to the former and with fuch a detriment to the latter, as the cautes of the war rendered juft, the events of it reasonable, and the objects of it necessary. At the end of the year, the allies were no longer in a state of giving, nor the French of receiving the law, and the Dutch had recourfe to the Queen's good offices, when they could oppose and durft inful her no longer. Even then, these offices were employed with zeal, and, with some effect for them.

Thus the war ended, much more favorably to France than file expedied, or they who put an end to it defigued. The Queen would have humbled and weakened this power. The allies who opposed her would have crushed it, and have raised another as exorbitant on the ruins of it Neither one nor the other succeeded, and they who meant to ruin the French power preferved it, by opposing those who meant to reduce it.

Since I have mentioned the events of the year one thouland feven hundred and twelve, and the decifive turn they gave to the negociations in favor of France, give me leave to fay fomething more on this fubject. You will find that I final do fo with much impartiality. The difaftrous events of this campaignain the Low Countries, and the confequences of them, have been imputed to the feparation of the British troops from the army of the allies. The clamor against this measure was great at that time, and the prejudices which this clamor

raifed are great still among some men. But as clamor raifed these prejudices, other prejudices gave birth to this clamor: and it is no wonder they should do so among persons bent on continuing the war; since I own very freely, that when the first step that led to this separation came to my knowledge, which was not an hour, by the way, before I. writ by the Queen's order to the duke of ORMOND, in the very words in which the order was advised and given, "that he should not engage in any " fiege, nor hazard a battle, till further order, I was furprifed and hurt; fo much, that if I had had an opportunity of speaking in private to the Queen, after I had received monfieur DE TORCY's letter to me on the subject, and before she went into the council, I flould have spoken to her, I think, in the first heat, against it. The truth is, however, that the flep was justifiable at that point of time in every respect, and therefore that the confequences are to be charged to the account of those who drew them on themselves, not to the account of the Queen, nor of the minister who advised her. The step was justifiable to the allies furely, fince the Queen took no more upon her, no not fo much by far, in making it, as many of them had done by fuspending, or endangering, or defeating operations in the heat of the war, when they declined to fend their troops, or delayed the march of them, or neglected the preparations they were obliged to make, on the most frivolous pretences. Your lordship will find in the course of your inquiries many particular inflances of what is here pointed out in general. But I cannot help descending into some few of those that regard the emperit and the States General, who cried the loudest and with the most effect, though they had the leaft reason, on account of their own conduct, to complain of the Oneen's. With what face could the emperor, for inflance, prefume to complain of the orders fent to the dake of OR-MOND? I fay nothing of his deficiencies, which were fo great, that he had at this very time little more than one regiment that could be find properly to act against France and Spain at his sole charge: as I affirmed to prince EUGENE before the lords of the council, and demonstrated upon paper the next day. I fay nothing of all that preceded the year one thousand seven hundred and seven, on which I should have much to fay. But I defire your lordship only to confider, what you will find to have palled after the fatnous year one thouland feven hundred and fix. Was it with the Oneen's approbation, or against her will, that the emperor made the treaty for the evacuation of I ombardy. and let out fo great a number of French regiments time enough to recruit themselves at home, to march into Spain, and to destroy the British forces at Almanza? Was it with her approbation, or against her will, that, instead of employing all his forces and all his endeavours, to make the greatest defign of the whole war, the enterprife on Tonlon, fucceed, he detached twelve thousand men to reduce the kingdom of Naples, that must have fallen of course? and that an opportunity of ruining the whole maritime force of France, and of ruining or fubduing her provinces on that fide, was loft, merely by this unneedlary diversion, and by the conduct of prince EUGENE, which lelt no room to doubt that the gave occasion to this fatal disppointment on purpole, and in concert with the court of Vienna?

Turn your eyes, my lord, on the conduct of the States, and you will find reason to be astonished at the arrogance of the men who governed in them at this time, and who prefumed to exclaim against a Queen of Great Britain, for doing what their deputies had done more than once in that very country, and in the course of that very war. In the year one thousand seven hundred and twelve, at the latter end of a war, when conferences for treating a peace were opened, when the leaft finister event in the field would take off from that fuperiority which the allies had in the congress, and when the past success of the war had already given them as much of this superiority as they wanted to obtain, a fafe, advantageous, honorable, and lafting peace, the Queen directed her general to suspend till further order the operations of her troops. In one thousand seven hundred and three, in the beginning of a war, when fomething was to be rifked or no fuccess to be expected, and when the bad fituation of affairs in Germany and Italy required, in a particular manner, that efforts should be made in the Low Countries, and that the war should not languish there whilst it was unfuccefsful every where elfe; the duke of MARLHOROUGH

determined to attack the French, but the Dutch deputies would not fuffer their troops to go on ; defeated his defign in the very moment of its execution, if I remember well, and gave no other reason for their proceeding than that which is a reason against every battle, the possibility of being beaten. The circumstance of proximity to their frontier was urged, I know, and it was faid, that their provinces would be exposed to the incursions of the French if they loft the battle. But befides other answers to this vain pretence, it was obvious that they had ventured battles as near home as this would have been fought, and that the way to remove the enemy farther off was by action, not inaction. Upon the whole matter, the Dutch deputies flopped the progress of the confederate army at this time, by exercifing an arbitrary and independent authority over the troops of the States. In one thousand seven hundred and sive, when the fuccels of the preceding campaign should have given them an entire confidence in the duke of MARLBOROUGH'S conduct, when returning from the Mofelle to the Low Countries he began to make himself and the common cause amends, for the disappointment which pique and jealousy in the prince of BADEN, or usual floth and negligence in the Germans, had occasioned just before, by forcing the French lines; when he was in the full purfuit of this advantage, and when he was marching to attak an enemy half defeated, and more than half-dispirited; nay when he had made his dispositions for attacking, and part of his troops

had passed the Dyle — the deputies of the States once more tied up his hands, took from him an opportunity too fair to be loft; for thefe, I think, were fome of the terms of his complaint: and in fhort the confederacy received an affront at leaft. where we might have obtained a victory. Let this that has been faid ferve as a specimen of the independency on the Queen, her councils, and her generals, with which these powers acted in the course of the war; who were not assamed to find fault that the Queen, once, and at the latter end of it, prefumed to suspend the operations of her troops till farther order. But be it that they forefaw what this farther order would be: they foresaw then, that as soon as Dunkirk should be put into the Queen's hands, she would consent to a suspension of arms for two months, and invite them to do the same. Neither this forefight, nor the strong declaration which the bishop of BRISTOL made by the Queen's order at Utrecht, and which showed them that her resolution was not taken to fubmit to the league into which they had entered against her, could prevail on them to make a right use of these two months, by endeavouring to renew their union and good understanding with the Queen; though I can fay with the greatest truth, and they could not doubt of it at the time, that she would have gone more than half-way to meet them, and that her ministers would have done their utmost to bring it about. Even then we might have resumed the superiority we began to lose in the congress; for, the Queen and the

States uniting, the principal allies would have united with them: and, in this case, it would have been so much the interest of France to avoid any chance of feeing the war renewed, that she must, and she would, have made sure of peace, during the fuspension, on much worse terms for herfelf and for Spain, than fhe made it afterwards. But the prudent and fober States continued to act like froward children, or like men drunk with relentment and passion; and such will the conduct be of the wife governments in every circumstance, where a spirit of faction and of private interest prevails, among those who are at the head, over reason or state. After laying aside all decency in their behaviour towards the Queen, they laid afide all caution for themselves. They declared "they "would carry on the war without her." Landrecy feemed, in their efteem, of more importance than Dunkirk; and the opportunity of wasting some French provinces, or of putting the whole event of the war on the decision of another battle, preferable to the other measure that lay open to them; that, I mean, of trying in good earnest, and in an honest concert with the Queen, during the fuspension of arms, whether such terms of peace, as ought to fatisfy them and the other allies, might not be imposed on France.

If the confederate army had broke into France, the campaign before this, or in any former campaign; and if the Germans and the Dutch had exercifed then the fame inhumanity, as the French had exercifed in their provinces in former wars; if they had burnt Verfailles, and even Paris, and

if they had disturbed the ashes of the dead princes that repose at Saint Denis, every good man would have felt the horror, that such cruelties inspire: no man could have faid that the retaliation was unjust. But in one thousand seven hundred and twelve, it was too late, in every respect, to meditate fuch projects. If the French had been unprepared to defend their frontier, either for want . of means, or in a vain confidence that the peace would be made, as our king CHARLES the fecond was unprepared to defend his coast at the latter end of his first war with Holland, the allies might have played a fure game in fatisfying their vengeance on the French, as the Dutch did on us in one thousand fix hundred and fixty-feven; and imposing harder terms on them, than those they offered, or would have accepted. But this was not the cafe. The French army was, I believe, more numerous than the army of the allies, even before separation, and certainly in a much better condition than two or three years before, when a deluge of blood was spilt to dislodge them, for we did no more, at Malplaquet. Would the Germans and the Dutch have found it more eafy to force them at this time, than it was at that? Would not the French have fought with as much oblinacy to fave Paris, as they did to fave Mons? and, with all the regard due to the duke of Or-MOND and to prince EUGENE, was the ablence of the duke of MARLBOROUGH of no confequence? Turn this affair every way in your thoughts, my lord, and you will find that the Germans and the

Dutch had nothing in theirs, but to break, at any rate, and at any rifk, the negociations that were begun, and torreduce Great Britain to the necessity of continuing, what she had been too long, a province of the confederacy. A province indeed, and not one of the best treated; since the confederates affumed a right of obliging her to keep her pack with them, and of dispensing with their obligations to her, of exhaufting her, without rnle, or proportion, or meafure, in the support of a war, to which flie alone contributed more than all of them, and in which she had no longer an immediate intereft, nor even any remote interest that was not common, or, with respect to her, very dubious; and, after all this, of complaining that the Queen prefumed to hearken to overtures of peace and to fet a negociation on foot, whilft their humor and ambition required that the war should be prolonged for an indefinite time, and for a purpose that was either bad or indeterminate.

The fulpenfion of arms, that began in the Low Countries, was continued, and extended afterwards by the act I figned at Fontainebleau. The fortune of the war turned at the fame time: and all took differaces followed, which obliged the Dutch to treat, and to defire the affiliance of the Queen, whom they had fet at defiance fo lately. This affiliance they had, as effectually as it could be given in the circumfluces, to which they had reduced themfelves, and the whole alliance: and the peace of Great Birtain, Portugal, Savoy, Pruffia, and the States General, was made, without

his imperial majefly's concurrence, in the fpring of one thousand seven hundred and thirteen; as it might have been made, much more advantageously for them all, in that of one thousand seven hundred and twelve. Less obstinacy on the part of the States, and perhaps more decifive refolutions on the part of the Oueen, would have wound up all these divided threads in one, and have smished this great work much fooner and better. I fav. perhaps more decifive refolutions on the part of the Queen; because, although I think that I should have conveyed her orders for figning a treaty of peace with France, before the armies took the field, much more willingly, than I executed them afterwards in figning that of the cellation of arms: yet I do not prefume to decide, but shall defire your lordship to do so, on a review of all circumflances, some of which I shall just mention.

The league made for protracting the war having opposed the Queen to the utmost of their power, and by means of every fort, from the first appearances of a negociation; the general essential essential violent opposition, on her and her ministers was, to make them proceed by slower and more cautious steps: the particular essential violent opposition to oblige them to open the eyes of the nation and to instance the people with a defice of peace, by slowing, in the most public and solemn manner, how unequally we were burdened, and how unshirty we were treated by our allies. The first gave an air of diffusione and timidity to their conduct, which encouraged the league, and gave vigor to the

opposition. The second irritated the Dutch particularly; for the emperor and the other allies had the modefly at leaft. not to pretend to bear any proportion in the expense of the war: and thus the two powers, whole union was the most effential, were the most at variance, and the Queen was obliged to act in a closer concert with her enemy who defired peace, than she would have done if her allies had been lefs obflinately bent to protract the war. During these transactions, my lord Oxford, who had his correspondences apart, and a private thread of negociation always in his hands, entertained hopes that PHILIP would be brought to abandon Spain in favor of his father-inlaw, and to content himfelf with the flates of that prince, the kingdom of Sicily, and the prefervation of his right of fuccession to the crown of France. Whether my lord had any particular reasons for entertaining these hopes, besides the general reasons founded on the condition of France, on that of the Bourbon family, and on the disposition of I EWIS the fonrteenth, I doubt very much. That LEWIS, who fought, and had need of feeking peace, almost at any rate, and who saw that he could not obtain it, even of the Queen, unlefs PHILIP abandoned immediately the crown of Spain, or abandoned immediately, by renunciation and a foleinn act of exclusion, all pretention to that of France. That LEWIS was defirous of the former, I cannot doubt; that PHILIP would have abandoned Spain with the equivalents that have been mentioned, or either of them, I believe likewife;

if the prefent king of FRANCE had died, when his father, mother, and eldest brother did: for they all had the fame diflemper. But LEWIS would use no violent means to force his grandson; the Queen would not continue the war to force him; PHILIP was too obstinate, and his wife too ambitious, to quit the crown of Spain, when they had discovered our weakness, and felt their own strength in that country, by their success in the campaign of one thousand seven hundred and ten: after which my lord STANHOPE himfelf was convinced that Spain could not be conquered, nor kept, if it was conquered, without a much greater army, than it was possible for us to fend thither, In that fituation it was wild to imagine, as the earl of Oxford imagined, or pretended to imagine, that they would quit the crown of Spain, for a remote and uncertain profpect of fucceeding to that of France, and content themselves to be, in the mean time, princes of very fmall dominions. PHILIP therefore, after flruggling long that he might not be obliged to make his option till the fuccession of France lay open to him, was obliged to make it, and made it, for Spain. Now this, my lord, was the very crifts of the negociation. and to this point I apply what I faid above of the effect of more decilive refolutions on the part of the Queen. It was plain, that, if she made the campaign in concert with her allies, fae could be 'no longer miltress of the negociations, nor have almost a chance for conducting them to the issue the propoted. Our ill fuccels in the field would

have rendered the French less traclable in the congress: our good success there would have rendered the allies so. On this principle the Queen suspended the operations of her troops, and then concluded the cessation.

Compare now the appearances and effect of this measure, with the appearances and effect that another measure would have had. In order to arrive at any peace, it was necessary to do what the Queen did, or to do more: and, in order to arrive at a good one, it was necessary to be prepared to carry on the war, as well as to make a flow of it: for she had the hard task upon her. of guarding against her allies, and her enemies both. But in that ferment, when few men confidered any thing coolly, the conduct of her general. after he took the field, though he covered the allies in the fiege of Quefnoy, corresponded ill, in appearance, with the declarations of carrying on the war vigorously that had been made, on feveral occasions, before the campaign opened. It had an air of double dealing; and as such it passed among those, who did not combine in their thoughts all the circumflances of the comjuncture, or who were infatuated with the notional necessity of continuing the war. The clamor could not have been greater, if the Queen had figned her peace feparately: and, I think, the appearances might have been explained as favorably in one case, as in the other. From the death of the emperor Joseph, it was neither our interest, nor the common ir terest, well understood, to set the

crown of Spain on the present emperor's head. As foon therefore as PHILLY had made his option, and if the had taken this resolution early, his option would have been fooner made, I prefume that the Queen might have declared, that the would not continue the war an hour longer to procure Spain for his Imperial majefty: that the engagements, she had taken whilst he was archduke. bound her no more; that, by his accession to the empire, the very nature of them was altered; that the took effectual measures to prevent in any future time, an union of the crowns of France and Spain, and, upon the fame principle, would not confent, much less fight, to bring about an immediate union of the Imperial and Spanish crowns: that they, who infifted to protract the war, intended this pnion; that they could imend nothing elfe fince they ventured to break with her, rather than to treat, and were so eager to put the reasonable satisfaction, that they might have in every other case without hazard, on the uncertain events of war: that the would not be imposed on any longer in this manner, and that the had ordered her minillers to fign her treaty with France, on the furrender of Dunkirk into her hands; that the pretended not to prefcribe to her allies, but that the had infifted, in their behalf, on certain conditions, that France was obliged to grant to thefe of them, who should fight their treaties at the fame time as the did, or who thould confent to an immediate cellation of arms, and during the cellation treat under her mediation. There had been



more frankness, and more dignity in this proceeding, and the effect must have been more advantageous. France would have granted more for a feparate peace, than for a ceffation: and the Dutch would have been more influenced by the prospect. of one, than of the other: especially since this proceeding would have been very different from theirs at Munster, and at Nimeguen, where they abandoned their allies, without any other pretence than the particular advantage they found in doing fo. A suspension of the operations of the Queen's troops, nay a cellation of arms between her and France, was not definitive; and they might, and they did, hope to drag her back under their, and the German yoke. This therefore was not fufficient to check their obstinacy, nor to hinder them from making all the unfortunate hafte they did make to get themselves beaten at Denain, But they would possibly have laid aside their vain hopes, if they had feen the Queen's ministers ready to fign her treaty of peace, and those of some principal allies ready to fign at the fame time; in which case the mischief, that followed, had been prevented, and better terms of peace had been obtained for the confederacy: a prince of the house of Bourbon, who could never be king of France, would have fat on the Spanish throne, instead of an emperor: the Spanish sceptre would have been weakened in the hands of one, and the Imperial fceptre would have been strengthened in those of the other: France would have had no opportunity of recovering from former blows, nor finishing

a long unsuccessful war by two successful cam-Paigns: her ambition, and her power, would have declined with her old king, and under the minority that followed: one of them at least might have been fo reduced by the terms of peace, if the defeat of the allies in one thousand seven hundred and twelve, and the lofs of fo many towns as the French took in that and the following year, had been prevented, that the other would have been no longer formidable, even supposing it to have continued; whereas I suppose that the tranquillity of Europe is more due, at this time, to want of ambition, than to want of power; on the part of France. But, to carry the comparison of these two measures to the end, it may be supposed that the Dutch would have taken the same part, on the Queen's declaring a feparate peace, as they took on her declaring a cellation. The preparations for the campaign in the Low Countries were made; the Dutch, like the other confederates, had a just confidence in their own troops, and an unjust contempt for those of the enemy; they were transported from their usual sobriety and caution by the ambitious prospect of large acquifations, which had been opened artfully to them; the rest of the consederate army was composed of Imperial and German troops: fo that the Dutch, the Imperialifts, and the other Germans, having an interest to decide which was no longer the interest of the whole confederacy, they might have united against the Queen in one case, as they eid in the other: and the milchief, that followed

to them and the common cause, might not have been prevented. This might have been the case. no doubt. They might have flattered themselves that they should be able to break into France, and to force PHILIP, by the diffress brought on his grandfather, to relign the crown of Spain to the emperor, even after Great Britain, and Portugal, and Savoy too perhaps, were drawn out of the war: for these princes desired as little, as the Queen, to see the Spanish crown on the emperor's head. But, even in this case, though the madness would have been greater, the effect would not have been worfe. The Queen would have been able to ferve these confederates as well by being mediator in the negociations, as they left it in her power to do, by being a party in them: and Great Britain would have had the advantage of being delivered fo much fooner from a burden, which whimfical and wicked politics had imposed, and continued upon her till it was become intolerable. Of these two measures, at the time when we might have taken either, there were persons who thought the last preferable to the former. But it never came into public debate. Indeed it never could; too much time having been loft in waiting for the option of PHILIP, and the suspension and cessation having been brought before the councils rather as a measure taken, than a matter to be debated. If your lordship, or any one else, should judge, that, in fuch circumstances as those of the confederacy in the beginning of one thousand seven hundred and twelve, the latter measure ought to have been

taken, and the Gordian knot to have been cut, Tather than to fuffer a mock treaty to languish on, with fo much advantage to the French as the elifunion of the allies gave them; in fort if Towness, perplexity, inconfifency, and indecision should be objected, in some inflances, to the Queen's councils at that time; if it should be faid Particularly, that the did not oblerve the precife moment when the conduct of the league formed against her, being exposed to mankind, would have justified any part she should have taken (though the declared, from after the moment was passed, that this conduct had set her free from all her engagements) and when the ought to have taken that of drawing, by one hold measure, her allies out of the war, or herfelf out of the confederacy, before the loft her influence on France: if all this should be objected, yet would the proofs brought to support these objections show, that we were better allies than politicians; that the defire the Queen had to treat in concert with her confederates, and the resolution she took not to sign without them, made her bear what no crowned head had ever born before; and that where the erred, the erred principally by the patience, the compliance, and the condescension she exercised towards them; and towards her own subjects in league with them. Such objections as these may lie to the Queen's conduct, in the course of this great affair; as well as objections of human infermity to that of those persons employed by her in the transactions of it; from which neither those

### A Sketch of the HISTORY Let. 8.

who preceded, nor those who succeeded, have, I prefume, been free. But the principles on which they proceeded were honest, the means they used were lawful, and the event they proposed to bring about was just. Whereas the very foundation of all the opposition to the peace was laid in injustice and folly: for what could be more unjust, than the attempt of the Dutch and the Germans, to force the Queen to continue a war for their private interest and ambition, the disproportionate expense of which oppressed the commerce of her subjects, and loaded them with debts for ages yet to come? A war, the object of which was fo changed, that from the year one thonfand feven hundred and eleven she made it not only without any engagement, but against her own, and the common interest? What could be more foolish: you will think that I foften the term too much, and you will be in the right to think fo: what could be more foolish, than the attempt of a party in Britain, to protract a war fo ruinous to their country, without any reason that they durst avow, except that of wreaking the refentments of Europe on France, and that of uniting the Imperial and Spanish crowns on an Austrian head? one of which was to purchase revenge at a price too dear; and the other was to expose the liberties of Europe to new dangers, by the conclusion of a war which had been made to affert and fecure them.

I have dwelt the longer on the conduct of those who promoted, and of those who opposed, the negociations of the peace made at Utrecht, Let S.

and on the comparison of the measure pursued by the Queen with that which she might have pursued, because the great benefit we ought to reap from the fludy of hiftory, cannot be reaped unless we accustom ourselves to compare the conduct of different governments, and different parties, in the fame conjunctures, and to observe the measures they did purfue, and the measures they might have purfued, with the actual confequences that followed one, and the possible, or probable confequences, that might have followed the other. By this exercise of the mind, the fludy of history anticipates, as it were, experience, as I have observed in one of the first of these letters, and prepares us for action. It this confideration foould not plead a fufficient excufe for my prolixity on this head, I have one more to add that may. A rage of warring possessed a party in our nation till the death of the late Queen: a rage of negociating has possessed the same party of men, ever fince. You have feen the confequences of one: you fee actually those of the other. The rage of warring confirmed the beggary of our nation, which began as early as the revolution; but then it cave, in the laft war, reputation to our arms, and our councils too. For though I think, and must always think, that the principle, on which we acted after departing from that laid down in the grand alliance of one thousand seven hundred and one, was wrong: yet must we confess that it was purfued wifely; as well as boldly. The rage

of negociating has been a chargeable rage likewife.

at least as chargeable in its proportion. Far from paying our debts, contracted in war, they continue much the fame, after three-and-twenty years of peace. The taxes that oppress our mercantile interest the most are still in mortgage; and those that oppress the landed interest the most, instead of being laid on extraordinary occasions, are become the ordinary funds for the current fervice of every year. This is grievous, and the more fo to any man, who has the honor of his country, as well as her prosperity at heart, because we have not, in this case, the airy confolation we had in the other. The rage of negociating began twenty years ago, under pretence of confummating the treaty of Utrecht: and, from that time to this, our ministers have been in one perpetual maze. They have made themselves and us, often, objects of aversion to the powers on the continent; and we are become at last objects of contempt, even to the Spaniards. What other effect could our abfurd conduct have? What other return has it deferved? We came exhausted out of long wars; and, instead of purlying the measures necessary to give us means and opportunity to repair our firength and to diminish our burdens, our ministers have acted, from that time to this, like men who fought pretences to keep the nation in the same exhausted condition, and under the same load of debt. This may have been their view perhaps; and we could not be furprifed if we heard the same men declare national poverty necessary to support the present government, who have so frequently declared

corruption and a flanding army to be fo. Your good fenfe, my lord, your virtue, and your love of your country, will always determine you to oppose such vile schemes, and to contribute your utmost towards the cure of both these kinds of rage; the rage of warring, without any proportionable interest of our own, for the ambition of others; and the rage of negociating, on every occasion, at any rate, without a fullicient call to it, and without any part of that deciding influence which we ought to have. Our nation inhabits an illand, and is one of the principal nations of Europe; but to maintain this rank, we must take the advantages of this fituation, which have been neglected by us for almost half a century: we must always remember, that we are not part of the continent, but we must never lorget that we'are neighbours to it. I will conclude, by applying a rule, that HORACE gives for the conduct of an epic or dramatic poem, to the part Great Britain ought to take in the affairs of the continent, if you allow me to transform Britannia into a male divinity, as the verse requires.

Nec Deus interfit, nifi dignus vindice nodus

If these restections are just, and I should not have offered them to your fordfhip had they not appeared both just and important to my best nuderstanding, you will think that I have not fpent your time unprofitably in making them,

and exciting you by them to examine the true interest of your country relatively to foreign assists; and to compare it with those principles of conduct, that I am perfuaded, lave no other foundation than party-chigns, prejudices, and labits; the private interest of some men and the ignorance and rashines of others.

My letter is grown to long, that I shall say nothing to your lordship at this time concerning the fludy of modern history, relatively to the interests of your country in domestic affairs; and I think there will be no need to do fo at any other. The History of the rebellion by your great-grand-father, and his private memorials, which your lordship has in manuscript, will guide you furely as far as they go: where they leave you, your lordship must not expect any history; for we have more reason to make this complaint. " abest enim historia literis nostris," than TULLY. had to put it into the mouth of ATTICUS, in his first book of laws. But where history leaves you, it is wanted leaft; the traditions of this century, and of the latter end of the laft, are fresh. Many, who were actors in some of theseevents, are alive; and many who have converfed with those that were actors in others. The public is in possession of several collections and memorials, and feveral there are in private hands. You will want no materials to form true notions of transactions fo recent. Even pamphlets, writ on different fides and on different occasions in our party-difputes, and histories of no more authority than

Let. 8.

pamphlets, will help you to come at truth. Read them with fuspicion, my lord, for they deserve to be suspected: pay no regard to the epithets given, nor to the judgments passed: neglect all declamation, weigh the reasoning, and advert to fact. With fuch precautions, even BURNET'S history may be of some use. In a word, your lordship will want no help of mine to discover, by what progression the whole constitution of our country, and even the character of our nation, has been altered: nor how much a worse use, in a national fense, though a better in the fense of party-politics, the men called Whigs have made of long wars and new lystems of revenue, fince the revolution; than the men called Tories made, before it, of long peace, and stale prerogative. When you look back three or four generations ago, you will fee that the English were a plain, perhaps a rough, but a good-natured hospitable people, jealous of their liberties, and able as well as ready to defend them, with their tongues, their pens and their fwords. The reftoration began to turn hospitality into luxury, pleasure into debauch, and country-peers and country-commoners into courtiers and men of mode. But whilst our luxury was young, is was little more than elegance: the debanch of that age was enlivened with wit, and varnished over with gallantry. The courtiers and the men of mode knew what the confliction was, respected it, and often afferted it. Arts and sciences flourished, and if we grew more trivial, we were not become either grofsly ignorant, or openly

300

profligate. Since the revolution, our kings have been reduced indeed to a feeming annual dependance on parliament; but the business of parliament, which was efteemed in general a duty before, has been exercised in general as a trade since. trade of parliament, and the trade of funds, have grown univerfal. Men, who flood forward in the world, have attended to little elfe. The frequency of parliaments, that increased their importance, and should have increased the respect of them, has taken off from their dignity; and the spirit that prevailed, whilft the fervice in them was duty, has been debased since it became a trade. Few know, and fcarce any respect, the British constitution: that of the church has been long fince derided; that of the State as long neglected; and both have been left at the mercy of the men in power, whoever those men were. Thus the Church, at least the hierarchy, however facred in its origin or wife in its inflitution, is become an useless burden on the State; and the State is become, under ancient and known forms, a new and undefinable monfter; composed of a king without monarchical fplendor, a fenate of nobles without ariftocratical independency, and a fenate of commons without democratical freedom. In the mean time, my lord, the very idea of wit, and all that can be called tafte, has been loft among the great; arts and sciences are scarce alive; luxury has been increased but not refined, corruption has been established, and is avowed. When

governments are worn out, thus it is: the decay

Let. 8.

appears in every inflance. Public and private virtue, public and private spirit, science and wit, decline all together.

That you, my lord, may have a long and glerious share in restoring all these, and in drawing our government back to the true principles of it, I wish most heartily. Whatever errors I may have committed in public life, I have always loved my country: whatever faults may be objected to me in private life, I have always loved my friend: whatever usage I have received from my country, it shall never make me break with her: whatever usage I have received from my friends, I never fhall break with one of them, while I think him a friend to my country. These are the sentiments of my heart. I know they are those of your lordfhip's: and a communion of fuch fentiments is a tie that will engage me to be, as long as I live,

My lord,

Your most faithful fervant.

## A PLAN

FORA

General History of EUROPE.

# LETTER IX.

I SHALL take the liberty of writing to you a little oftener than the three or four times a year, which, you tell me, are all you can allow yourfelf to write to those you like best: and yet I declare to you with great truth, that you never knew me fo buly in your life, as I am at present. You must not imagine from hence, that I am writing memoirs of myself. The subject is too slight to descend to posterity, in any other manner, than by that occasional mention which may be made of any little actor in the history of our age. SYLLA, CESAR, and others of that rank, were, whilst they lived, at the head of mankind: their flory was in some fort the story of the world, and as fuch might very properly be transmitted under their names to future generations. But for those who have acted much inferior parts, if they publish the piece, and call it after their own names, they are impertinent; if they publish only their own

flare in it, they inform mankind by halves, and neither give much influction, nor create much attention. France abounds with writers of this fort, and, I think, we fall into the other extreme, Let me tell you, on this occasion, what has fometimes come into my thoughts.

There is hardly any century in history which hegan by opening so great a scene, as the century wherein we live, and shall I suppose, die. Compare it with others, even the most samous, and you will think so. I will sketch the two last, to

help your memory.

The lofs of that balance which LAURENCE of Medicis had preferved, during his time, in Italy; the expedition of CHARLES the eighth to Naples: the intrigues of the duke of MILAN, who fpun, with all the refinements of art, that net wherein he was taken at last himself; the successful dexterity of FERDINAND the Catholic, who built one pillar of the Austrian greatness in Spain, in Italy, and in the Indies; as the fuccession of the house of Burgundy, joined to the Imperial dignity and the hereditary countries, established another in the upper and lower Germany: these causes, and many others, combined to form a very extraordinary conjuncture; and, by their confequences, to render the fixteenth century fruitful of great events, and of aftonishing revolutions.

The beginning of the feventeenth opened fill a greater and more important feene. The Spanish yoke was well-nigh imposed on Italy by the famous triumvirate, TOLEDO at Milan, OSSUNA

Let. 9.

at Naples, and LA CUEVA at Venice. The diftractions of France, as well as the flate-policy of the Queen mother, feduced by Rome, and amused by Spain; the despicable character of our JAMES the first, the rashness of the elector Palatine. the bad intelligence of the princes and states of the league in Germany, the mercenary temper of John GEORGE of Saxony, and the great qualities of MAXIMILIAN of Bavaria, raifed FERDINAND the fecond to the Imperial throne; when, the males of the elder branch of the Austrian family in Germany being extinguished at the death of MAT-THIAS, nothing was more defirable, nor perhaps more practicable, than to throw the empire into another house. Germany ran the same risk as Italy had done: FERDINAND feemed more likely, even than CHARIES the fifth had been, to become absolute master; and, if France had not furnished the greatest minister, and the North the greatest captain, of that age, in the same point of time, Vienna and Madrid would have given the law to the western world.

As the Austrian scale funk, that of Bourbon rofe. The true date of the rile of that power, which has made the kings of France to confiderable in Europe, goes up as high as CHARLES the feventh, and LEWIS the eleventh. The weakness of our HENRY the fixth, the loofe conduct of EDWARD the fourth, and perhaps the overlights of HENRY the feventh, helped, very much to knit that 'monarchy together, as well as to enlarge it. Advantage might have been taken of the divitions which.

### Let, 9. General History of EUROPE,

which religion occasioned; and supporting the protestant party in France would have kept that crown under restraints, and under inabilities, in fome measure equal to those which were occasioned anciently by the vast alienations of its dementes, and by the exorbitant power of its vasilial. But IAMES the first was incapable of thinking with sense, or acting with spirit. CHARLES the first had an imperfect glimpse of his true interest, but his oxorious temper, and the extravagancy of that madman BUCKINGHAM, gave RIGHELIEUT time to finish a great part of his project; and the mistries, that followed in England, gave MAZAINS time and opportunity to complete the system. The last great act of this cardinal's administration was the

Pyrenean treaty.

Here I would begin, by reprefenting the face of Europe fuch as it was at that epocha, the interests and the conduct of England, France, Spain, Holland, and the empire, A furmary recapitulation should follow of all the steps taken by France, during more than twenty years, to arrive at the great object she had proposed to herself in making this treaty: the most solemn article of which the minister, who negociated it, deligned flould be violated; as appears by his letters, writ from the Island of Pheafants, if I mistake not. After this, another draught of Europe should have it's place according to the relations, which the feveral powers flood in, one towards another, in one thousand fix hundred and eighty-eight: and the alterations which the revolution in England made in the politics of Europe. A lummary account flould follow of the events of the war that ended in one thouland fix hundred and ninery-feven with the different views of king William the third and Lewis the fourteenth, in making the prace of Ryfwic; which matter has been much canvailed, and is little underflood. Then the difpolitions made by the partition-treatics, and the influences and confequences of thefe treaties; and a third drught of the flate of Europe at the death of CRABLES the fecond of Spain. All this would make the fubject of one or two books and would be the moft proper introduction imaginable to a hilfory of that war with which our century began, and of the peace which followed.

This war, forefeen for above half a century, had been, during all that time, the great and conflant object of the councils of Europe. The prize to be contended for was the richest that ever had been flaked, fince those of the Persian and Roman empires. The union of two powers, which feparately, and in opposition, had simed at univerfal monarchy, was apprehended. The confederates therefore engaged in it, to maintain a balance between the two houses of Austria and Bourbon. in order to preferve their fecurity, and to affert their independence. But with the foccess of the war they changed their views: and, if ambition began it on the fide of France, ambition continued it on the other. The battles, the fieges, the furprifing revolutions, which happened in the course of this war, are not to be paralleled in any period

of the fame compafe. The motives, and the measures, by which it was protracted, the trite reasons why it ended in a manner, which appeared not proportionable to its success; and the new political state into which Furope was thrown by the treaties of Utrecht and Baden, are shipl-file with which sew persons have the necessary informations, and yet every one speaks with allurance, and even with passion. I think I could speak on them with some knowledge; and with as much indifference as Potyratts dues of the negociations of his father Lyconxas, even in those points where I' was mylest an ador.

I will even confess to you, that I should not defpair of performing this part better than the former. There is nothing in my opinion to hard to execute, as those political maps, if you will allow me fuch an expression, and those systems of hints, rather than relations of events, which are necessary to connect and explain them; and which must be so concise, and yet so full; so complicate; and yet fo clear. I know nothing of this fort well done by the ancients. SALLUST's introduction, as well as that of THUCYDIDES, might ferve almost for any other piece of the Roman or Greek flory, as well as for those which these two great authors chofe. Polyntus does not come up, in his introduction, to this idea neither. Among the moderns, the first book of MACHIAVEL'S History of Florence is a noble original of this kind: and perhaps father PAUL'S Hiftory of Benefices is, in the fame kind of composition, inimitable.

### 308 A PLAN for a General History etc. Let q.

These are a few of those thoughts, which come into my mind when I confider how incumbent it is on every man, that he should be able to give an account even of his leifure; and, in the midst of solitude, be of some use to fociety.

I know not whether I shall have courage enough to undertake the task I have chalked out: I distrust my abilities with reason, and I shall want several informations, not easy, I doubt, for me to obtain. But, in all events, it will not be possible for me to go about it this year; the reasons of which would be long enough to fill another letter, and I doubt that you will think this grown too bulky already.

Adieu.